



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
L'Esplanade Laurier
4th floor, East Tower
140 O'Connor Street
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Operations Centre Project	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EJ078-190917/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20190917	Date 2019-01-29
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-180-76181	
File No. - N° de dossier fe180.EJ078-190917	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-02-20	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Naufal, Matthew	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe180
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 296-9346 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Request for Proposal
Solicitation Amendment 2

The following is in response to inquiries received in relation to this solicitation. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.

QUESTION 1

The price scoring formula means that a trivial difference of a few dollar in price between two or three proponents will have a significant impact on the score. Will PWGSC consider a sliding scale that accords prices near the low price nearly the same score as the low price?

ANSWER 1

Canada has reviewed the request and the requirement remains the same. The price proposal evaluation is as described at SRE 4, Price of Services.

QUESTION 2

SRE Item 3.2.2 Are we to submit 2 projects per engineering discipline or two projects representative of the entirety of the engineering disciplines?

ANSWER 2

As described in SRE 3.2.2 - Achievements of Key Sub-consultants and Specialists on Projects, we require a maximum of two (2) projects completed per Key Sub-Consultant

QUESTION 3

SRE Item 3.2.1 refers to projects that are constructed. Will studies or designs for similar facilities or projects under construction be considered without penalty?

ANSWER 3

Canada will not consider studies completed for similar facilities or projects as project experience. However, substantially completed design work for similar facilities or projects under construction will be considered. Substantially completed design work is defined in RS 4.3.5 - 66% Submission.

QUESTION 4

We have a Government of Canada ops centre project that is pertinent to the work – however due to security concerns we are not able to communicate the details of the project. Will PWGSC propose an approach to this issue that we can present to our GoC client for their consideration?

ANSWER 4

PWGSC is unable to propose an approach as the terms and conditions of the Contract governing the work for the previous project would dictate what information can be divulged.

QUESTION 5

Is the intent that all work be undertaken in the ONSITE OFFICE? Alternatively, can the Proponent undertake work in its own offsite SECRET cleared facilities per SC1?

ANSWER 5

No, It is not the intent that all work is to be undertaken in the onsite office. Proponents are able to work at their own offices providing the facility is secured as per the security requirements detailed in the RFP.

QUESTION 6

The Price Proposal document only allows for Structural, Mechanical, Electrical and Civil consultants. However, your requirements for tender packages require cost estimates suggesting a Cost Consultant should be included on our team.

ANSWER 6

Cost estimates are a requirement of the RFP. It is at the discretion of the Proponent if a Cost Consultant should be included on their team.

QUESTION 7

The Code Compliance section, (Project Brief page 16) suggests a "Code Consultant" could be required.

ANSWER 7

Code Compliance is a requirement of the RFP. It is at the discretion of the Proponent if a Code Consultant should be included on their team.

QUESTION 8

Due to the number of "security zones", do you consider a need for a Security Consultant?

ANSWER 8

No, this function is internal to the Government of Canada personnel.

QUESTION 9

Secure Work Location - Regarding our staff working at the "OnSite Office", we note hours have been associated with each role; therefore is it safe to assume that although each Discipline has 4 team members, they will not be required on site for 40 hrs per week. Each role will come and go as work demands require. Also, how many work stations will there be in this space, as they will be shared with the team.

ANSWER 9

Refer to Appendix C Additional Services, "The Hours in Column A are for bid evaluation purposes only and do not represent any hours or estimate of hours associated with the project."
Although each Discipline has 4 team members, they will not be required on site for 40 hrs per week. Each role will come and go as work demands require.
The amount of work stations available to be shared with the Consultant team will be determined with the successful proponent, based on their staffing requirements

QUESTION 10

Architectural Team –The Partner role for our firm is an Architect; therefore, could the "Senior" role be staffed by a Senior Architectural Technologist, rather than another Architect as this person will be doing the major drawing production work for 1200 hours for that role?

ANSWER 10

Refer to Appendix C Additional Services, "The Hours in Column A are for bid evaluation purposes only and do not represent any hours or estimate of hours associated with the project. The staffing of the Senior Personnel is at the firm's discretion.

QUESTION 11

The RFP document states that a secure work location is required for this project and that an onsite office will be provided by PWGSC. Our office and that of our partner architect in Ottawa, both have secure facility clearance, meeting the requirements of PWGSC. Will PWGSC allow us to run the project out of our own secure space instead of the 100 square metre onsite office location described in SC2 in the RFP document?

ANSWER 11

Yes.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME