

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY
REQUIREMENT/CE DOCUMENT CONTIENT
UNE EXIGENCE DE SÉCURITÉ

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division
des services professionnels en informatique
Les Terrasses de la Chaudière
10, rue Wellington, 4ième
étage/Floor
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS - IT Services		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation G9292-176717/A		Amendment No. - N° modif. 009
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client G9292-176717		Date 2019-04-24
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-380-34737		
File No. - N° de dossier 380zm.G9292-176717	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-05-14		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Cook, Gail		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 380zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9369 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-2675
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT CANADA		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT NO. 009

This amendment is raised to answer Bidders' questions.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Question 100:

With respect to RTC1 the Crown has confirmed that Bidders can leverage non TBIPS contracts with similar roles to those under each stream in the RFP.

The listing of tasks by resource category is unique to public sector contracts. Crown agencies and private sector employers often request us to provide resources in more general resource categories defined by experience (e.g. Senior Consultant) and request specific deliverables (e.g. disaster recovery plans, release schedules).

In order to demonstrate the placement of similar resources, will the Crown confirm Bidders can demonstrate placement of similar resources for RTC1 by:

- mapping tasks in the SoW to deliverable and/or related requirements in a contract; and
- demonstrating the resource has 10+ years of experience.

Answer 100:

Canada will accept mapping tasks in the SOW to deliverables and/or related requirements in a contract and demonstrating that the resource has 10+ years of experience in the similar resource category.

Question 101:

In Amendment 6 there were numerous questions regarding the resource category level required when responding to RTC1 for both streams. The Amendment 5 with revised evaluation criteria did not exactly clarify this question. Can you please confirm, if demonstrating the same TBIPS resource category (ie. B.14 Technical Writer), is it necessary to provide a resource at the same level (ie. Level 3)? For example if a bidder provided the name of a resource they had placed as a B.14 Technical Writer, Level 1 (same TBIPS category, but different level than required in the RFP), would the bidder be awarded points for this resource as this resource would automatically be considered to be 80% aligned with the SOW tasks of this requirement? Or would this be considered a "similar category" due to the different level and therefore mapping would be necessary?

Answer 101:

For same resource categories, Canada will automatically consider referenced resource categories, procured through the TBIPS contracting vehicle, to be 80% aligned with the SOW tasks of this requirement if they are the same resource category and level. If the resource category is the same as a TBIPS category but a different level than required in the RFP, then it is considered to be a similar resource category. For a similar resource category, mapping and demonstrating 10+ years of experience is required.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
G9292-176717/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
G9292-176717

File No. - N° du dossier
380zmG9292-176717

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Question 102:

Can the Crown confirm that for a **similar** category at the **same level (level 3)**, bidders are **not** required to demonstrate 10 years of experience?

Answer 102:

For a similar resource category at the same level (level 3), bidders are required to demonstrate 10+ years of experience.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.