



**RETURN BIDS TO:  
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A :**

Bid Receiving/Réception des sousmissions  
Procurement & Contracting Services  
c/o Commissionaires, F Division  
6101 Dewdney Ave  
Regina, SK S4P 3K7

Fax No. - No de FAX:  
(306) 780-5232

**SOLICITATION  
AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE  
L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments: - Commentaries :

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

LE PRÉSENT DOCUMENT COMPORTE UNE EXIGENCE EN MATIÈRE DE SÉCURITÉ

<b>Title – Sujet:</b> Architectural and Engineering Consulting Services, Northwest Territories		<b>Date :</b> 12 July 2019
<b>Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation</b> M5000-19-6397/A – PW-19-00879263		<b>Amendment No. – N° de la modification</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client</b> 201906397		
<b>Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin</b>		
<b>At / à :</b>	2 :00 PM	CST (Central Standard Time) HNC (Heure Normale du Centre)
<b>On / le :</b>	31 July 2019	
<b>Incoterms 2010 "DDP Delivered Duty Paid"</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes	<b>GST – TPS</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes	<b>Duty – Droits</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes
<b>Destination of Goods and Services – Destinations des biens et services</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes		
<b>Instructions</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes		
<b>Address Inquiries to – Adresser toute demande de renseignements à</b> Teresa Hengen, Procurement Officer		
<b>Telephone No. – No. de téléphone</b> 639-625-3449	<b>Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur</b> 306-780-5232	
<b>Delivery Required – Livraison exigée</b> N/A	<b>Delivery Offered – Livraison proposée</b> N/A	
<b>Vendor/Firm Name, Address and Representative – Raison sociale, adresse et représentant du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur:</b>		
<b>Telephone No. – No. de téléphone</b>	<b>Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	



This amendment is raised to address the following:

Questions and Answers:

Question 12: The RFP states that the Team Identification Form can be altered, what alterations are acceptable? Should Landscape Architecture or other referenced services be added into Appendix B, Team Identification Form?

Response 12: The Team Identification form has been altered to the services Canada believes will be needed to complete this project. If a Proponent needs to add to this list, those would be the acceptable alterations to the form.

Question 13: In Section R2 are the project examples required to be completed?

Response 13: The requirement does not stipulate that the project be completed.

Question 14: Are holding cells part of the programming for the new detachments?

Response 14: RCMP does not recognize “holding cells”. There will be both cells with bunks, and cells without bunks as part of the spaces required.

Question 15: Who developed the functional programming, and is a copy available for reference?

Response 15: The RCMP have developed the space analysis. The successful proponent will be provided a copy.

Question 16: Are example projects required to include holding cells?

Response 16: The requirement does not stipulate that the example projects include cells.

Question 17: Is the intent for the new detachments to be modular or stick built design?

Response 17: At this time, stick built design is the intended method for construction.

Question 18: Is commissioning expected to be carried out by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party?

Response 18: The requirement does not stipulate the commissioning be a 3<sup>rd</sup> party.

Question 19: The RFP states that 50 site visits are expected, can you clarify if this is for each detachment, or only for Tulita and Whati, or for 2+1+1?

Response 19: 50 site visits are to be anticipated for each location.



Question 20: Please clarify how fees are being evaluated, is each facility being evaluated separately, or all four being combined, and lowest combined fee receives full points?

Response 20: Refer to Appendix C – Price Proposal Form – page 47 of 68. Total Evaluated fee is composed of Project 1 and 2.

Question 21: What is the anticipated award date?

Response 21: Solicitation closing date is July 31, 2019, proposal validity period is 120 calendar days from the closing date of the solicitation, please refer to SI4 - Proposal Validity Period. Anticipated award date can not be determined at this time as it will depend on the number of proposals that will need to be evaluated.