



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 LaurierSt./ 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS SECURITY
REQUIREMENTS

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Infrastructure Maintenance and Solution Services

Division (FK)

L'Esplanade Laurier,

East Tower 4th Floor

L'Esplanade Laurier,

Tour est 4e étage

140 O'Connor, Street

Ottawa

Ontario

K1A 0R5

Title - Sujet PPCRM PMSS	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP750-192338/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20192338	Date 2019-04-08
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FK-290-76798	
File No. - N° de dossier fk290.EP750-192338	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-05-13	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Ghoumrassi, Hakim	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fk290
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 664-7321 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

THIS AMENDMENT 002 IS TO ANSWER BIDDERS' QUESTIONS:

Q1: Could the Crown please confirm that a "Certified Project Manager" as referenced in MT2b and MT3b is defined as an active Project Management Professional (PMP) and that the bidder is mandated to provide proof of PMP certification in order to be compliant.

R1: The PMP is one of the certifications accepted in the RFP but not the only one that is valid. All certifications must be supported by proof as indicated in the mandatory criteria MT2 and MT3.

Q2: Could the Crown please confirm that the minimum number of points required for the Project Administrator for Real Property – Senior is 95 points, as opposed to the 90 points indicated on page 23? It appears the wrong flexible grid has been referenced and therefore page 24 should read as follows:

- Senior: Minimum 95 pts
- Intermediate: Minimum 70 pts
- Junior: Minimum 50 pts

R2: There is no error with the value given for the minimum required number of points for the Project Administrator in the document. The correct number is 90 points which is recorded on both page **23** and **24** of the RFP.

Q3: 7 out of the 10 items requested as part of RT2.4 (page 35) are fact based and do not demonstrate value, as indeed the bid would be deemed non-compliant not to include those simple facts. We do not wish the RFP scoring to be biased with points awarded for confirmatory information, we would therefore suggest the Crown remove the 30 points allocated to this.

R3: We have reviewed this suggestion and we will not be making changes to this section.

Q4: Can the Crown confirm if there is a minimum rated score required per section for bidder and resource rated requirements; i.e. it is not possible to score zero in a section and still be considered a compliant bid?

R4: It is required that you respond to the mandatory technical criteria in order for your bid to be deemed admissible. There is no minimum points required for the rated technical criteria, but proposals for which evaluated scores fail to achieve the pass mark, as a minimum, will be deemed non-responsive.

Q5: MT2 and MT3 require that two (2) "Project Managers for Real Property – Senior" and one (1) "Project Manager for Real Property – Intermediate" must be certified professional Engineers, Architects, or PMP certified Project Managers. Given that the Project Leader for Real Property – Senior must provide overall leadership, direction and support to those Project Managers; could you please confirm that the Senior Project Leader is also required to be a certified professional Engineer, Architect or PMP certified Project Manager in good standing in Canada?

R5: The Senior Project leader is not required to have professional certification.

Q6: *We are a small indigenous firm, and can demonstrate many of the required aspects identified within the Aboriginal Participation Component (APC). However, it indicates that any criteria deemed materially unresponsive will receive a zero points – none? That seems unfair, would you consider changing this to allow partial points to be awarded so we can be recognized for some of the tools and processes we have put in place....even 0.25 would be better than 0?*

Section:

Scoring:

- Where the Bidder has provided information materially responding to all criteria listed above, the assigned score will be assigned 1.25 points for each criteria (accounting for a maximum of 5% of the overall score)
Where any criteria is missing or deemed materially unresponsive, the assigned score for that criteria will be zero (0)

R6: We have reviewed this suggestion and we will not be making changes to this section.