



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services
professionnels en informatique - division EL

Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor

10 Wellington Street

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Cyber Security program	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 24062-190077/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 24062-190077	Date 2019-06-05
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-638-35684	
File No. - N° de dossier 638el.24062-190077	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-06-11	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mao, Lan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 638el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9980 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
24062-190077/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
24062-190077

File No. - N° du dossier
638el24062-190077

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Amendment 006 is raised to answer Bidders' questions.

Question 19:

Regarding M1, Workstream 2. We have reviewed the task list in Annex A for the TRA position, and it is significantly lengthier than the task list in the TBIPS Supply Arrangement on the TBIPS web site. Is this a mistake in Annex A, and may Bidders use the official task list on the Supply Arrangement?

Answer 19:

No, there is no mistake. If the Bidder is using TBIPS contracts to demonstrate the above resource category experience, listing the TBIPS resource categories will suffice if the resource categories used to demonstrate are the same as the resource categories required in the solicitation. For other contracts, including TBIPS contracts with other resource categories different from the ones identified above, the work delivered under each resource category must include at least 50% of the associated tasks listed in Annex A – Statement of Work for that Resource Category.

Question 20:

Reference: RFP's Appendix C to Annex A – Resources Assessment Criteria and Response Table, specifically:

- C.2 IT Security Methodology, Policy and Procedures Analyst, Level 3 - M2
- C.7 IT Security Design Specialist (ICAM), Level 3 - M2
- P.12 Risk Management Specialist, Level 3 - M3, R1, and R2
- B.1 Business Analyst, Level 3 - M2, and R3
- C.3 IT Security TRA and C&A Analyst, Level 3 - R1

All the above requirements require the Contractor to demonstrate that the proposed resources have certain project experience within large government clients.

Will the crown modify the definition of a large government client to incorporate both departments with 5,000 employees or more, as well as government departments, such as TBS, who, through their mandate, are responsible for the management oversight and strategic support across government organizations that impact well over 5,000 employees government-wide.

Answer 20:

No. The definition of "Large" remains unchanged.

Question 21:

Reference : Workstream 1 - M1, R2 and R3

Given that Workstream 1 is Strategy and Design, we believe that the development of strategy and architecture artifacts per M1 d i) should certainly be valued as highly as implementation of a cyber security operations program. Notwithstanding, large Cloud and ICAM projects that meet corporate mandates are few and far between. This requirement carries 30 points in both workstreams with many of the resources roles repeating in both workstreams. Given that experience and value for Cloud and ICAM projects is already captured in Workstream 2, would the Crown consider replacing R2 Cloud and ICAM experience in Workstream 1 with valuing experience in strategy and architecture design projects that would mirror the R3 requirement as follows and change the maximum points to 15 points?

R2: The Bidder should demonstrate that it has been awarded contracts where the Bidder provided IT Security professional services as required in M1, which is related to the development of M1 artifacts in support of organizations transformation initiatives related to cyber security where an organizational transformation initiative is the process of migrating an organization from an "as is" state to be a "to be" state;

Answer 21:

No. R2 of Workstream 1 remains unchanged.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
24062-190077/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
638el

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
24062-190077

File No. - N° du dossier
638el24062-190077

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Question 22:

The Statement of Work in Annex A of the RFP outlines key activities/scope, which would require senior project resources; as has been requested in the RFP.

In addition it is reasonable to expect that there will be less complex tasks such as preparing status reports, coordinating documentation requests and interviews, industry research, as well as developing initial drafts of deliverables that could be more readily and efficiently be completed by less costly resources such as a Level 1 and Level 2 resources. Would the Crown consider adding these resource categories to the existing requirement to ensure that the Crown can access the most appropriate resource to meet its needs as well as provide better value for the Crown?

Answer 22:

No.

Question 23:

The Cyber Protection Supply Arrangement (CPSA) was discontinued and the roles on this vehicle were moved over into TBIPS Stream 6 category however some CPSA contracts are still active. Please confirm if the Bidder is using TBIPS or CPSA contracts to demonstrate experience, listing the TBIPS or CPSA resource categories will suffice if the resource categories used to demonstrate are the same as the resource categories required in the solicitation as listed. For other contract outside of TBIPS or CPSA, the work delivered under each resource category must include at least 50% of the associated tasks listed in Annex A – Statement of Work for that Resource Category.

Answer 23:

If the bidder is using CPSA contracts to demonstrate experience, listing the CPSA resource categories will not be sufficient to demonstrate the experience. The bidder must demonstrate in the bid that the work delivered under the resource category under CPSA contracts include at least 50% of the associated tasks listed in Annex A – Statement of Work for the Resource Category required in the solicitation.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.