



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Consultant Services Division/Division des services  
d'experts-conseils  
L'Esplanade Laurier  
4th floor, East Tower  
140 O'Connor Street  
Ottawa  
Ontario  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Operations Centre Project	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EJ078-190917/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20190917	<b>Date</b> 2019-02-15
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$FE-180-76181	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> fe180.EJ078-190917	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-02-27</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Naufal, Matthew	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> fe180
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 296-9346 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

**Request for Proposal**  
**Solicitation Amendment 6**

---

**The following changes in the Request for Proposal document are effective immediately. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.**

**CHANGE 5**

The bid closing date is hereby extended to February 27, 2019 at 2:00p.m. EST

---

**The following is in response to inquiries received in relation to this solicitation. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.**

**QUESTION 20**

SI-1 indicates that we are not to refer to classified or client-specific items, nor identify the facility purpose or location. Section 3.2.4 (page 7 of the RFP) asks us however to demonstrate our understanding of the unique functional and technical requirements of the (unidentified) client, as well as project-specific objectives, issues, challenges, etc. We obviously do not wish to be disqualified, but we are uncertain as to how to answer 3.2.4 without reference to the requirements of the project. For example, how we can make the evaluation team aware of our understanding of the project, its objectives, challenges, etc. if we cannot discuss them; and how we can draw parallels to similar projects we have completed without referring to the program that is the subject of the present RFP. Could you provide more specific guidance as to the limits of what we are permitted to discuss/present in our proposal?

For example, are we able to mention the client functions and how they are to be accommodated within the building? The title of the RFP is "Operations Centre" = are we able to acknowledge that this is the main purpose of the project?

**ANSWER 20**

Please refer to Question 15 of Addendum 3.

Yes, proponents may mention the client functions and how they are to be accommodated within the building. As the title of the RFP is "Operations Centre", yes, proponents may acknowledge that this is the main purpose of the project.

The proponent should demonstrate the understanding of the unique and technical requirements associated with operation centres. Drawing parallels of challenges, objectives, and constraints for similar projects is a good way of demonstrating the proponent's understanding.

**QUESTION 21**

The third floor is to include "shell" space to accommodate future FTE growth, while other parts of the floor are to be fit-up in accordance with the program. Can you clarify the extent to which the "shell" space is to

be modified or upgraded? For example, perimeter enclosure? Floor system? Rough-in of mechanical and electrical services to the perimeter of the space? Ceilings?

**ANSWER 21**

The additional 415m2 shell space is reserved for future expansion and tenant fit-up. Base building services must be designed to anticipate future open office occupancy in this area, as outlined in the RS1 Feasibility Report, 26 June 2017.

**QUESTION 22**

As the project will involve exterior alterations to a building on crown-owned land, are we to assume that there will be NCC involvement and that NCC design approval will be required?

**ANSWER 22**

Any work related to NCC, if required, will be deemed as additional services.

**QUESTION 23**

As the existing building is considered only to be "recognized" from a Federal heritage point of view, and not "classified" - will FHBRO and/or PSPC-HCS be involved in design review, particularly of the exterior modifications?

**ANSWER 23**

Any work related to FHBRO, if required, will be deemed as additional services.

**QUESTION 24**

To what extent will the Consultant be expected to be involved in the selection and procurement of furnishings and equipment? In the coordination of the AV systems to be designed by others?

**ANSWER 24**

Please refer to Sections 2.3.1 and Section 3.3.1 of the RFP.  
Please refer to Section 2.2.1.

It is noted that the scope of work of Element 8.0 Audio Visual is excluded from this Request for Proposal. PWGSC will tender the Audio Visual work under a separate contract. The Design consultant will coordinate the ancillary design requirements (i.e. electrical, cabling, etc.) with the Audio Visual consultant.

**QUESTION 25**

To confirm, are we to assume that the existing on-site emergency power generation and uninterruptible power supply systems are adequate to serve the projected loads for the proposed new client facility?

**ANSWER 25**

The existing on-site emergency power generation is adequate as described in Feasibility Report. However, the uninterruptible power supply requires replacement.

**QUESTION 26**

What is the FF&E scope of work? Is the consultant team to provide specifications? Will the furniture be procured through a government standing offer or is this something that will be incorporated into our tender package?

**ANSWER 26**

Please refer to Question 24.

The furniture will be procured through a government standing offer.

**QUESTION 27**

As per Addendum no. 2, work can be completed at the consultant's office provided they have the appropriate secure facilities and IT. Initially it had stated that the consultant would be responsible to provide all hardware/software to the on-site office and that the hardware would be left there at the end of the project. Would the intent still be to hand over all hard-drives etc. to the client at the end of the project even if this work would be done off site, or can the hard drives be wiped?

**ANSWER 27**

Yes, the hard drives and any other electronic storing devices must be returned to PWGSC at project completion.

**QUESTION 28**

Reference: SRE 3 Submission Requirements and Evaluation, SRE 3.2 Rated Requirements, SRE 3.2.5 – Scope of Services, Page 7

The first bullet reads "...how major schedule milestones can be achieved while also limiting costs of construction and on time delivery." Please clarify what you are looking for in our response.

**ANSWER 28**

The proponent should explain how they plan on keeping to a tight schedule, being able to adapt to changing requirements while minimizing additional costs, and describing creative approaches & solutions.

**QUESTION 29**

We are asking for an extension of a few weeks to the closing date of February 20, 2019, to allow time for us to view documents and subsequently prepare fees.

**ANSWER 29**

See Change 5 above.

---

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME**