



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS IT Security Prof. Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60ZR-181639/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20181639	Date 2019-03-13
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-629-34671	
File No. - N° de dossier 629el.E60ZR-181639	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-03-25	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Morin, Sylvie	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 629el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 859-0761 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation Number:
E60ZR-181639/A

Amendment Number:
002

Buyer ID:
629EL

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 002 is raised to answer Bidders' questions and amend the RFP.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 4:

With respect to criteria M.1 and R.1, item b) "Each of the identified contract must have been awarded within the last 5 years prior to the solicitation posting date", would PWGSC please accept contracts where work was performed within the last five years but contracts were awarded prior to five years ago, provided Bidders only include days billed within the last five years in the scope of the reference?

Answer 4: See RFP amendment 001.

Question 5

On page 10, under Soft Copy Bid Submission (USB Key), the instructions require a Section IV: Additional Information. However, it does not indicate what is required for this section. Could the Crown please clarify?

Answer 5

See RFP amendment below. Revision is provided in Red.

Question 6:

Can the Crown confirm our assumption that bidders do not need to map 50% of associated tasks if they are demonstrating billable days under a TBIPS contract for the same resource category as this is a TBIPS requirement?

Answer 6:

See RFP amendment 001, answer 2

Question 7:

a) RFP's Attachment 2 – Bid Evaluation Criteria – Mandatory Requirements, 1.0 Corporate Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, point (c), "The work delivered under each Resource Category must include at least 50% of the associated tasks listed in the Statement of Work at Annex A of this bid solicitation for the same resource category."

Please confirm that bidders are not required to map 50% of associated tasks listed in the Statement of Work at Annex A for those resource categories that were on contracts that were TBIPS or CPSA procurement vehicle for the exact same resource category being claimed for billable days.

Answer 7 a):

See RFP amendment 001, answer 2

b) RFP's Attachment 3 – Bid Evaluation Criteria – Rated Requirements, R.1
The Cyber Protection Supply Arrangement (CPSA) was collapsed into TBIPS Stream 6 years ago and listed the same roles and associated tasks. CPSA should also be considered equivalent to not discount long-standing successful projects that clients have chosen to extend, but are ongoing and relevant.

Solicitation Number:
E60ZR-181639/A

Amendment Number:
002

Buyer ID:
629EL

In lieu of equivalencies mapping and to reduce precious evaluation time and effort, please confirm if a signed client reference letter attesting to the fact that the resource performed over 50% of the associated tasks will suffice for substantiation.

Answer 7 b):

See RFP amendment 001, answer 2

Question 8:

IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procurement Analyst, Level 3, Point Rated Criterion R3 states: "experience in developing *IT Security Risk Agreements* for production IT Systems for the Government of Canada or large organizations with more than 1000 employees"

Please confirm Canada's definition of IT Security Risk Agreements. Is this to mean that the resource developed acceptance of residual risk? Any clarification would be greatly appreciated so that bidders may prepare appropriate and compliant responses to deliver upon Canada's requirements in this area.

Answer 8

IT Security Risk Agreements could include: Letters of Authorization; Documents detailing residual risks; Security Assessment Reports that list residual risks and non-compliance; Security Action Plans that detail risk associated with IT Systems and planning on how to migrate these risks; etc.

Question 9:

IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst, Level 3, M2 R2 and R3 include ITSG-33 and both criteria are restricted to within the last 10 years.

Respectfully, it is our understanding that ITSG-33 only came into effect in November 2012. Please confirm that experience in these criteria will be restricted to the timeframe within which ITSG-33 has been applied in the Government of Canada to say "since November 2012" to avoid confusion in evaluation should a resource not fully have the experience they are claiming.

Answer 9

See RFP amendment below. Revision is provided in Red.

Question 10

In the Solicitation Document - ABES_PROD_PW_B629_E34671_EBSU000_PDF, under table 2, if the resource is not permitted to provide a client name and client email address, due to security parameters outlined in a given contract, will this disqualify a candidate resource, even though they meet all other requirements?

Answer 10

Canada has considered your request. However, the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 11

Due to difficulties associated with contacting resources and confirming references during the March Break holidays, we respectfully request a two (2) week extension to the bid submittal date to April 8th, 2019.

Answer 11

Solicitation Number:
E60ZR-181639/A

Amendment Number:
002

Buyer ID:
629EL

The closing date for this Solicitation remains unchanged.

RFP AMENDMENT

1. AT ATTACHMENT 2, BID EVALUATION CRITERIA – MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS, 2.3 IT SECURITY TRA AND C&A ANALYST – LEVEL 3,

Delete: M2 in its entirety

Insert:

Using the template provided in table 2, the Bidder **must** demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 3 (three) years of experience, **since November 2012**, in performing IT Security Assessments according to Communications Security Establishment Canada IT Security Guidance publication number 33 (ITSG-33).

2. AT ATTACHMENT 3, BID EVALUATION CRITERIA – RATED REQUIREMENTS, 2.3 IT SECURITY TRA AND C&A ANALYST – LEVEL 3,

Delete: R2 in its entirety

Insert:

Using the template provided in table 2, the Bidder should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, **since November 2012**, in **identifying** IT security requirements for IT systems based on ITSG-33 security controls.

3. AT ATTACHMENT 3, BID EVALUATION CRITERIA – RATED REQUIREMENTS, 2.3 IT SECURITY TRA AND C&A ANALYST – LEVEL 3,

Delete: R3 in its entirety

Insert:

Using the template provided in table 2, the Bidder should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, **since November 2012**, in **reviewing and assessing** security test results of IT systems and other evidence to verify required ITSG-33 security controls have been implemented correctly.

4. At RFP 3.1 (b), Soft Copy Bid Submission,

Delete: (iv) Section IV: Additional Information - One soft copy on USB key

5. Correction to RFP Amendment 001, section 4, the amendment should have said Delete R5 in its entirety not R1.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED