

**RETURN BIDS TO:****RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau**

**Québec**

**K1A 0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT****MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires****Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services

professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS IT Security Prof. Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> E60ZR-181639/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 010
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20181639	<b>Date</b> 2019-04-05
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$EL-629-34671	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 629el.E60ZR-181639	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2019-04-10</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Morin, Sylvie	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 629el
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 859-0761 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 010 is raised to answer Bidders' questions and amend the RFP.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

### Question 43

With regards to the requirement for client references for all projects being presented in all resources grids: Canada has ask for a client reference on every single project being used in demonstrating resources' experience. (**For example**, TRA and C&A analysts typically have short projects of approximately 2-3 months. This means that 20+ projects may be needed to demonstrate a mandatory criterion asking for 10 years of experience)

We request that Canada accepts as compliant 3 recent projects' reference for each candidate.

### Answer 43:

Canada has reviewed the request, however the requirement remains unchanged.

### Question 44:

We request a two week extension of the solicitation closing period to April 22<sup>nd</sup> to enable bidders to realign their efforts towards the requirements as altered during solicitation amendments and to develop competitive service offerings that will add value to delivering upon your client's requirements.

### Answer 44:

See RFP Amendment 009.

### Question 45:

Further to RFP Amendment 1 question #2 and RFP Amendment 3 question #13 – Please confirm we do **not** have to demonstrate 50% of the tasks of the Statement of Work for exact match categories.

### Answer 45

For the referenced contract to demonstrate experience, the work delivered under each Resource Category must include at least 50% of the associated tasks listed in the Statement of Work at Annex A of this bid solicitation for the same resource category.

The requirement remains unchanged.

### Question 46:

Due to changes in the grids and corporate, we respectfully request a very small extension of 2 days to Wednesday April 10<sup>th</sup> in order to provide time for all resources to update their experience.

### Answer 46

See RFP Amendment 009.

### Question 47

Concerning R1 for the 2.6 IT Security VA Specialist – Level 3:

3 points will be awarded for each language or framework demonstrated up to a maximum of 30 points.

We assume that the 3 points are awarded for each demonstrated **experience** using a programming language/framework (up to 10 occurrences) as opposed to 3 points per different **type** of programming software/framework (up to 10 different types of programming software/framework).

### Answer 47

See RFP amendment below. Revision is provided in Red.

**Question 48**

The resources required includes 2 C3. IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst as follows:

- C3. IT Security TRA and C&A, Level 3; and
- C3. IT Security TRA and C&A - **Projects**, Level 3

However, there is only 1 grid provided and it is for the first category, the C3. IT Security TRA and C&A, Level 3. There is no grid provided for the 'IT Security TRA and C&A - Projects' position.

Are Bidders only required to submit one (1) IT Security TRA and C&A, Level 3 resources with our proposal?

**Answer 48**

Refer to 2.0 of Attachment 2 and Attachment 3 of the RFP,

The Bidder must propose 7 resources and include 7 up to date résumés, in accordance with the following table. The same individual must not be proposed for more than one Resource Category.

Category, Level	# of Resources to be Proposed	# of Résumés to be Provided
Business Continuity/Disaster Recovery Specialist, Level 3	1	1
IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedure Analyst, Level 3	1	1
<b>IT Security TRA and C&amp;A Analyst, level 3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Incident Management Specialist, level 3	1	1
Security Engineer, level 3	1	1
IT Security VA Specialist, level 3	1	1

See RFP amendment below. Revision is provided in Red.

...continuing next page.

**RFP AMENDMENT**

**1. At Attachment 3, 2.6 IT SECURITY VA SPECIALIST – LEVEL 3 – POINT RATED CRITERIA,**

**Delete: R1 in its entirety**

**Insert:**

ID	Resource Name:	Scoring	Max Points	Demonstrated Experience
R1	Using the template provided in table 2, the Bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, within the last 10 years prior to the RFP posting date, in software programming languages or frameworks in which they have conducted vulnerability assessments. Examples, Java, PHP, .Net, JavaScript <b>A maximum of 3 points will be allocated per language or framework. Each programming language or framework should only demonstrated once. No bonus points for demonstrating the same language or framework more than once.</b>	3 points for each <b>different demonstrated</b> language or framework up to a maximum of 30 points	30	

**2. At Appendix C, 2.6 IT SECURITY VA SPECIALIST – LEVEL 3 – POINT RATED CRITERIA,**

**Delete: R1 in its entirety**

**Insert:**

ID	Resource Name:	Scoring	Max Points	Demonstrated Experience
R1	Using the template provided in table 2, the Bidder should demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, within the last 10 years prior to the Draft TA issuance date, in software programming languages or frameworks in which they have conducted vulnerability assessments. Examples, Java, PHP, .Net, JavaScript <b>A maximum of 3 points will be allocated per language. Each programming language or framework should only demonstrated once. No bonus points for demonstrating the same language or framework more than once.</b>	3 points for each <b>different demonstrated</b> language or framework up to a maximum of 30 points	30	

**3. At Appendix C,**

**Insert:**

**1.7 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst – level 3 – Projects - Mandatory Requirements**

ID	Resource Name:	Met	Demonstrated Compliance
M1	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor <b>must</b> demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 10 (ten) years of experience in IT Security Assessments of IT systems.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
M2	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor <b>must</b> demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 3 (three) years of experience, since November 2012, in performing IT Security Assessments according to Communications Security Establishment Canada IT Security Guidance publication number 33 (ITSG-33).	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
M3	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor <b>must</b> demonstrate that the proposed resource has a minimum of 3 (three) years of experience, within the last 10 (ten) years prior to the Draft TA issuance date, in IT Security Assessments of developed applications, customized applications or Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) applications.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

**Insert:**

**2.7 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst – level 3 – Projects – Point rated criteria**

ID	Resource Name:	Scoring	Max Points	Demonstrated Experience
R1	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience within the last 10 years prior to the Draft TA issuance date <b>conducting</b> Security Assessments on IT Systems with over 1000 users.	1 point per IT System up to a maximum of 20 points	20	

Solicitation Number:  
E60ZR-181639/A

Amendment Number:  
010

Buyer ID:  
629EL

ID	Resource Name:	Scoring	Ma x Poi nts	Demonstrated Experience
R2	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, since November 2012, in <b>identifying</b> IT security requirements for IT systems based on ITSG-33 security controls.	1 point for each IT System up to a maximum of 20 points.	20	
R3	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, since November 2012, in <b>reviewing and assessing</b> security test results of IT systems and other evidence to verify required ITSG-33 security controls have been implemented correctly.	1 point for each IT System up to a maximum of 20 points.	20	
R4	Using the template provided in table 2, the Contractor should clearly demonstrate that the proposed resource has experience, within the last 10 years prior to the Draft TA issuance date, in <b>preparing</b> Security Assessment Reports of IT systems.	1 point for each IT System up to a maximum of 40 points.	40	
Minimum Threshold of 70% = 70			100	

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED