Dear Bidders,

A. AMENDMENT TO THE INVITATION TO BID

Amendment 1

In Section 2. Technical Proposal - Standard Forms, DELETE the following

FORM TECH-5A Resource Allocation

TABLE: RESOURCE ALLOCATION

			Estimated Level of Effort		
Name of Proposed Personnel	Position	Personnel Category	In Bidder's country	In Recipient Country, if applicabl e	Total

and **REPLACE** with the following

FORM TECH-5A Resource Allocation

TABLE: RESOURCE ALLOCATION NOT APPLICABLE

Amendment 2

In Section 5. Evaluation Criteria, under Proposed Evaluation Methodology, **DELETE** "maximum 4 pages" and **REPLACE** with "maximum 5 pages"

Amendment 3

Throughout the in the invitation to Bid, REPLACE the word "fin-3" by the following word "fin-2".

B. QUESTION AND ANSWER

Question 1	We have a clarification question regarding the above referenced tender advertised by DFATD:
	Section 8 of the ToR states that "The working language for this evaluation mandate is either French or English". Are we to understand the table of requirements below for
	team leader and specialist(s) as indicating that Level 4+ spoken competency is expected in French and/or English, or in both French and English?
	We understand the requirement for the Executive Summary to be produced in both languages.
Answer 1	The Team Leader and specialists' language competencies needs to meet the language requirement in both French and English.
Question 2	Could you please confirm that the only position being evaluated is that of the Team Leader?
Answer 2	Only the Team Leader will be evaluated.
Question 3	Could you please confirm which positions, in addition to the Team Leader, need to be named. You have previously stated that the local consultants can be 'to be determined'. Could you clarify whether other positions such as evaluator, gender specialist governance specialist have to be named or can they also be left 'to be determined'. It is not clear which positions can be left 'to be determined' and which positions have to be named.
Answer 3	Please see amendment 1 above.
Question 4	Could you clarify in form Tech 5A what 'personnel category' means.
Answer 4	Please see amendment 1 above.
Question 5	We are wondering if the page limit for the methodology could be increased to 5 pages there are too many requirements in this section to be able to put in 4 pages alone.
Answer 5	Please see amendment 2 above.
Question 6	With regard to RFP for CIRDI Evaluation, the RFP makes reference to Form FIN-3 but the document does not provide a FORM FIN-3. Is the reference to FORM FIN-3 meant to refer to FORM FIN-2?
Answer 6	Please see amendment 3 above

C. ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED