



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This document contains a security requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services
professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet IT Professional Services Omnibus	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 47419-252068/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 1000342068	Date 2019-04-04
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-640-34768	
File No. - N° de dossier 640el.47419-252068	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-04-18	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Pui, Ivy	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 640el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9873 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

The purpose of Amendment number 004 is to:

- answer bidders' questions; and
- amend the Request for Proposal (RFP).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question 13

With regard to the following request (6.2 Additional CBSA Security Requirement, page 31 of the original RFP):

(b) For each proposed resources, the Bidder should submit a complete signed original TBS 330-23 Form – Personnel Screening Consent and Authorization (<https://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/tbsf-fsct/330-23-eng.asp>) with their bid. If not provided with the bid, the Bidder must provide it upon request and in the timeframe stated by the Contracting Authority (prior to Contract Award).

One or more of the proposed resources completed the TBS 330-23 some time ago in order that the bidder/firm could hold a duplication of their clearance to work on other contracts through the bidder/firm. It is not possible to obtain the original form because once the duplication request has been submitted through OLISS and the e-signature has been provided by both the resource and the vendor CSO, the option to print is removed.

Would CBSA accept a signed Security Screening Certificate and Briefing Form, received to prove that the bidder/firm holds a duplication of the proposed resource's clearance? This Briefing form shows the resource's file number, expiry date and states that the bidder/firm holds a copy of the clearance.

Answer 13

Yes, CBSA would accept a signed Security Screening Certificate and Briefing Form, received to prove that the bidder/firm holds a duplication of the proposed resource's clearance. However, we can also check the resources in OLISS.

Question 14

Amendment #2 – Financial Evaluation

We request that the lower median band limit of minus (-) 10% be changed back to minus (-) 20%

Answer 14

Canada has reviewed the request, however the lower median rate remains as minus (-) 10%.

Question 15

To reduce the knowledge of costs between companies, which could skew the median, we also ask that consultants only be permitted to bid with one company.

Answer 15

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

Question 16

RFP Amendment 002, Answer 9 states:

Solicitation Number:
47419-252068/B

Amendment Number:
004

Buyer ID:
640EL

"If Bidders are presenting different job titles, Bidders must demonstrate that the resource category meets the level of experience as defined in the Government of Canada TBIPS Supply Arrangement (see below) and has performed at least 50% of the tasks identified in the Statement of Work.

Experience Levels

Level 1: < 5 years of experience

Level 2: 5- < 10 years of experience

Level 3: 10+ years of experience"

This Answer drastically changes the scope of the original RFP, whereby bidders must now demonstrate skillset equivalency.

There are no templates in the original RFP to demonstrate this, nor are there any explanations on how to demonstrate this. We do not see any defensible language in the RFP to explain to bidders what to provide and how to provide it, therefore we no longer understand how to substantiate our experience. Can the Crown please:

- Confirm which Mandatory Corporate requirement the above Q&A refers to?
- Explain how vendors should demonstrate the above required experience?
- Provide any necessary templates to demonstrate this (ex: resources must sign, clients must sign, tasks must be mapped, etc.)

Answer 16

Canada has reviewed the request, please refer to RFP Amendment for amendment to Mandatory Corporate Requirement M.2 – Corporate Capability and the new Bidder Response Table for M.2 (Appendix B to Attachment 4.1).

Question 17

Regarding question and answer 9 for RFP 47419-252068/B, please confirm in what format the client would like to receive supporting information to demonstrate the role fits the TBIPS category?

Answer 17

Please refer to RFP Amendment for amendment to Mandatory Corporate Requirement M.2 – Corporate Capability and the new Bidder Response Table for M.2 (Appendix B to Attachment 4.1).

Question 18

Resource Category: Application/Software Architect, FI (ERP SAP ABAP) – Level 3

RT6: The bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has obtained an SAP ABAP certification within the last 12 years from the original bid closing date.

Would the Crown open up this requirement to include a PSCD certification.

Answer 18

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

RFP AMENDMENT

At Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria of the RFP, Mandatory Corporate Requirement M.2 – Corporate Capability (page 129 of the RFP) and Appendix B to Attachment 4.1 – Bidder Response Table (page 131 of the RFP):

DELETE in its entirety.

INSERT:

#	Mandatory Corporate Requirements																																				
M.2 ^{PB}	<p data-bbox="298 602 532 632"><u>Corporate Capability</u></p> <p data-bbox="298 659 1459 774">The Bidder must demonstrate its experience in supplying seven or more of the resource categories indicated in the table below. Each of the identified resources must have worked on at least one contract for a minimum period of six consecutive months within three years from the original bid closing date of this RFP.</p> <p data-bbox="298 806 1422 863">Note: To demonstrate compliance with this criterion, the bidder must complete the Bidder Response Table in Appendix B to Attachment 4.1</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="298 919 1422 1346"> <thead> <tr> <th>Resource Category</th> <th>(Qualifier)</th> <th>Level of Expertise</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Application/Software Architect</td> <td>(FI, ERP SAP ABAP)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application/Software Architect</td> <td>(HR, ERP SAP ABAP)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Application/Software Architect</td> <td>(SAP BASIS)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP System Analyst</td> <td>(SAP BASIS Database Analyst)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP System Analyst</td> <td>(SAP BI)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP System Analyst</td> <td>(SAP BI)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP Technical Analyst</td> <td>(SAP Security)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP Technical Analyst</td> <td>(SAP PO-PI)</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ERP Technical Analyst</td> <td>(SAP PO-PI)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Programmer/Software Developer</td> <td>(FI, SAP ABAP)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Programmer/Software Developer</td> <td>(HR, SAP ABAP)</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Resource Category	(Qualifier)	Level of Expertise	Application/Software Architect	(FI, ERP SAP ABAP)	3	Application/Software Architect	(HR, ERP SAP ABAP)	3	Application/Software Architect	(SAP BASIS)	3	ERP System Analyst	(SAP BASIS Database Analyst)	2	ERP System Analyst	(SAP BI)	3	ERP System Analyst	(SAP BI)	2	ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP Security)	2	ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP PO-PI)	3	ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP PO-PI)	2	Programmer/Software Developer	(FI, SAP ABAP)	2	Programmer/Software Developer	(HR, SAP ABAP)	2
Resource Category	(Qualifier)	Level of Expertise																																			
Application/Software Architect	(FI, ERP SAP ABAP)	3																																			
Application/Software Architect	(HR, ERP SAP ABAP)	3																																			
Application/Software Architect	(SAP BASIS)	3																																			
ERP System Analyst	(SAP BASIS Database Analyst)	2																																			
ERP System Analyst	(SAP BI)	3																																			
ERP System Analyst	(SAP BI)	2																																			
ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP Security)	2																																			
ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP PO-PI)	3																																			
ERP Technical Analyst	(SAP PO-PI)	2																																			
Programmer/Software Developer	(FI, SAP ABAP)	2																																			
Programmer/Software Developer	(HR, SAP ABAP)	2																																			

Solicitation Number:
47419-252068/B

004

Amendment Number:

640EL

Buyer ID:

APPENDIX B to ATTACHMENT 4.1

BIDDER RESPONSE TABLE

Bidder Response Table M.2 - Corporate Capability <i>Bidder to replicate table for each Resource Category demonstrated</i>					
	Bidder Name				
	Reference Contract Number				
Client Particulars	Client Organization Name				
	Contract or Project Title				
	Client Contact Name and Title	Name:	Title:		
	Contract start and end date (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy)	Start Date:	End Date:		
Resource Details	Client telephone number and email address	Telephone Number:		Email:	
	Resource Name				
	Experience Level* (check the appropriate box)	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 1 (< 5 years)	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 2 (5 to 10 years)	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 3 (10+ years)	
	Start and end date (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy) of Resource on reference contract	Start Date:	End Date:		
	Resource Category Substantiation				
A. Resource Category Title (as it appears on the Reference Contract)					
B. Task Numbers					
<p>*Experience Level of the named resource must correspond to those defined in the Government of Canada TBIPS Supply Arrangement.</p> <p>If the Resource Category Title in Row A is different from the Resource Category required in M.2 – Corporate Capability, using the Article 10 of Annex A - Statement of Work, the Bidder must indicate in Row B which task numbers were performed by the named resource. To qualify, the named resource must have performed at least 50% of the tasks identified in the Article 10 of Annex A - Statement of Work. No further substantiation is required.</p> <p>Note: The same resource cannot be referred for more than one Resource Category.</p>					

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED