



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9  
Bid Fax: (604) 775-7526

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY  
REQUIREMENT.

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific  
Region  
219 - 800 Burrard Street  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Contaminated Sites Risk Assessment	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EZ897-191436/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EZ897-191436	<b>Date</b> 2019-06-17
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$VAN-582-8597	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> VAN-9-42022 (582)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2019-07-08</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lee, Hilda	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> van582
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (604) 764-6053 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (604) 775-7526
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EZ897-191436/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
EZ897-191436

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
002  
File No. - N° du dossier  
VAN-9-42022

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
van582  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

This amendment 002 is raised to incorporate the following information into Solicitation No. EZ897-191436/A.

**1) CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM**

**DELETE:**

In its entirety.

**REPLACE WITH:**

See attached – CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM (Revised).

**2) KEY PERSONNEL FORM**

**DELETE:**

In its entirety.

**REPLACE WITH:**

See attached – KEY PERSONNEL FORM (Revised).

**All other terms and conditions remain the same.**

# CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM (revised)

Corporate Capability Project Number: \_\_\_\_

Project Description - complete the following fields					
Consultant Company Name*, Project Name				Date of Work (range)*	
Description of Work (must be relevant to Statement of Work)*					
Project Relevancy Evaluation - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)					
Location		Type of Site		Primary Contaminant (choose one)	
Yukon		20	Airport, Military, Highway Maint. Camp <sup>1</sup>	30	PFAS, PCB, DDT, Leachable Metals
BC		10	Other Relevant Federal Government <sup>2</sup>	15	Metals, Hydrocarbons
Other		0	Other	0	Other
Appropriate Standards (choose one)		Number of Key Personnel		Value of Consulting Work	
CCME		30	Greater than 3	5	Greater than \$250,000
BC CSR or Yukon CSR		15	2 or 3	2.5	\$50,000 to \$250,000
Other		0	Less 2	0	Less than \$50,000
Company Performance Evaluation - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)					
Design					
This is the rating of the quality of the design (of the Consultants Work), including:				Superior	20
• Understanding of the project objectives and constraints				Satisfactory	15
• Thoroughness of and logical approach in problem analysis and exploration of alternatives				Not Satisfactory	10
• Appropriateness of concept and sensitivity to context (physical and non-physical, site, geography, function, client)				Unacceptable	0
Quality of Results					
This is the rating of the quality of all deliverables throughout the project, including:				Superior	30
• Responsiveness to PWGSC/Client input				Satisfactory	22.5
• Coverage of all aspects of process (all technical issues addressed, approval authorities, procedures)				Not Satisfactory	15
• Quality of studies including: comprehensive, logical analysis, firm and substantiated recommendations, presentation				Unacceptable	0
• Quality of work (completeness, accuracy, co-ordination)					
• Contract administration - correctness, timeliness					
Management					
This is the rating of how the project was managed including the delivery and overall services, including:				Superior	30
• Delivery of a comprehensive, reliable and effective service in a responsive and orderly manner				Satisfactory	22.5
• Understanding of the Consultant role within the context of Client's operating environment and project requirements				Not Satisfactory	15
• Application of initiative, judgement and attentiveness in providing services				Unacceptable	0
• Management of Consultant team: leadership, efficiency, fairness, and proper level of service					
• Co-ordination of sub-consultants, if applicable					
Time					
This is the rating of time planning and schedule control, including:				Superior	10
• Timely and accurate progress reporting				Satisfactory	7.5
• On-schedule delivery of services in every stage				Not Satisfactory	5
				Unacceptable	0
Cost					
This is the rating of the quality of cost planning and control during the life of the project, including:				Superior	10
• Management of the design development within cost plan				Satisfactory	7.5
• Timeliness of estimating and cost plan monitoring				Not Satisfactory	5
• Final project estimate vs. Actual (established at award)				Unacceptable	0
• Application of value engineering to design decisions, if applicable					
Acknowledgement <sup>3</sup> - complete the following fields					
Name of Reference (individual)*, Title*, Company*					
Signature*					
Date*		Telephone Number*		Email*	
Notes					
<p>* = mandatory</p> <p>1 = Highway Maintenance Camp must have workers residing on site</p> <p>2 = does not include: mine sites, First Nations reserves, railways, lighthouses, and service stations</p> <p>3 = must be a third party currently employed with the client company, be independent of the Bidder, and have knowledge of the project</p> <p>Superior = exceptional and uncommon level of service, must have demonstrable examples of commendation</p> <p>Satisfactory = acceptable or good service level, fulfilling requirements</p> <p>Not Satisfactory = not acceptable or poor service level, did not meet requirements</p> <p>Unacceptable = unsuitable and inadequate level of service, must have demonstrable examples of condemnation</p>					

# **KEY PERSONNEL FORM (revised)**

**Key Personnel Name\*:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Key Personnel Category and Specialization\*:** \_\_\_\_\_

Key Personnel Qualifications		
Education	Professional Accreditation*	Years Relevant Experience*
Services and Achievements of Key Personnel on projects over career (maximum 1,000 characters (not including spaces))		
Key Personnel Relevant Experience on working at a Location in the Yukon (up to 2 projects)		
<b>Project #1</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Location	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
<b>Project #2</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Location	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
Key Personnel Relevant Experience on working at a relevant Types of Site <sup>2</sup> (up to 2 projects)		
<b>Project #1</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Type of Site	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
<b>Project #2</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Type of Site	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
Key Personnel Experience on working with a relevant Primary Contaminant <sup>3</sup> (up to 2 projects)		
<b>Project #1</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Contaminant	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
<b>Project #2</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Contaminant	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
Key Personnel Experience on working with relevant CCME Standards (up to 2 projects)		
<b>Project #1</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Standard	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
<b>Project #2</b>	Name & Technical Services	_____
	Standard	Reference <sup>1</sup> Name* & Number*
Notes		
<p>* = mandatory</p> <p>1 = must be a third party currently employed with the client company, be independent of the Bidder, and have knowledge of the project</p> <p>1 = reference must include name of individual and contact telephone number</p> <p>2 = Airport, Military, Highway Maintenance Camp (latter must have workers residing on site)</p> <p>3 = PFAS, PCB, DDT, Leachable Metals</p>		