

Addenda 1

Dear Bidders,

Addenda 1

A. AMENDMENT TO THE BID SOLICITATION

Amendment 1

In Section 4. Terms of Reference under paragraph 7 TEAM DESCRIPTION, SUB-PARAGRAPH 7.2 Gender Specialist ADD the following:

- Expertise in gender aspects of evaluation through a combination of education and experience;
- Experience working in the country evaluated
- Good knowledge of gender issues in Natural Resources Management in the country evaluated;
- Good knowledge of gender issues in the countries evaluated;
- Experience participating in evaluation as a team member.
- University Degree in a relevant field.

Amendment 2

In Section 4. Terms of Reference under paragraph 7 TEAM DESCRIPTION, SUB-PARAGRAPH 7.3 Governance Specialist ADD the following:

- Experience working in the country evaluated in the area of Natural Resources Governance;
- Good knowledge of the Public Governance system in the Country evaluated;
- Good knowledge of the Country Natural Resources Industry and the different stakeholders;
- Good Knowledge of government's structure and priorities of the country evaluated;
- Experience participating in evaluation as a team member;
- University Degree in a relevant field.

B. QUESTION AND ANSWER

Question 1	We would like to request a clarification regarding the Summative evaluation of the CIRDI - Section 5 Evaluation Criteria. In this section, only criteria for the Team Leader are described, but we understand that a Gender Specialist and Governance Specialist are also required on the team. We cannot find the evaluation criteria for these two positions, and would like to request clarification on the requirements.
Answer 1	The specialists will not be evaluated but they need to have the qualifications as set out in paragraph 7 Team Description. see amendment 1 and 2 above
Question 2	We have a further request for clarification regarding the Summative evaluation of the CIRDI - Section 5 Evaluation Criteria. Can you confirm that the CV for the team leader is solely meant to demonstrate that the team leader has at least 600 days of work experience, and thus the CV is not evaluated on any other criteria?
Answer 2	The CV will help the team who assess the Bid to determine if the consultant meets the 600 days of experience required but also that he meets all the other mandatory criteria.
Question 3	And for Item C2: It is a bit unclear whether it is permitted to submit more than two (2) evaluation mandates for the team leader as in Item M2 it is stated that the Bidder should demonstrate at least two (2) evaluation mandates - and in Item C2 it is stated that the bidder should describe two (2) examples of completed evaluation mandates.
Answer 3	The Bidder should submit two (2) evaluation mandates

Addenda 1

Question 4	For Form Tech-4, can we include a project that was undertaken by one of our proposed sub-consultants or does it have to be undertaken by our company?
Answer 4	It has to be undertaken by the team lead.
Question 5	Can you clarify what is meant by Section 11.11 that states only the work experience of the Bidder will be assessed. Does this mean that the experience of sub-consultants included as Personnel will not be assessed?
Answer 5	We are only referring here to the Team Lead.
Question 6	In Form TECH 5-A, we are asked to provide the name and position of every member of the evaluation team. Do we need to provide a TECH 6-A form for each person named in the bid (or only for the rated position)?
Answer 6	We ask only Tech 6-A for the people being evaluated
Question 7	In Form TECH 5-A, we are asked to provide the name and position of every member of the evaluation team. Do we need to provide a name and TECH 6-A form for each local consultant (who will be assisting the international consultant) or can this be left 'to be determined'?
Answer 7	We ask only Tech 6-A for the people being evaluated as for local consultants you can note "to determine"

C. ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED