

Question Series	Question #	Question	Answer
1	1	In Appendix G, you list the Sector-Specific Components as Insurance Underwriting, Claims, Fraud, and Policy, Capital Markets Treasury, Securitization, and Portfolio Management, Assisted Housing Grants, Lending, Claims, and Oversight, and Policy, Market Research, and Surveys. Is the intention for the scope of development to include creating rules, deploying models for all these areas?	<i>No. CMHC wants to know how to create the rules with training, support and mentoring from the vendor. That said, CMHC may elect to use the vendor's consulting services to help create rules and deploy models for a project in the future.</i>
	2	to determine timing guidance, we will need to know the set of decisions you will be using our engine for, and some estimate of the number rules in each decision area. We would also need to understand the CMHC systems that we will be integrating/interacting with as part of the process.	<i>Number of decisions CMHC is transforming its systems including decision logic. The decision logic will need to become more efficient and easier to maintain that the logic presently residing in, for example, COBOL programs. CMHC does not have figures for the number of rules for each decision area. It would also be a difficult number to estimate given decision logic will be different in the new system rather than existing programs. CMHC needs to understand the capabilities of the proposed systems and costs. Cost will drive whether a system uses the proposed system's logic for decisions or an alternative. It is not a given that all systems will use the Decision Rules Engine. If it is advantageous to use it, including cost, it is likely all will. If it is too costly, the system will be used only if necessary. CMHC is looking for an attractive cost model for use.</i>
	3	From Appendix G and the Target Solutions Description and the Integration Guiding Principles, our assumption would be that we would only be connecting to Microsoft Azure Enterprise Integration so that there is a single core messaging source, may you please confirm that?	<i>Answer: CMHC intends to use that, but there may be integrations from other points.</i>
	4	How many decision areas are included in the Scope? are these all the 12 areas ? A) Insurance Underwriting, Claims, Fraud, and Policy, Capital Markets Treasury, Securitization, and Portfolio Management, Assisted Housing Grants, Lending, Claims, and Oversight, and Policy, Market Research, and Surveys.	<i>Please see above. All of these areas are potentially in scope but not confirmed in scope.</i>
	5	What's the number of models to be executed in the platform? A) How many SaaS models?	<i>CMHC assumes this is just a small typo and it is SAS models. CMHC does not know the number of models to be used with this system yet.</i>

Question Series	Question #	Question	Answer
			<i>For pricing purposes, CMHC would use 20 these models. One of the models for housing market would have up to 100 sub models for different geographies in Canada.</i>
	5	B) How many PMML, R, Python models	<i>CMHC does not know the number of models to be used with this system yet.</i> <i>For pricing purposes, CMHC would use 20 these models. One of the models for housing market would have up to 100 sub models for different geographies in Canada.</i>
	6	Does CMHC prefer a hosted solution by the vendor or an Accenture managed install on Microsoft azure	<i>CMHC prefers a solution hosted on Microsoft Azure in Canada with high availability, low network latency to connect with CMHC's other systems hosted in Azure Canada East/Canada Central.</i>
	7	How many users will be accessing reporting in the decision engine? A) please specify number of users.	<i>Usage will be determined by the number of systems we use this with.</i>
	8	How many rules in total will be implemented in total across all 12 decision areas? in phase 1 of the implementation	<i>Please see the answer above, "Number of decisions" from Question 2</i>
	9	Does CMHC want to price the total effort of implementation for all 12 decisions areas or a subset of the deliverables in phase 1	<i>Implementation effort should be priced to allow CMHC to use the system fully for 1 or more than one decision area. Decision areas are configured and should not require addition implementation to use.</i>
	10	How are these 2 requirements different? #4.6. Response to Statement of Work (completed spreadsheet "Decision Engine Vendor Response Template") and #4.10 Decision Engine Vendor Response Template	<i>Under 4.6 directs proponents to section 3 as a critical section, to help them review and comply with the Integration Guide, the IT Security Policy and the Travel Policy and the various definitions that should be considered.</i> <i>Under 4.10 CMHC wanted to stress that Appendix E must be completed for CMHC review and consideration. It is redundant and should have been added to section 4.6 and will be address in future RFP's.</i>
2	1	What is the overall budget is for the project	<i>The budget has not been confirmed</i>
	2	Do you have an in-house development team who, post-deployment by the supplier, would handle configuration changes to the system if required? (of course the supplier would continue to support the system)	<i>Yes. CMHC (or its other service providers) would handle configuration changes related to rules, decisions and models. CMHC may purchase from the supplier training and mentoring on how to configure the system. CMHC may purchase from the supplier services to configure the system itself. If purchased as SaaS the supplier would operate the system, CMHC would configure rules.</i>
	3	What kind of licence type are you seeking for the system	<i>CMHC is seeking cost effectiveness over the life of the system. We are open to license types.</i>
3	1	Would CMHC accept an Integrated Solution with Multiple Software components supporting the Final solution	<i>CMHC strives to reduce complexity and potential redundancy in its architecture. An integrated solution would have to avoid those risks.</i>

Question Series	Question #	Question	Answer
-----------------	------------	----------	--------

		response in the Cloud? or is CMHC looking for an "Out of the Box" Solution?	
	2	Would CMHC accept a request for an Extension to this RFP?	<i>CMHC has granted a one week extension to June 3, 2019</i>