

**RETURN BIDS TO:**

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions - TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**

### Place du Portage, Phase III

## Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

## Gatineau

## Québec

**K1A 0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

## Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY  
REQUIREMENT/CE DOCUMENT CONTIENT  
UNE EXIGENCE DE SÉCURITÉ

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique  
Les Terrasses de la Chaudière  
10, rue Wellington, 4ième  
étage/Floor  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS - IT Services		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> G9292-176717/A		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 015
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> G9292-176717		<b>Date</b> 2019-05-16
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-380-34737		
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 380zm.G9292-176717	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2019-05-24</b>		<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Cook, Gail		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 380zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 858-9369 ( )		<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-2675
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>  EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT CANADA		

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

## AMENDMENT NO. 015

This amendment is raised to answer Bidders' questions.

### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:**

#### **Question 132:**

##### **Reference - Appendix C to Annex A – Workstream 2 - Mandatory Grid for P.5 Project Executive – Level 3:**

The combination of MT5 PMP plus MT6 Program and/or Portfolio Certification plus MT7 Graduate Degree in limited fields makes for an unnecessarily restrictive combination that will eliminate most of ESDC current Project Executives delivering quality work on key deliverables. It also drives an unnecessarily small pool for new submissions, leaving ESDC with little to no choice between candidates. We suggest the Crown, reduce "Graduate" degree to "Degree" and eliminate the fields of study. AND combine MT5 and MT6 into an OR statement.

#### **Answer 132:**

*After consultation with our stakeholders, the evaluation criterion will remain as written.*

#### **Question 133:**

##### **Reference – Appendix C to Annex A – Workstream 1 - Mandatory Grid for B.7 Business Transformation Architect – Level 3:**

The combination of MTC3 accreditation in Change Management is unnecessarily restrictive as it does not encompass those that have come up through a CBAP or Certified Management Consultant (CMC). This is especially the case when viewed in combination with MTC4 accreditation in TOGAF or similar. Furthermore, the CM accreditation is covered in the CM category. It eliminates many current BTAs at ESDC (that are trusted with driving the key projects) and creates an unnecessarily small pool for new submissions leaving ESDC with little to no choice between candidates. We ask the Crown to eliminate MTC3 as it is addressed by MTC4.

#### **Answer 133:**

*After consultation with our stakeholders, the evaluation criterion will remain as written.*

#### **Question 134:**

##### **Reference – Part 1 section 1.2 Summary, paragraph (b) of the bid solicitation:**

The instructions state "In the event that a Bidder wants to bid on more than one Workstream, a separate technical bid should be submitted for each Workstream." If a Bidder is bidding on more than one Workstream, should they also submit a separate financial bid for each Workstream? And a second copy of certifications?

#### **Answer 134:**

*If a Bidder is bidding on more than one Workstream, a separate financial bid section and a separate certification section must be submitted for each Workstream.*

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
G9292-176717/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
015

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
G9292-176717

File No. - N° du dossier  
380zmG9292-176717

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

**Question 135:**

With respect to this RFP's many amendments, clarifying Questions/Answers, and Requirement revisions; in order for Bidders to have any hope of providing a compliant response, we request an extension to the due date of at least one week. Bidders will require a traceability matrix to ensure they are using the correct version of the requirements and a thorough assessment of all the clarifying Q&As in context of the revised requirements and then may need to adjust already prepared work. If Bidders could receive an updated RFP, that may help matters. The confusion around this RFP's requirements is considerable and puts Bidders at great risk of non-compliance.

**Answer 135:**

*Solicitation amendment 011 extended the bid closing date to May 24<sup>th</sup> to allow bidders time to review and update their bid. No further extensions are being considered due to operational needs. Canada will not post an updated RFP. However, Word versions of all solicitation amendments are available upon request to [gail.cook@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca](mailto:gail.cook@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca). It is the Bidder's responsibility to ensure that any revisions made through any solicitation amendment issued through Buy and Sell are taken into account.*

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.**