



Procurement and Contracting Services  
30 Victoria Street  
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0M6

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AMENDMENT

The Request for Proposal is hereby amended; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal remain the same.

<b>RFP Amendment No.</b>  2	<b>RFP Amendment Date:</b>  March 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019
<b>Office of the Chief Electoral Officer File No.</b>  ECGP-RFP-18-0735	
<b>Title:</b>  2019 National Electors Study	
<b>Request for Proposal Closing Date:</b>  March 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2019 at 2:00 PM (Gatineau Time)	
<b>ENQUIRIES – address enquiries to the Contracting Authority:</b>  <b>Office of the Chief Electoral Officer of Canada</b> Procurement and Contracting Services 30 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0M6  proposition-proposal@elections.ca	
<b>Attention:</b>  Ghislaine Parent	<b>Tel No.</b>  819-939-2489

## **Part 1. Interpretation**

- 1.1** Elections Canada hereby amends in accordance with this amendment the Request for Proposal for 2019 National Electors Study bearing number ECGP-RFP-18-0735 and dated February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2019 (the “RFP”). This amendment hereby forms part of the RFP.
- 1.2** Unless defined herein or unless the context otherwise requires, all of the words and phrases defined in the RFP and used in this amendment shall have the same meanings assigned to them in the RFP.

## **Part 2. Amendments**

- 2.1 Amendment to the RFP –Part 6 – Resulting Contract – Annex A – Statement of Work (page 33 – Annex VI – Research Design by Wave and Sample)**

Annex VI – Research Design by Wave and Sample of the Request for Proposal is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the attached Annex VI – Research Design by Wave and Sample (Revised on March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2019).

## ANNEX VI – RESEARCH DESIGN BY WAVE AND SAMPLE

- For each box situated in the grid below,  $n$  represents the number of respondents required from the respective sample for the respective survey wave.
- The final respondent numbers for W3 (i.e. the various  $n_3$ ) are mandatory minima.
- The sizes of  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  must be large enough to ensure the minimum numbers for  $n_3$  given the expectation of respondent attrition from each wave to the next. The firm is responsible for ensuring a sufficient number of respondents at each wave to compensate for this attrition.

