



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-7526

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY
REQUIREMENT.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific
Region
219 - 800 Burrard Street
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9

Title - Sujet Contaminated Sites Risk Assessment	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ897-191436/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EZ897-191436	Date 2019-06-28
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$VAN-582-8597	
File No. - N° de dossier VAN-9-42022 (582)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-07-08	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lee, Hilda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur van582
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 764-6053 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (604) 775-7526
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment 004 is raised to incorporate the following information into Solicitation No. EZ897-191436/A

1) Questions and Answers

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1. Could PSPC clarify whether it would be acceptable to have an independent sub-consultant listed as a back-up personnel? In this case, we would only be engaging the services of one individual and not a firm.

A1. Backup Personnel do not need to be employees of the Bidder; as per the RFP "If the Bidder has proposed any individual who is not an employee of the Bidder, the Bidder certifies that it has the permission from that individual to propose his/her services in relation to the Work to be performed".

Q2. We have a PSPC client who has requested we place the site name as confidential due to the internal sensitivities. Will this approach "not disclosing the site name" effect the scoring approach?

A2. As per the RFP Corporate Capability Form (revised), the Project Name is not a mandatory criteria. However, as per the RFP "Irrelevant, incomplete, or unclear submissions for non-mandatory fields may result in that information not being considered for evaluation". PWGSC considers the Project Name important if the Reference is contacted to verify the provided information.

Q3. For the Key Personnel Sheet, can we list RA projects within Yukon, or Type of Site, that were done over 5 years ago? We recognize that Corporate Projects #1-5 (Achievements of Bidders) must include RA projects only within the past 5 years.

A3. No. As per the RFP the definition of a project applies throughout the RFP; "A "project" for the purposes of Bidder's submission and evaluation is an undertaking with a scope similar to that described in the Statement of Work.". As per the RFP "The project does not have to be completed at the time of tender, but only those components worked on within the last 5 years (relative to the Solicitation Closes date) may be considered for evaluation purposes."

Q4. We note that First Nation Reserves do not count for points under Types of Sites, however, we were wondering whether reserve lands projects managed by PSPC Pacific Region (and not ISC) would count? These projects when managed by PSPC have the same processes requested in the RFP, assuming PSPC does not manage projects at First Nation Reserves differently.

A4. No. As per the RFP, Types of Sites are sites that PWGSC-Pacific Region anticipates will require significant Work on and are thus considered to be directly related to this requirement. We

anticipate the majority of our future Work to be on Airports, Military sites, and Highway Maintenance Camps.

Q5. If a Company is awarded the SOA does this preclude us from bidding on future remediation contracts?

A5. No, as long as there is no conflict of interest (actual or perceived).

Q6. Can we recuse ourselves on projects or task authorization if there is a future remediation opportunity?

A6. No, PWGSC decides what projects a Consultant works on.

Q7. On page 30: Can the proposed Expert be a professional with 20 years experience instead of a Contaminated Sites Approved Professional (CSAP)?

A7. No, due to the nature of our potential Work we request a CSAP.

Q8. If the Expert resource must be a CSAP, a Provincial / British Columbia organization, would you consider separating the Yukon portion and retendering separately? Or, if the Expert resource must be a CSAP, a Provincial / British Columbia organization, would you consider removing the CSAP requirement from the Yukon portion?

A8. No, we will not separate BC from the Yukon. No, our experience is that the Yukon has requested a BC CSAP review environmental reports, therefore it is in our interest to have a CSAP on our Consulting team.

Q9. Page 48 provides a table with the maximum number of personnel required. Is there a minimum number of key personnel to be presented?

A9. There is no minimum number of Key Personnel. However a score of "0" will be given to Key Positions unfulfilled.

Q10. Under Achievement of Key Personnel on Projects on Page 48, it is requested that example past projects are provided. Please confirm if all 8 of these projects must be different, or if a project can be utilized in more than one category if it meets the requirements. For

example, if a key personnel has completed a project in Yukon at an airport, can the project be presented under two different sections?

- A10. Yes. As per the RFP "A project may not be reused within a criterion, but may be reused between criteria.".

All other terms and conditions remain the same.