



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

**11 LaurierSt./ 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau
Québec**

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This document contains a security requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Infrastructure Maintenance and Solution Services
Division (FK)
L'Esplanade Laurier,
East Tower 4th Floor
L'Esplanade Laurier,
Tour est 4e étage
140 O'Connor, Street
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0R5

Title - Sujet Construction Cost Consultant	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN439-192865/A	Date 2019-06-26
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20192865	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
File No. - N° de dossier fk312.EN439-192865	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FK-312-77126	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2019-05-21	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-07-02	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Assi, Amanda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fk312
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 297-1146 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

This amendment 006 is raised to answer questions from the offerors.

Q1: Do you require resumes for the “optional” resources listed in the Flexible Grid?

R1: Yes

Q2: If so, do you require the full month by month experience listing for all six resources?

R2: Yes

Q3: Are we correct in assuming the following: Seniors must have a minimum of 10 years' experience (as interpreted by the method on pages 25 and 26.

R3: Yes. Refer to Page 24 of RFSO for the definition of CONSTRUCTION COST SPECIALIST FLEXIBLE GRID, and MT5 on page 28 of RFSO

Q4: Are we correct in assuming the following: Intermediates must have a minimum of 7 years' experience (as interpreted by the method on pages 25 and 26

R4: Yes. Refer to Page 24 of RFSO for the definition of CONSTRUCTION COST SPECIALIST FLEXIBLE GRID, and MT6 on page 29 of RFSO.

Q5: What is the minimum experience required for Juniors?

R5: No specific requirements, but need to conform with the definition of CONSTRUCTION COST SPECIALIST FLEXIBLE GRID on Page 24 of RFSO

Q6: If the above assumption is correct, are we to assume that we would only need to validate experience for those past recent years and not earlier even if a resource may have significantly more years in total?

R6: It's in the Offerors' discretion to provide the required project information. The team resources experience will be evaluated according to both mandatory and rated criteria.

Q7: Under MT5 we are required to list at least 2 projects with a minimum capital cost of \$50 million. Is there a maximum number of projects? We do not wish to provide information that will not enhance our submission.

R7: No maximum number of projects required under MT5. It's in the Offerors' discretion to provide the required project information.

Q8: Under MT6 we are required to list at least 2 projects with a minimum capital cost of \$20 million. Is there a maximum number of projects?

R8: No maximum number of projects required under MT6. It's in the Offerors' discretion to provide the required project information.

Q9: MT2 and MT3 seem to be somewhat of a duplication of facts. MT2 indicates 1 Senior, 1 Intermediate and 1 Junior. There is mention of a back up resource for each. Can we assume this to be the Optional Resources indicated in the Flexible Grid?

R9: Yes, the backup resources are the optional resources described in the RFSO.

Q10: Is there another interpretation of MT2?

R10: MT2 also indicate that the each proposed resource cannot represent more than one resource category.

Q11: With reference to page 27 of 61 (item 11), we understand that optional resources will not be evaluated. Please clarify if the backup/optional resources qualifications must be included in the flexible grids, other mandatory/point rated technical criteria that apply to personnel evaluation, and the price form (Annex B).

R11: On page 27 of 61 (item 11), replace the current statement with “Unless otherwise stated, the Offeror’s proposed individuals’ experiences for the optional resources will be evaluated in the following mandatory and point-rated technical criteria.”

Q12: Flexible grid

Since 3 of the personnel are labeled “optional”, that would mean by providing 6 you would receive higher points than if you didn’t include the “optional” personnel. Therefore if you included all, the max amount of points you could get would be 450, but if you didn’t include the “optionals”, the max points you can get is 225? How does this count in the overall points?

R12: The “CONSTRUCTION COST SPECIALIST FLEXIBLE GRID Levels of Expertise” on page 24 of RFSO is used to evaluate the expertise levels of each individual proposed resources including optional resources.

Q13: Team Experience

On page 28/29, it mentions to include 3 projects for the Senior and 3 projects for the Intermediate. Does that mean 1 only senior personnel and 1 only Intermediate personnel and then for the rest of the team, we address their experience on their resumes. Assuming the rest of the team’s experience is not being subject to the strict criteria for the Senior and Intermediate, but rather an overall showcasing of experience?

R13: MT5 and MT6 on page 28 and 29 of RFSO are mandatory requirements for the senior and intermediate resources proposed including optional resources.

Q14: On Page 28 of the RFSO document, MT4 The Offeror must submit three (3) reference projects, from within the last 7 seven years - are the reference projects must be completed?

R14: The reference projects must either be completed or in progress at the design or construction stages.

Q15: On Page 28 of the RFSO document, MT5 at least 2 projects each with a minimum capital cost of \$50 million - are the reference projects must be completed?

R15: The reference projects must either be completed or in progress at the design or construction stages.

Q16: We respectfully request an extension to Friday, July 5th to allow adequate time to provide the best quality proposal to Canada.

R16: No

Q17: Re: Technical Criteria for Flexible Grid (page 23, 24, 25, 26)

I want to clarify the supporting data required to prove the # of years experience listed on the Flexible Grid. Our concern is that our projects can be short of duration and therefore there could be 50-100 projects.

If a Cost Consultant has **6 years** experience, do we need to provide a back to back, month to month project list on the resume that accounts for 72 months (6 years)...?

For example:

- Jan 2000 - March 2000
- April 2000 – June 2000
- June 2000 – August 2000
- August 2000 – November 2000
- Dec 2000 - Jan 2001
- Feb 2001 - April 2001
- May 2001 – June 2001
- July 2001 – August 2001
- August 2001 – September 2001
- October 2001 – November 2001
- Etc..

R17: Please refer to ATTACHMENT 1 TO PART 4 FLEXIBLE GRID, Experience.

Q18: Re: # of projects submitted in rated criteria- Page 31, In Section RT3:

How many projects can we submit? Since the maximum is 15 points, 3 per project, does that mean that we can only submit 5 projects, or could we submit more than 5, to increase our chances of gaining more points, in case we do not receive the full 3 marks on the first 5 projects noted in the proposal.

R18: It is at the Offerors' discretion to provide project diversity and complexity information for evaluation following the RFSO requirements.