RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT/CE DOCUMENT CONTIENT UNE EXIGENCE DE SÉCURITÉ

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division des services professionnels en informatique
Les Terrasses de la Chaudière
10, rue Wellington, 4ième étage/Floor
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS - IT Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation G9292-176717/B	**Amendment No. - N° modif.** 008
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client G9292-176717	**Date** 2019-04-23
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-$$ZM-380-34738	
File No. - N° de dossier 380zm.G9292-176717	**CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME**
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin **at - à 02:00 PM** **on - le 2019-05-14**	**Time Zone** **Fuseau horaire** Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. **Plant-Usine:** ☐ **Destination:** ☑ **Other-Autre:** ☐	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Cook, Gail	**Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur** 380zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9369 ( )	**FAX No. - N° de FAX** (819) 956-2675
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: **Destination - des biens, services et construction:** EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT CANADA	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	**Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée**
Vendor/Firm Name and Address **Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur** **Telephone No. - N° de téléphone** **Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur**	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) **Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)** **Signature** **Date**	

AMENDMENT NO. 008

This amendment is raised to answer Bidders' questions.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Question 95:
With regard to Workstream #1 – Business Services and Workstream #2 – Project Management Services – Rated Requirement RTC1, b) (ii)

For "similar resource categories", the RFP requests that the bidder provide EITHER the resource category and level OR demonstrated experience of 10+ years in this category. Is it therefore sufficient to state that the resource category was, for example, "B.1 Business Analyst Level 3" if the resource was carrying out senior business analyst activities? Is it still necessary to demonstrate 10+ years of experience as a Business Analyst? If so, could you clarify the form in which the demonstration of experience should take? Also, when the criterion requests the "start and end dates of the experience, a brief description of the services provided by the resource, and the name of the Client for whom the services were provided", is the RFP requesting this information in order to demonstrate 10+ years of experience as a Business Analyst or just for the contract that was worked on for the Bidder?

Answer 95:
For similar resource categories 10+ years of experience in the category is to be demonstrated. The start and end dates and a brief description of the services to be provided will be used to evaluate the 10+ years of experience.

Question 96:
Regarding RTC1:
In amendment #5, changes have been made to RTC1. Previously the requirement had requested that experience claimed must be from between January 1, 2012 and the bid closing date. However this aspect of the criterion appears to have been dropped. Could you please confirm if relevant experience should still be from between these dates?

Answer 96:
For RTC1, relevant experience does not need to be between January 1, 2012 and bid closing date.

Question 97:
In regards to WS1 and WS2 MTC1 item c):

If a firm uses a reference contract which has one (1) resource category with fifteen (15) resources and maps that category to two (2) or more resource categories that are similar as the resource categories listed in the SOW would this be compliant?

Answer 97:
A bidder would be deemed compliant, provided that it could map 80% of the tasks in the reference contract to two or more resource categories that are similar to the resource categories listed in the SOW.

Question 98:
In regards to WS1 and WS2 MTC1 item c),

a) Will the Crown consider different variations of TBIPS resource categories (i.e. Project Manager – Release Manager in this bid solicitation) as unique resource categories?

b) As a follow up to question a) above, will the Crown consider the following scenario as three (3) unique resource categories if they have been defined as follows in the awarded TBIPS contract:

(i) Programmer Analyst – Java
(ii) Programmer Analyst – .Net
(iii) Programmer Analyst – Cognos

Answer 98:
These types of categories are unique but "similar" rather than the "same".

Question 99:
Regarding Workstream 1 – Business Services MTC1 c) - Please confirm which of the scenarios would be deemed complaint regarding item c):

Scenario #1
Reference #1 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) has same or similar categories listed equaling - 10 Business Analysts, 4 Technical Writers = 14 placements on reference #1
Reference #2 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) has same or similar categories listed equaling 1 BPR Consultant - 1 placement = 1 placement on reference #2

Total of 15 placements over 2 references

Scenario #2
Reference #1 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) has same or similar categories listed equaling - 10 Business Analysts, 5 Technical Writers = 15 placements on reference #1
Reference #2 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) 0 placement same or similar categories

Total of 15 placements in one reference

Scenario #3
Reference #1 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) has same or similar categories listed equaling - 10 Business Analysts, 5 Technical Writers = 15 placements on reference #1
Reference #2 of required 2 - meets items a & b for c) has same or similar categories listed equaling - 1 BPR Consultant, 11 Business Analysts, 3 Business Architect = 16 placements on reference #2

Total of 30 placements over 2 references (15 for each reference)

Answer 99:
Scenario #1 – Non-compliant
Scenario #2 – Non-compliant
Scenario #3 – Compliant provided claimed experience is between January 1, 2012 and bid closing date.

Question 100:
In reference to **"Amendment 002 – Answer 3"**

"…Canada will remove the resource categories P.13 Independent Information Technology Project Review Team Leader and P.14 Independent Information Technology Project Reviewer from this requirement."

Can Canada please clarify if these categories have been removed from the entire RFP (pricing no longer needs to be submitted for these 2 categories) or if these categories have simply been removed from the MTC and RTC corporate evaluation requirements (pricing still needs to be submitted for these 2 categories)?

Answer 100:
These two resources categories have been deleted in their entirety from this requirement.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.