



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9  
Bid Fax: (604) 775-7526

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

LE PRÉSENT DOCUMENT COMPORTE UNE  
EXIGENCE RELATIVE À LA SÉCURITÉ.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific  
Region  
219 - 800 Burrard Street  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9

<b>Title - Sujet</b> CONTAMINATED SITES RISK ASSESSMENT	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EZ897-191436/B	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EZ897-191436	<b>Date</b> 2019-06-17
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$VAN-582-8598	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> VAN-9-42022 (582)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2019-07-08</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b>	
<b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lee, Hilda	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> van582
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (604) 764-6053 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (604) 775-7526
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EZ897-191436/B  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
EZ897-191436

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
002  
File No. - N° du dossier  
VAN-9-42022

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
van582  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

This amendment 002 is raised to incorporate the following information into Solicitation No. EZ897-191436/B.

**1) CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM**

**DELETE:**

In its entirety.

**REPLACE WITH:**

See attached – CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM (Revised).

**2) KEY PERSONNEL FORM**

**DELETE:**

In its entirety.

**REPLACE WITH:**

See attached – KEY PERSONNEL FORM (Revised).

**All other terms and conditions remain the same.**

**CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM (revised)**

Corporate Capability Project Number: \_\_\_\_

<b>Project Description - complete the following fields</b>			
Consultant Company Name*, Project Name			Date of Work (range)*
Description of Work (must be relevant to Statement of Work)*			
<b>Project Relevancy Evaluation - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)</b>			
<b>Location</b>	<b>Type of Site</b>	<b>Primary Contaminant (choose one)</b>	
Yukon	20 Airport, Military, Highway Maint. Camp <sup>1</sup>	30 PFAS, PCB, DDT, Leachable Metals	10
BC	10 Other Relevant Federal Government <sup>2</sup>	15 Metals, Hydrocarbons	5
Other	0 Other	0 Other	0
<b>Appropriate Standards (choose one)</b>	<b>Number of Key Personnel</b>	<b>Value of Consulting Work</b>	
CCME	30 Greater than 3	5 Greater than \$250,000	5
BC CSR or Yukon CSR	15 2 or 3	2.5 \$50,000 to \$250,000	2.5
Other	0 Less 2	0 Less than \$50,000	0
<b>Company Performance Evaluation - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)</b>			
<b>Design</b>			
This is the rating of the quality of the design (of the Consultants Work), including:			Superior
• Understanding of the project objectives and constraints			Satisfactory
• Thoroughness of and logical approach in problem analysis and exploration of alternatives			Not Satisfactory
• Appropriateness of concept and sensitivity to context (physical and non-physical, site, geography, function, client)			Unacceptable
			20
			15
			10
			0
<b>Quality of Results</b>			
This is the rating of the quality of all deliverables throughout the project, including:			Superior
• Responsiveness to PWGSC/Client input			Satisfactory
• Coverage of all aspects of process (all technical issues addressed, approval authorities, procedures)			Not Satisfactory
• Quality of studies including: comprehensive, logical analysis, firm and substantiated recommendations, presentation			Unacceptable
• Quality of work (completeness, accuracy, co-ordination)			
• Contract administration - correctness, timeliness			
			30
			22.5
			15
			0
<b>Management</b>			
This is the rating of how the project was managed including the delivery and overall services, including:			Superior
• Delivery of a comprehensive, reliable and effective service in a responsive and orderly manner			Satisfactory
• Understanding of the Consultant role within the context of Client's operating environment and project requirements			Not Satisfactory
• Application of initiative, judgement and attentiveness in providing services			Unacceptable
• Management of Consultant team: leadership, efficiency, fairness, and proper level of service			
• Co-ordination of sub-consultants, if applicable			
			30
			22.5
			15
			0
<b>Time</b>			
This is the rating of time planning and schedule control, including:			Superior
• Timely and accurate progress reporting			Satisfactory
• On-schedule delivery of services in every stage			Not Satisfactory
			Unacceptable
			10
			7.5
			5
			0
<b>Cost</b>			
This is the rating of the quality of cost planning and control during the life of the project, including:			Superior
• Management of the design development within cost plan			Satisfactory
• Timeliness of estimating and cost plan monitoring			Not Satisfactory
• Final project estimate vs. Actual (established at award)			Unacceptable
• Application of value engineering to design decisions, if applicable			
			10
			7.5
			5
			0
<b>Acknowledgement<sup>3</sup> - complete the following fields</b>			
Name of Reference (individual)*, Title*, Company*			
Signature*			
Date*	Telephone Number*	Email*	
<b>Notes</b>			
* = mandatory			
1 = Highway Maintenance Camp must have workers residing on site			
2 = does not include: mine sites, First Nations reserves, railways, lighthouses, and service stations			
3 = must be a third party currently employed with the client company, be independent of the Bidder, and have knowledge of the project			
Superior = exceptional and uncommon level of service, must have demonstrable examples of commendation			
Satisfactory = acceptable or good service level, fulfilling requirements			
Not Satisfactory = not acceptable or poor service level, did not meet requirements			
Unacceptable = unsuitable and inadequate level of service, must have demonstrable examples of condemnation			

