



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Toronto
Ontario
M2N 6A6

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)
Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Address Enquiries to:
E-mail: Lauren.Woodhall@pwgsc.gc.ca

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Regional Manager/Real Property
Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

| | |
|--|--|
| Title - Sujet Architectural Services | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-193417/A | Date 2019-06-05 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EQ754-193417 | Amendment No. - N° modif. 004 |
| File No. - N° de dossier PWL-8-41133 (035) | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-035-2451 | |
| Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale | |
| 2019-04-15 | |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-06-11 | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Woodhall, Lauren | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl035 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 230-9083 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region | |
| Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre. | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Acknowledgement copy required | Yes - Oui | No - Non |
| Accusé de réception requis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre. | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | | |
| For the Minister - Pour le Ministre | | |

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ754-193417/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
004

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
PWL035

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
EQ754-193417

File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-8-41133

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Solicitation Amendment 004

This amendment is being raised to 1) incorporate changes to section SRE 2.2 of the Request for Proposal, 2) incorporate changes to section SRE 3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification of the French version only, and 3) provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

1. SRE 2 Proposal Requirements; 2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format

Delete: In its entirety

Insert:

2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format

The maximum number of pages (including text and graphics) to be submitted for the Rated requirements under SRE 3.2 is sixty (60) pages.

The following are not part of the page limitation mentioned above;

- Covering letter
- Table of Contents
- Tabs / Page Dividers (provided they are free of text and/or graphics)
- Declaration/Certifications Form (Appendix A)
- Integrity Provisions –Required Documentation
- Front page of the Request for Standing Offer document
- Front page of revision(s) to the Request for Standing Offer document
- Price Proposal Form (Appendix B)
- Team Identification (Appendix C)

Consequence of non-compliance: any pages which extend beyond the above page limitation and any other attachments will be extracted from the proposal and will not be forwarded to the PWGSC Evaluation Board members for evaluation.

2. SRE 3 Mandatory Requirements; 3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification

Change is to the French version only.

3. Requests for Clarification

Q30. Re: Project 1 for the production of a TOR: There is no specific RS number for production of TOR's. Could you please direct us to the appropriate RS numbers that we should use for this task?

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ754-193417/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
004

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
PWL035

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
EQ754-193417

File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-8-41133

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

- A30.** This would fall under Required Service (RS) 2.1 Basic Services for the purpose of this exercise. In the event of a call up, the scope and RS would be customized accordingly.
- Q31.** Re: Project 1 for production of a TOR: Please define end-state design, as it is not in the definitions. Does it go to the end of the design stage, or does it go to the end of the construction stage?
- A31.** Include any assumptions made about the Hypothetical project in your response. In this hypothetical project, your firm has been asked to meet with the DND team to prepare the scope of work that will form the Terms of Reference to secure the end-state design of the project requirements.
- Q32.** **On Pg. 148 Section 3.1.2** - For the Licensing part of the RFP do we only include the License for the Architect or do you want us to include the Licenses for all the Sub Consultants also?
- A32.** As per 3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification “For the prime and sub-consultant/specialist(s) indicate current professional license and/or how you intend to meet the Ontario provincial licensing requirements.”
- Q33.** The Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer (Amendment's Issued) it states on the page - Acknowledgement copy required: YES or NO - what does that mean and do we have to checkmark one or just ignore it.
- A33.** Proponents are not required to check one however the Proponents are required review and acknowledge all amendments and a signed copy of each Solicitation amendment should accompany your proposal.
- Q34.** Regarding the above noted project, “Interior Designer” is a legal term referring to an individual registered with ARIDO. However, Architects are qualified to perform interior design services under their OAA license. Will PWGSC accept Architects in the Interior Designer role as shown on the Price Proposal Form (page 165 of the RFP)?
- A34.** Architects may submit Interior Design fees in line with the instructions within “Appendix B – Price Proposal”.
- Q35.** Hypothetical Project 2: it is still not clear what is being asked of the Consultant for this part of the submission. Are we to prepare a response based on the assumption that the CFIA renovation will require consultant services from the Analysis Stage, through Schematic and Design Development, Contract Documents, Tender, Contract Administration and Project Close Out.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EQ754-193417/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
004

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
PWL035

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
EQ754-193417

File No. - N° du dossier
PWL-8-41133

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

- A35.** Include any assumptions made about the Hypothetical project in your response. In this Hypothetical Project, the Proponent is required to review the CARQ, Functional program and existing documents for the building as well as prepare Fees, Deliverables, Schedule, and Construction Budget – Class D.
- Q36.** In Solicitation Amendment 001, Point #2: The addendum asks: delete **2.2 Specific Requirement for Proposal Format** *“in it’s entirety”*. That section (2.2) included a bulleted list of exclusions to the original page limit which allowed. The “Replace” text expanded the limit from 55 to 60 but made no mention of exclusions that were previously listed. **The question is:** Was the text in amendment 001 intending to simply delete and replace the first sentence of section 2.2 or was the intent to delete the entire section 2.2 and only replace it with the text indicating a 60 page limit (all encompassing, including front page of revision and team resumes, etc...)? We can reasonably assume that the exclusions from the original bulleted list would still apply but if we are strictly adhering to the wording in the amendment it does read otherwise.
- A36.** Yes, the exclusions apply to the 60 page limit.

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain the same.