



**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:**

RCMP-GRC

Bid Receiving/Réception des soumissions
Front Desk
Mailstop 1004
14200 Green Timbers Way
Surrey, BC V3T 6P3

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION

Proposal to: Royal Canadian Mounted Police

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefore.

Proposition aux: Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté I Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaries

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

Title-Sujet A&E – Gold River Detachment		Date 2019 July 22	
Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation M2989-9-0016			
Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client			
Solicitation Closes –L'invitation prend fin			
At/à:	1400	PDT(Pacific Daylight Time) HAE (heure avancée de le Pacifique)	
On/le:	2019 September 03		
Delivery - Livraison See herein — Voir aux présentes	Taxes - Taxes See herein — Voir aux présentes	Duty – Droits See herein — Voir aux présentes	
Destination of Goods and Services – Destinations des biens et services See herein — Voir aux présentes			
Instructions See herein — Voir aux présentes			
Address Enquiries to - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à Patty Yi, Team Lead Procurement and Contracting			
Telephone No. – No. de téléphone 778-290-2715		Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur: 778-290-6110	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée: See herein — Voir aux présentes		Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée	
Vendor/Firm Name, Address and Representative – Raison sociale, adresse et représentant du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur:			
Telephone No. – No. de téléphone		Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)			
Signature		Date	



**THIS PROCUREMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following is intended to clarify the general structure of the whole document.

Front Page

Supplementary Instructions to Proponents (SI)

- SI1 Introduction
- SI2 Proposal Documents
- SI3 Questions or request for clarifications
- SI4 Proposal Validity Period
- SI5 Canada's Trade Agreements
- SI6 Certifications
- SI7 Recourse Mechanisms
- SI8 Promotion of Direct Deposit Initiative
- SI9 Security Requirement
- SI10 Construction Cost Limit
- SI11 Web Sites

Terms, Conditions and Clauses

Agreement

Supplementary Conditions (SC)

SC1 Security Requirement

SC2 Construction Cost Limit

Agreement Particulars

List of appendices & attachments:

Appendix A - Project Brief / Terms of Reference

Appendix B - Team Identification Form

Appendix C - Price Proposal Form

Appendix D - Security Requirements Check List

Appendix E - Declaration/Certifications Form

Appendix F - Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE)



SUPPLEMENTARY INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPONENTS (SI)

SI1 INTRODUCTION

1. The Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) intends to retain an individual consulting firm or joint venture to provide the professional services for the project as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).
2. Proponents responding to this RFP are requested to submit a full and complete proposal. The proposal will cover not only the qualifications, experience and organization of the proposed Consultant Team, but also the detailed approach to the work, and the pricing and terms offered. A combination of the technical and price of services submissions will constitute the proposal.

SI2 PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS

1. All instructions, general terms, conditions and clauses identified in the RFP by number, date and title, are hereby incorporated by reference into and form part of this solicitation and any resultant contract.

All instructions, general terms, conditions and clauses identified in the RFP by number, date and title, are set out in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions Manual (<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-and-guidelines/standard-acquisition-clauses-and-conditions-manual>) issued by Public Works and Government Services Canada.

Revision to Departmental Name: As this solicitation is issued by Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), any reference to Public Works and Government Services Canada or PWGSC or its Minister contained in any term, condition or clause of this solicitation, including any individual SACC clauses incorporated by reference, will be interpreted as reference to RCMP or its Minister.

2. The following are the proposal documents:
 - (a) Supplementary Instructions to Proponents (SI);

R1410T (2017-08-17), General instructions (GI) – Architectural and/or Engineering services – Request for Proposal, amended as follows:

 - i. Subsection GI3 Overview of selection procedure:
Delete: in its entirety
Insert: GI3 intentionally left blank.
 - ii. Subsection 2.b. of section GI16 Submission of proposal:
Delete: in its entirety
Insert: b. send its proposal only to the RCMP Bid Receiving address specified on page 1 of the RFP;
 - iii. Subsection GI23 Performance Evaluation:
Delete: in its entirety
Insert: GI23 intentionally left blank
 - (b) the general terms, conditions and clauses, as amended, identified in the Agreement clause;
 - (c) Project Brief / Terms of Reference (Appendix A) and any associated annexes, appendices or attachments;
 - (d) Team Identification Form (Appendix B);



- (e) Price Proposal Form (Appendix C);
 - (f) the Security Requirements Check List (SRCL) (Appendix D);
 - (g) Declaration/Certifications Form (Appendix E);
 - (h) Submission Requirements and Evaluation (SRE) (Appendix F)
 - (i) any amendment to the solicitation document issued prior to the date set for receipt of proposals; and
 - (j) the proposal.
3. Submission of a proposal constitutes acknowledgment that the Proponent has read and agrees to be bound by these documents.

SI3 QUESTIONS OR REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION

Questions or requests for clarification during the solicitation period must be submitted in writing to the Contracting Authority named on the RFP - Page 1 at e-mail address, patty.yi@rcmp-grc.gc.ca as early as possible. Enquiries should be received no later than 5 working days prior to the closing date identified on the front page of the Request for Proposal. Enquiries received after that date may not be answered prior to the closing date of the solicitation.

SI4 PROPOSAL VALIDITY PERIOD

1. Proposals will remain open for acceptance for a period of not less than 120 calendar days from the closing date of the solicitation.
2. Canada reserves the right to seek an extension to the proposal validity period from all responsive Proponents in writing, before the end of the proposal validity period.
3. If the extension is accepted by all responsive Proponents, Canada will continue with the evaluation of the proposals.
4. If the extension is not accepted by all responsive Proponents, Canada will, at its sole discretion, either:
 - (a) Continue with the evaluation of the proposals of those who have accepted the extension; or
 - (b) cancel the solicitation.
5. The provisions expressed herein do not in any manner limit Canada's rights in law or under GI11 of R1410T.

SI5 CANADA'S TRADE AGREEMENTS

This procurement is subject to the provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), the World Trade Organization - Agreement on Government Procurement (WTO-AGP), the Canada-European Union Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), and the Canadian Free Trade Agreement (CFTA).

SI6 CERTIFICATIONS

Instructions to Proponent: Certifications are to be submitted with Appendix E Declaration/Certifications Form.

1. Integrity Provisions – Declaration of Convicted Offences



In accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>), the Proponent must **provide with its bid, as applicable**, to be given further consideration in the procurement process, the required documentation as per R1410T (2017-08-17), General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3b**.

2. Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity - Proposal Certification

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent certifies that the Proponent, and any of the Proponent's members if the Proponent is a Joint Venture, is not named on the Federal Contractors Program (FCP) for employment equity "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list available at the bottom of the page of the Employment and Social Development Canada (ESDC) - Labour's website (<https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/programs/employment-equity/federal-contractor-program.html>).

Canada will have the right to declare a proposal non-responsive if the Proponent, or any member of the Proponent if the Proponent is a Joint Venture, appears on the "FCP Limited Eligibility to Bid" list at the time of contract award.

S17 RECOURSE MECHANISMS

If you have any concerns relating to the procurement process, please refer to the Recourse Mechanisms page on the Buyandsell.gc.ca website. Please note that there are strict deadlines for filing complaints with the Canadian International Trade Tribunal (CITT) or the Office of the Procurement Ombudsman (OPO).

<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/for-businesses/selling-to-the-government-of-canada/recourse-mechanisms>

S18 PROMOTION OF DIRECT DEPOSIT INITIATIVE

The following information is not related to the solicitation process:

An initiative within the Government of Canada called the Cheque Standardization Project has been established whereby eventually for all payments, cheque stubs will no longer be printed and, with few exceptions, will be processed via direct deposit. This option is only available when payment is made in Canadian dollars for deposit into a Canadian bank account. In an attempt to be proactive, RCMP Corporate Accounting is promoting the registration of RCMP suppliers for the upcoming change in the payment process.

If you are the successful bidder on this or any other RCMP requirement, you are encouraged to register with the RCMP for direct deposit. Please contact RCMP Corporate Accounting by email to receive a form entitled *Recipient Electronic Payment Registration Request* along with instructions for completion of the form.

Should you have any questions regarding the Cheque Standardization Project or if you want to register, please contact the following email: corporate_accounting@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

S19 SECURITY REQUIREMENT

1. Before the commencement of Work, the following conditions must be met:

- (a) the Proponent must hold valid security clearance as indicated in Supplementary Conditions SC1;



- (b) the Proponent's proposed individuals requiring access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must meet the security requirement as indicated in Supplementary Conditions SC1;
- (c) the Proponent must provide the name of all individuals who will require access to classified or protected information, assets or sensitive work sites.

SI10 - CONSTRUCTION COST LIMIT

Construction Cost Estimates prepared by the Consultant shall not exceed the Construction Cost Limit as specified in the Supplementary Conditions.

SI11 - WEBSITES

The following is a list of the addresses of the Web sites:

Employment Equity Act

<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/E-5.401/index.html>

Federal Contractors Program (FCP)

<https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/programs/employment-equity/federal-contractor-program.html>

Certificate of Commitment to Implement Employment Equity form LAB 1168

<http://www.servicecanada.gc.ca/cgi-bin/search/eforms/index.cgi?app=profile&form=lab1168&dept=sc&lang=e>

Ineligibility and Suspension Policy

<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>

Code of Conduct for Procurement

<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/app-acq/cndt-cndct/contexte-context-eng.html>

Lobbying Act

<http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/L-12.4/?noCookie>

Buy and Sell

<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/>

Supplier Registration Information

<https://srisupplier.contractscanada.gc.ca>

Canadian economic sanctions

<http://www.international.gc.ca/sanctions/index.aspx?lang=eng>

National Joint Council (NJC) Travel Directive

<http://www.njc-cnm.gc.ca/directive/travel-voyage/index-eng.php>



TERMS, CONDITIONS AND CLAUSES

AGREEMENT

1. The Consultant understands and agrees that upon acceptance of the offer by Canada, a binding Agreement shall be formed between Canada and the Consultant and the documents forming the Agreement shall be the following:

- (a) the Front Page and this Agreement clause;
- (b) the General Terms, Conditions and Clauses, as amended, identified as:
 - R1210D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 1 - General Provisions – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
 - R1215D (2016-01-28), General Condition (GC) 2 - Administration of the Contract – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
 - R1220D (2015-02-25), General Condition (GC) 3 - Consultant Services
 - R1225D (2015-04-01), General Condition (GC) 4 - Intellectual Property
 - R1230D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 5 - Terms of Payment – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
 - R1235D (2011-05-16), General Condition (GC) 6 - Changes
 - R1240D (2018-06-21), General Condition (GC) 7 - Taking the Services Out of the Consultant's Hands, Suspension or Termination
 - R1245D (2016-01-28), General Condition (GC) 8 - Dispute Resolution – Architectural and/or Engineering Services
 - R1250D (2017-11-28), General Condition (GC) 9 - Indemnification and Insurance

Subsection GC1.12 Performance-evaluation: Contract of R1210D (2018-06-21), incorporated by reference above, is amended as follows:

Delete: in its entirety

Insert: GC1.12 Intentionally left blank.

- (c) Supplementary Conditions
- (d) Agreement Particulars
- (e) Project Brief / Terms of Reference (Appendix A) and any associated annexes, appendices or attachments;
- (f) Team Identification Form (Appendix B);
- (g) Price Proposal Form (Appendix C);
- (h) the Security Requirements Check List (SRCL) (Appendix D);
- (i) any amendment to the solicitation document incorporated in the Agreement before the date of the Agreement;
- (j) the proposal.

2. The documents identified above by title, number and date are hereby incorporated by reference into and form part of this Agreement, as though expressly set out herein, subject to any other express terms and conditions herein contained.

The documents identified above by title, number and date are set out in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions (SACC) Manual, issued by Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC). The SACC Manual is available on the PWGSC Web site:

<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-and-guidelines/standard-acquisition-clauses-and-conditions-manual>

Revision to Departmental Name: As this contract is issued by Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), any reference to Public Works and Government Services Canada or PWGSC or its Minister contained in any term, condition or clause of this contract, including any individual SACC clauses incorporated by reference, will be interpreted as reference to RCMP or its Minister.



3. If there is a discrepancy between the wording of any documents that appear on the following list, the wording of the document that first appears on the list has priority over the wording of any document that subsequently appears on the list.
- (a) any amendment or variation in the Agreement that is made in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement;
 - (b) any amendment to the solicitation document incorporated in the Agreement before the date of the Agreement;
 - (c) this Agreement clause;
 - (d) Supplementary Conditions;
 - (e) General Terms, Conditions and Clauses;
 - (f) Agreement Particulars;
 - (g) Project Brief / Terms of Reference and any associated annexes, appendices or attachments;
 - (h) the document entitled "Security Requirement Check List";
 - (i) the proposal.

4. Term of Contract

4.1 Period of the Contract

The period of the contract is from date of contract award to September 30, 2020.

4.2 Option to Extend the Contract

The Consultant grants to Canada the irrevocable option to extend the term of the contract under the same conditions and by multiple option periods to align with the completion of the separate but corresponding construction contract including warranty periods. The Consultant agrees that, during the extended period of the contract, it will be paid in accordance with the applicable provisions as set out in the Price Proposal.

Canada may exercise the options at any time by sending a written notice to the Consultant at least 5 (five) calendar days before the expiry date of the contract. The options may only be exercised by the Contracting Authority, and will be evidenced for administrative purposes only, through a contract amendment.

5. Procurement Ombudsman

5.1 Dispute Resolution Services

The parties understand that the Procurement Ombudsman appointed pursuant to Subsection 22.1(1) of the *Department of Public Works and Government Services Act* will, on request, and consent of the parties, to participate in an alternative dispute resolution process to resolve any dispute between the parties respecting the interpretation or application of a term or condition of this contract and their consent to bear the cost of such process, provide to the parties a proposal for an alternative dispute resolution process to resolve their dispute.

The Office of the Procurement Ombudsman may be contacted by telephone at 1-866-734-5169 or by e-mail at boa-opo@boa-opo.gc.ca.

5.2 Contract Administration

The parties understand that the Procurement Ombudsman appointed pursuant to Subsection 22.1(1) of the *Department of Public Works and Government Services Act* will review a complaint filed by [the



supplier or the contractor or the name of the entity awarded this contract] respecting administration of this contract if the requirements of Subsection 22.2(1) of the *Department of Public Works and Government Services Act* and Sections 15 and 16 of the *Procurement Ombudsman Regulations* have been met, and the interpretation and application of the terms and conditions and the scope of the work of this contract are not in dispute.

The Office of the Procurement Ombudsman may be contacted by telephone at 1-866-734-5169 or by e-mail at boa-opo@boa-opo.gc.ca.

6. Authorities

6.1 Contracting Authority

The Contracting Authority for the contract is: [To be confirmed at contract award]

Name: _____

Title: _____

Organization: RCMP – Procurement and Contracting Branch

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

E-mail address: _____

The Contracting Authority is responsible for the management of the contract and any changes to the contract must be authorized in writing by the Contracting Authority. The Contractor must not perform work in excess of or outside the scope of the contract based on verbal or written requests or instructions from anybody other than the Contracting Authority.

6.2 RCMP Departmental Representative (Project Manager) [To be confirmed at contract award]

The RCMP Departmental Representative (Project Manager) for the contract is:

Name: _____

Title: _____

Organization: _____

Address: _____

Telephone : _____

Facsimile: _____

E-mail address: _____

The RCMP Departmental Representative (Project Manager) is the representative of the department or agency for whom the Work is being carried out under the contract and is responsible for all matters concerning the technical content of the Work under the Contract. Technical matters may be discussed with the RCMP Departmental Representative; however, the RCMP Departmental Representative has no authority to authorize changes to the contract. Changes to the contract can only be made through a contract amendment issued by the Contracting Authority.



6.3 Proponent's Representative [To be confirmed at contract award]

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

Telephone : _____

Facsimile: _____

E-mail address: _____

SUPPLEMENTARY CONDITIONS (SC)

SC1 SECURITY REQUIREMENT

1. The following security requirements (SRCL and related clauses) apply and form part of the Agreement. Before the commencement of Work the following conditions must be met:
 - a. Architects, Design Team and Project Managers – RCMP ERS Clearance required
 - b. Sub Contractors – No Clearance required while working in vacant buildings
Sub Contractors – FA 02 Clearance required when the building is fitted up/installed with RCMP assets
 - c. Drawings, site plans must be sanitized for Sub Contractors

SC2 CONSTRUCTION COST LIMIT

1. The Construction Cost Limit is \$ 7,000,000.00 (Applicable Taxes extra).
2. In accordance with R1220D (2015-02-25) GC 3.11 Cost Control, throughout Project Development, the Construction Cost Estimate prepared by the Consultant shall not exceed the Construction Cost Limit as specified above. This disclosure of available funds does not commit Canada to pay Consultant fees based on such an amount.

AGREEMENT PARTICULARS

The Agreement Particulars will be issued at time of award of contract and will identify the fee to be paid to the Consultant for the services determined in the Price Proposal Form.



APPENDIX A – PROJECT BRIEF / TERMS OF REFERENCE

Please view attachment: APPENDIX A – PROJECT BRIEF: NEW GOLD RIVER DETACHMENT



APPENDIX B - TEAM IDENTIFICATION FORM

For details on this form, please see SRE in the Request For Proposal.

Complete this Team Identification Form and submit with the Evaluation Criteria (Appendix F SRE 3) in a **separate sealed envelope** with the Name of Proponent, Name of Project, Solicitation Number, and the words "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" typed on the outside of the envelope.

The prime consultant and other members of the Consultant Team shall be, or eligible to be, licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by provincial or territorial law. This form can be altered or expanded, but each referenced Proponent/Key Sub-Consultant Firm / Specialist must be specified and align with the composition of the Consultant Team identified in Appendix F, SRE 3 Evaluation Criteria.

1. Prime Consultant (Proponent - Architect):

Firm or Joint Venture Name:

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

2. Key Sub Consultants / Specialists:

2.1. Civil Engineer

Firm Name:

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

3. Key Sub Consultants / Specialists:

3.1. Mechanical Engineer

Firm Name:

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:



.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

4. Key Sub Consultants / Specialists:

4.1. Electrical Engineer

Firm Name:
.....
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

5. Key Sub Consultants / Specialists:

5.1. Structural Engineer

Firm Name:
.....
.....

Key Individuals and provincial professional licensing status and/or professional accreditation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....



APPENDIX C - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM

INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPONENTS:

1. Complete this Price Proposal Form and submit in a **separate sealed envelope** with the Name of Proponent, Name of Project, Solicitation Number, and the words "PRICE PROPOSAL FORM" typed on the outside of the envelope.
2. PROPONENTS SHALL NOT ALTER THIS FORM.
3. Price Proposals are not to include Applicable Taxes.
4. Price Proposals will be evaluated in Canadian Dollars.
5. Travel and Living Expenses: All Travel and Living Expenses must be incorporated into the Part A of this Appendix.
6. In order to ensure that fair and competitive hourly rates are received for each of the positions listed in Part B, the following requirement must be strictly adhered to: Proponents must provide an hourly rate for each listed position. In the event that the firm consists of fewer personnel than listed, provide an hourly rate that corresponds with each position listed.
7. The Proponent shall provide a single fixed hourly rate for each category of personnel of each consultant and sub-consultant for the duration of any resulting Contract.
8. This Appendix (Price Proposal) will form part of the resulting Agreement, including applicable clauses from this form.
9. The single fixed hourly rate identified for each category of personnel of the Consultant and each sub-consultant shall be the rate paid for the performance of such services regardless of whether the services are performed by the originally proposed resource or by any proposed back-up/alternate resource. Canada reserves the right to negotiate all hourly rates.
10. **Only Part A of this Appendix will be used for the price evaluation.**



Project Title: A&E Gold River Detachment

Name of Proponent:

The following Part A will form part of the evaluation process:

PART A - REQUIRED SERVICES

Part A - Fixed Fee (R1230D (2018-06-21), GC 5 - Terms of Payment – Architectural and/or Engineering Services)

Service *:	Fixed Fee (CAD excluding applicable taxes):
Project Analysis and Schematic Design Services	\$ _____
Design Development Services	+ \$ _____
Construction Documents Services	+ \$ _____
Tender Call and Bid Evaluation Services	+ \$ _____
Construction Contract Administration Services	+ \$ _____
Post Construction Services	+ \$ _____
Commissioning Services	+ \$ _____
Construction Warranty Services	+ \$ _____
MAXIMUM FIXED FEES:	= \$ _____

TOTAL EVALUATED FEE FOR REQUIRED SERVICES

TOTAL COST OF SERVICES FOR PROPOSAL EVALUATION PURPOSES

Total Evaluated Fee \$.....
(Total Fee for Required Services Part A-Fixed Fee)



APPENDIX D – SECURITY REQUIREMENTS CHECK LIST (SRCL)


SECURITY REQUIREMENTS CHECK LIST (SRCL)
LISTE DE VÉRIFICATION DES EXIGENCES RELATIVES À LA SÉCURITÉ (LVERS)

PART A - CONTRACT INFORMATION / PARTIE A - INFORMATION CONTRACTUELLE			
1. Originating Government Department or Organization / Ministère ou organisme gouvernemental d'origine		RCMP	
2. Branch or Directorate / Direction générale ou Direction		Corporate Management Comptrollership Branch	
3. a) Subcontract Number / Numéro du contrat de sous-traitance		3. b) Name and Address of Subcontractor / Nom et adresse du sous-traitant	
		TBD	
4. Brief Description of Work / Brève description du travail			
Project to design, construct and fit-up a new detachment located in Gold River, BC. A&E services will include design, tender and construction contract administration. A&E services and construction will be procured through RCMP procurement. Fit-up is to include procurement of furniture, fixtures & equipment and moving of operations into the new building.			
5. a) Will the supplier require access to Controlled Goods? Le fournisseur aura-t-il accès à des marchandises contrôlées?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Non <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Oui
5. b) Will the supplier require access to unclassified military technical data subject to the provisions of the Technical Data Control Regulations? Le fournisseur aura-t-il accès à des données techniques militaires non classifiées qui sont assujetties aux dispositions du Règlement sur le contrôle des données techniques?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Non <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Oui
6. Indicate the type of access required / Indiquer le type d'accès requis			
6. a) Will the supplier and its employees require access to PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED information or assets? Le fournisseur ainsi que les employés auront-ils accès à des renseignements ou à des biens PROTÉGÉS et/ou CLASSIFIÉS? (Specify the level of access using the chart in Question 7. c) (Préciser le niveau d'accès en utilisant le tableau qui se trouve à la question 7. c)			<input type="checkbox"/> No Non <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Oui
6. b) Will the supplier and its employees (e.g. cleaners, maintenance personnel) require access to restricted access areas? No access to PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED information or assets is permitted. Le fournisseur et ses employés (p. ex. nettoyeurs, personnel d'entretien) auront-ils accès à des zones d'accès restreintes? L'accès à des renseignements ou à des biens PROTÉGÉS et/ou CLASSIFIÉS n'est pas autorisé.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Non <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Oui
6. c) Is this a commercial courier or delivery requirement with no overnight storage? S'agit-il d'un contrat de messagerie ou de livraison commerciale sans entreposage de nuit?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Non <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Oui
7. a) Indicate the type of information that the supplier will be required to access / Indiquer le type d'information auquel le fournisseur devra avoir accès			
Canada <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		NATO / OTAN <input type="checkbox"/>	Foreign / Étranger <input type="checkbox"/>
7. b) Release restrictions / Restrictions relatives à la diffusion			
No release restrictions Aucune restriction relative à la diffusion <input type="checkbox"/>		All NATO countries Tous les pays de l'OTAN <input type="checkbox"/>	No release restrictions Aucune restriction relative à la diffusion <input type="checkbox"/>
Not releasable À ne pas diffuser <input type="checkbox"/>		Restricted to: / Limité à: <input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted to: / Limité à: <input type="checkbox"/>
Specify country(ies): / Préciser le(s) pays:		Specify country(ies): / Préciser le(s) pays:	Specify country(ies): / Préciser le(s) pays:
7. c) Level of information / Niveau d'information			
PROTECTED A PROTÉGÉ A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		NATO UNCLASSIFIED NATO NON CLASSIFIÉ <input type="checkbox"/>	PROTECTED A PROTÉGÉ A <input type="checkbox"/>
PROTECTED B PROTÉGÉ B <input type="checkbox"/>		NATO RESTRICTED NATO DIFFUSION RESTREINTE <input type="checkbox"/>	PROTECTED B PROTÉGÉ B <input type="checkbox"/>
PROTECTED C PROTÉGÉ C <input type="checkbox"/>		NATO CONFIDENTIAL NATO CONFIDENTIEL <input type="checkbox"/>	PROTECTED C PROTÉGÉ C <input type="checkbox"/>
CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIEL <input type="checkbox"/>		NATO SECRET NATO SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIEL <input type="checkbox"/>
SECRET SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>		COSMIC TOP SECRET COSMIC TRÈS SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>
TOP SECRET TRÈS SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>			TOP SECRET TRÈS SECRET <input type="checkbox"/>
TOP SECRET (SIGINT) TRÈS SECRET (SIGINT) <input type="checkbox"/>			TOP SECRET (SIGINT) TRÈS SECRET (SIGINT) <input type="checkbox"/>



Contract Number / Numéro du contrat
Security Classification / Classification de sécurité

PART A (continued) / PARTIE A (suite)

8. Will the supplier require access to PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED COMSEC information or assets?
 Le fournisseur aura-t-il accès à des renseignements ou à des biens COMSEC désignés PROTÉGÉS et/ou CLASSIFIÉS? No / Non Yes / Oui
 If Yes, indicate the level of sensitivity:
 Dans l'affirmative, indiquer le niveau de sensibilité :

9. Will the supplier require access to extremely sensitive INFOSEC information or assets?
 Le fournisseur aura-t-il accès à des renseignements ou à des biens INFOSEC de nature extrêmement délicate? No / Non Yes / Oui

Short Title(s) of material / Titre(s) abrégé(s) du matériel :
 Document Number / Numéro du document :

PART B - PERSONNEL (SUPPLIER) / PARTIE B - PERSONNEL (FOURNISSEUR)

10. a) Personnel security screening level required / Niveau de contrôle de la sécurité du personnel requis

- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RELIABILITY STATUS
COTE DE FIABILITÉ | <input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIEL | <input type="checkbox"/> SECRET
SECRET | <input type="checkbox"/> TOP SECRET
TRÈS SECRET |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TOP SECRET - SIGINT
TRÈS SECRET - SIGINT | <input type="checkbox"/> NATO CONFIDENTIAL
NATO CONFIDENTIEL | <input type="checkbox"/> NATO SECRET
NATO SECRET | <input type="checkbox"/> COSMIC TOP SECRET
COSMIC TRÈS SECRET |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SITE ACCESS
ACCÈS AUX EMPLACEMENTS | | | |

Special comments:
 Commentaires spéciaux : _____

NOTE: If multiple levels of screening are identified, a Security Classification Guide must be provided.
 REMARQUE : Si plusieurs niveaux de contrôle de sécurité sont requis, un guide de classification de la sécurité doit être fourni.

10. b) May unscreened personnel be used for portions of the work?
 Du personnel sans autorisation sécuritaire peut-il se voir confier des parties du travail? No / Non Yes / Oui
 If Yes, will unscreened personnel be escorted?
 Dans l'affirmative, le personnel en question sera-t-il escorté? No / Non Yes / Oui

PART C - SAFEGUARDS (SUPPLIER) / PARTIE C - MESURES DE PROTECTION (FOURNISSEUR)

INFORMATION / ASSETS / RENSEIGNEMENTS / BIENS

11. a) Will the supplier be required to receive and store PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED information or assets on its site or premises?
 Le fournisseur sera-t-il tenu de recevoir et d'entreposer sur place des renseignements ou des biens PROTÉGÉS et/ou CLASSIFIÉS? No / Non Yes / Oui

11. b) Will the supplier be required to safeguard COMSEC information or assets?
 Le fournisseur sera-t-il tenu de protéger des renseignements ou des biens COMSEC? No / Non Yes / Oui

PRODUCTION

11. c) Will the production (manufacture, and/or repair and/or modification) of PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED material or equipment occur at the supplier's site or premises?
 Les installations du fournisseur serviront-elles à la production (fabrication et/ou réparation et/ou modification) de matériel PROTÉGÉ et/ou CLASSIFIÉ? No / Non Yes / Oui

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) MEDIA / SUPPORT RELATIF À LA TECHNOLOGIE DE L'INFORMATION (TI)

11. d) Will the supplier be required to use its IT systems to electronically process, produce or store PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED information or data?
 Le fournisseur sera-t-il tenu d'utiliser ses propres systèmes informatiques pour traiter, produire ou stocker électroniquement des renseignements ou des données PROTÉGÉS et/ou CLASSIFIÉS? No / Non Yes / Oui

11. e) Will there be an electronic link between the supplier's IT systems and the government department or agency?
 Disposera-t-on d'un lien électronique entre le système informatique du fournisseur et celui du ministère ou de l'agence gouvernementale? No / Non Yes / Oui

Security Classification / Classification de sécurité
--



PART C - (continued) / PARTIE C - (suite)

For users completing the form **manually** use the summary chart below to indicate the category(ies) and level(s) of safeguarding required at the supplier's site(s) or premises.

Les utilisateurs qui remplissent le formulaire **manuellement** doivent utiliser le tableau récapitulatif ci-dessous pour indiquer, pour chaque catégorie, les niveaux de sauvegarde requis aux installations du fournisseur.

For users completing the form **online** (via the Internet), the summary chart is automatically populated by your responses to previous questions.

Dans le cas des utilisateurs qui remplissent le formulaire **en ligne** (par Internet), les réponses aux questions précédentes sont automatiquement saisies dans le tableau récapitulatif.

SUMMARY CHART / TABLEAU RÉCAPITULATIF

Category / Catégorie	PROTECTED / PROTÉGÉ			CLASSIFIED / CLASSIFIÉ			NATO				COMSEC					
	A	B	C	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	TOP SECRET	NATO RESTRICTED	NATO CONFIDENTIAL	NATO SECRET	COSMIC TOP SECRET / COSMIC TRÈS SECRET	PROTECTED / PROTÉGÉ			CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	TOP SECRET
				CONFIDENTIEL		TRÈS SECRET	NATO DIFFUSION RESTREINTE	NATO CONFIDENTIEL			A	B	C	CONFIDENTIEL		TRÈS SECRET
Information / Assets / Renseignements / Biens / Production																
IT Media / Support TI																
IT Link / Lien électronique																

12. a) Is the description of the work contained within this SRCL PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED?
La description du travail visé par la présente LVERS est-elle de nature PROTÉGÉE et/ou CLASSIFIÉE?

No / Non Yes / Oui

If Yes, classify this form by annotating the top and bottom in the area entitled "Security Classification".
Dans l'affirmative, classifiez le présent formulaire en indiquant le niveau de sécurité dans la case intitulée « Classification de sécurité » au haut et au bas du formulaire.

12. b) Will the documentation attached to this SRCL be PROTECTED and/or CLASSIFIED?
La documentation associée à la présente LVERS sera-t-elle PROTÉGÉE et/ou CLASSIFIÉE?

No / Non Yes / Oui

If Yes, classify this form by annotating the top and bottom in the area entitled "Security Classification" and indicate with attachments (e.g. SECRET with Attachments).
Dans l'affirmative, classifiez le présent formulaire en indiquant le niveau de sécurité dans la case intitulée « Classification de sécurité » au haut et au bas du formulaire et indiquez qu'il y a des pièces jointes (p. ex. SECRET avec des pièces jointes).



APPENDIX E - DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS FORM

Complete this Declaration/Certifications Form and submit in a **separate sealed envelope** with the Name of Proponent, Name of Project, Solicitation Number, and the words "DECLARATION/CERTIFICATIONS" typed on the outside of the envelope.

1. Proponent Information:

Project Title:

Name of Proponent:

Street Address:

Mailing Address:

Telephone Number: ()

Fax Number: ()

E-Mail:

Procurement Business Number:

<p>Type of Organization:</p> <p>_____ Sole Proprietorship</p> <p>_____ Partnership</p> <p>_____ Corporation</p> <p>_____ Joint Venture</p>	<p>Size of Organization:</p> <p>Number of Employees _____</p> <p>Graduate Architects / Professional Engineers _____</p> <p>Other Professionals _____</p> <p>Technical Support _____</p> <p>Other _____</p>
---	---

Note to Proponents: E.2. & E.3. are in accordance with SI5 Certifications.

2. Integrity Provisions – Declaration of Convicted Offences

In accordance with the Ineligibility and Suspension Policy (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/politique-policy-eng.html>), the Proponent must **provide with its bid, as applicable**, to be given further consideration in the procurement process, the required documentation as per R1410T (2017-08-17), General instructions 1 (GI1), Integrity Provisions – Proposal, **section 3b**.

3. Former Public Servant (FPS) - Certification

Contracts awarded to former public servants (FPS) in receipt of a pension or of a lump sum payment must bear the closest public scrutiny, and reflect fairness in the spending of public funds. In order to comply with Treasury Board policies and directives on contracts awarded to FPS, proponents must provide the information required below before contract award. If the answer to the questions and, as



applicable the information required have not been received by the time the evaluation of proposals is completed, Canada will inform the Proponent of a time frame within which to provide the information. Failure to comply with Canada's request and meet the requirement within the prescribed time frame will render the proposal non-responsive.

Definitions

For the purposes of this clause,

"former public servant" is any former member of a department as defined in the *Financial Administration Act*, R.S., 1985, c. F-11, a former member of the Canadian Armed Forces or a former member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. A former public servant may be:

- (a) an individual;
- (b) an individual who has incorporated;
- (c) a partnership made of former public servants; or
- (d) a sole proprietorship or entity where the affected individual has a controlling or major interest in the entity.

"lump sum payment period" means the period measured in weeks of salary, for which payment has been made to facilitate the transition to retirement or to other employment as a result of the implementation of various programs to reduce the size of the Public Service. The lump sum payment period does not include the period of severance pay, which is measured in a like manner.

"pension" means a pension or annual allowance paid under the *Public Service Superannuation Act* (PSSA), R.S., 1985, c.P-36, and any increases paid pursuant to the *Supplementary Retirement Benefits Act*, R.S., 1985, c.S-24 as it affects the PSSA. It does not include pensions payable pursuant to the *Canadian Forces Superannuation Act*, R.S., 1985, c.C-17, the *Defence Services Pension Continuation Act*, 1970, c.D-3, the *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Pension Continuation Act*, 1970, c.R-10, and the *Royal Canadian Mounted Police Superannuation Act*, R.S., 1985, c.R-11, the *Members of Parliament Retiring Allowances Act*, R.S., 1985, c.M-5, and that portion of pension payable to the *Canada Pension Plan Act*, R.S., 1985, c.C-8.

4. Former Public Servant in Receipt of a Pension

As per the above definitions, is the Proponent a FPS in receipt of a pension?

YES () NO ()

If so, the Proponent must provide the following information, for all FPS in receipt of a pension, as applicable:

- (a) name of former public servant;
- (b) date of termination of employment or retirement from the Public Service.

By providing this information, proponents agree that the successful Proponent's status, with respect to being a former public servant in receipt of a pension, will be reported on departmental websites as part of the published proactive disclosure reports in accordance with Contracting Policy Notice: 2012-2 and the Guidelines on the Proactive Disclosure of Contracts.

Work Force Adjustment Directive

Is the Proponent a FPS who received a lump sum payment pursuant to the terms of a work force reduction program? YES () NO ()



If so, the Proponent must provide the following information:

- (a) name of former public servant;
- (b) conditions of the lump sum payment incentive;
- (c) date of termination of employment;
- (d) amount of lump sum payment;
- (e) rate of pay on which lump sum payment is based;
- (f) period of lump sum payment including start date, end date and number of weeks;
- (g) number and amount (professional fees) of other contracts subject to the restrictions of a work force adjustment program.

For all contracts awarded during the lump sum payment period, the total amount of fees that may be paid to a FPS who received a lump sum payment is \$5,000, including Applicable Taxes.

5. Authority

Name of Proponent:

DECLARATION:

I, the undersigned, being a principal of the proponent, hereby certify that the information given on this form and in the attached proposal is accurate to the best of my knowledge. If any proposal is submitted by a partnership or joint venture, then the following is required from each component entity.

name	signature
title	
I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture	
name	signature
title	
I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture	
name	signature
title	
I have authority to bind the Corporation / Partnership / Sole Proprietorship / Joint Venture	

During proposal evaluation period, the RCMP contact will be with the following person: _____.

Telephone Number: () _____ Fax Number: () _____

E-mail: _____



This Appendix "E" should be completed and submitted with the proposal, but may be submitted afterwards as follows: if Appendix "E" is not completed and submitted with the proposal, the Contracting Authority will inform the Proponent of a time frame within which to provide the information. Failure to comply with the request of the Contracting Authority and to provide the certifications within the time frame provided will render the proposal non-responsive.



APPENDIX F – SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION (SRE)

- SRE 1 Submission Requirements
- SRE 2 Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection
- SRE 3 Evaluation Criteria



SRE 1 Submission Requirements

1.1 Bid Preparation Instructions

1.1.1 Canada requests that Proponents provide their bid in separate sections as follows:

- a. Section I: Technical Proposal **4 hard copies** and **1** soft copy on DVD/CD/USB
- b. Section II: Financial Proposal **1 hard copy** and **1** soft copy on DVD/CD/USB
- c. Section III: Declaration/Certifications **1 hard copy** and **1** soft copy on DVD/CD/USB
- d. If there is a discrepancy between the wording of the soft copy and the hard copy, the wording of the hard copy will have priority over the wording of the soft copy.
- e. Prices must appear in **Appendix C – Price Proposal Form** only. No prices must be indicated in any other section of the bid.
- f. The maximum number of pages including text and graphics to be submitted for **Appendix “G” – Evaluation Criteria, SRE 3 section 3. Rated Requirements is 20 pages (single-sided) or 10 pages (double-sided) on 8 ½ x 11 paper size. If larger sized paper is provided then each sheet of paper will be counted as two pages. A minimum font size 10 should be used.**

The following contents are not included as part of the maximum page limitation noted above:

- i. Covering letter (optional - contents not evaluated)
- ii. Completed Appendix “B” –Team Identification Format;
- iii. Completed Appendix “C” – Price Proposal Form;
- iv. Completed Appendix “E” – Declaration/Certifications Form
- v. SRE 3 section 2. Mandatory Requirements in this appendix.
- vi. Front page of the RFP Solicitation; and,
- vii. Front page of revision(s) to the RFP;

Consequence of non-compliance: Any pages which extend beyond the above page limitation and any other attachments will be extracted from the proposal and will not be evaluated.

- g. Canada requests that Proponents follow the format instructions described below in the preparation of their bid:
 - i. use 8.5 x 11 inch (216 mm x 279 mm) paper;
 - ii. use a numbering system that corresponds to the bid solicitation.
- h. In April 2006, Canada issued a policy directing federal departments and agencies to take the necessary steps to incorporate environmental considerations into the procurement process Policy on Green Procurement (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ecologisation-greening/achats-procurement/politique-policy-eng.html>). To assist Canada in reaching its objectives, Proponents should:
 - i. use paper containing fibre certified as originating from a sustainably-managed forest and containing minimum 30% recycled content; and
 - ii. use an environmentally-preferable format including black and white printing instead of colour printing, printing double sided/duplex, using staples or clips instead of cerlox, duotangs or binders.



1.2. Section I: Technical Proposal

- a) In their Technical Proposal, Proponents should demonstrate their understanding of the requirements contained in the bid solicitation and explain how they will meet these requirements. Proponents should demonstrate their capability and describe their approach in a thorough, concise and clear manner for carrying out the work.
- b) The Technical Proposal should address clearly and in sufficient depth the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the bid will be evaluated. Simply repeating the statement contained in the bid solicitation is not sufficient. In order to facilitate the evaluation of the bid, Canada requests that Proponents address and present topics in the order of the evaluation criteria under the same headings. To avoid duplication, Proponents may refer to different sections of their bids by identifying the specific paragraph and page number where the subject topic has already been addressed.
- c) Proponents must submit their Technical Proposal in accordance with the Evaluation Criteria (Appendix F SRE 3) and the Team Identification Form (Appendix B).

1.3 Section II: Financial Proposal

- a) Proponents must submit their Price Proposal Form in accordance with **Appendix C - Price Proposal Form**. The total amount of Goods and Services Tax or Harmonized Sales Tax must be shown separately, if applicable.

1.4 Section III: Declaration/Certifications

Proponents must complete, sign and submit the certifications and supporting documentation required under Appendix E Declaration/Certifications Form.

1.5 Government Electronic Tendering Service (GETS) Documents

Proponents will be provided with an electronic copy of some of the RFP documents, in Microsoft Office format, with the solicitation package issued on GETS. In the event of any discrepancies between the Microsoft Office copies and PDF documents released officially through GETS, the PDF documents released through GETS will prevail.

SRE 2 Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection

2.1 Evaluation Procedures

- a) Bids will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the bid solicitation including the technical and financial evaluation criteria.
- b) An evaluation team composed of representatives of Canada will evaluate the bids.

2.2 Technical Evaluation

- a) **Mandatory Requirements:** Each bid will be evaluated for compliance with the mandatory requirements of the bid solicitation. All elements of the bid solicitation that are mandatory requirements are identified specifically with the words “must” or “mandatory”. Bids that do not comply with each and every mandatory requirement will be considered non-responsive and be disqualified. Mandatory requirements and evaluation processes are described under SRE 3 - Evaluation Criteria.



- b) **Rated Requirements:** Where Rated Requirements are specified in the RFP, each bid will be rated by assigning a score to the rated requirements, which are identified in the bid solicitation by the word “rated” or by reference to a score. Proponents who fail to submit complete bids with all the information requested by this bid solicitation will be rated accordingly. Rated requirements and evaluation processes are described SRE 3 Evaluation Criteria.
- c) **Technically Responsive Bid:** A technically responsive bid is a bid that meets all of the mandatory requirements and obtains the required minimum points specified in the bid solicitation for the criteria that are subject to point rating.

2.3 Reference Checks

- a) The Proponent is requested to provide a client contact for each reference project in its bid, as requested in SRE 3 – Evaluation Criteria. If information requested is not provided in the bid, the Proponent must provide the information upon request by the Contracting Authority within the timeframe identified in the request. References from representatives of Canada will be accepted.
- b) It is the responsibility of the Proponent to confirm in advance that their client contact for the project reference will be available to provide a response and is willing to provide a reference.
- c) For the purpose of this evaluation, reference checks may be used to verify and validate the Proponent’s bid response. **If** a reference check is performed, Canada will conduct the reference check in writing by e-mail. Canada will send the reference check request directly to the client contact for the project reference provided by the Proponent. The client contact will have 5 working days (or a longer period otherwise specified in writing by the Contracting Authority) from the date that Canada's e-mail was sent, to respond to Canada.
- d) The client contact will be required, within 2 working days after Canada sends out the reference check request, to acknowledge the receipt of the reference check request and identify his or her willingness and availability to conduct such a reference check. If Canada does not received the required response from the client contact, Canada will notify the Proponent by e-mail, to allow the Proponent to contact its client contact directly to ensure that he or she responds to Canada within the allotted time.
- e) Notwithstanding section 2.3 d), if the client contact is unavailable when required during the evaluation period, the Proponent will be requested to provide an alternate client contact for the same referenced project. Proponents will only be provided with this opportunity once for each referenced project and only if the original client contact is unavailable to respond. The process as described in 2.3 d) is applicable for the reference check with the alternate client contact. The period to respond for either the original client contact, or the alternate client contact, will be a total of 5 working days (or a longer period otherwise specified in writing by the Contracting Authority) in accordance with 2.3 d).
- f) Wherever information provided by a client contact differs from the information supplied by the Proponent, the Proponent will be asked to clarify project reference information provided in its bid response. Canada will assess the following information during the evaluation of the Proponent’s bid response: the Proponent’s original project reference information, any information provided by the Proponent in response to clarification request(s), and any information supplied by the client contact for the referenced project.
- g) Non-consideration of the Proponent’s claimed project experience will result if:
 - i. the reference check client contact fails to timely respond to Canada’s request;
 - ii. the reference check client contact states he or she is unable or unwilling to provide the information requested;
 - iii. the information provided by the Proponent cannot be verified and validated by Canada; or



- iv. the reference check client contact organization and/or client contact was affiliated with the Proponent during the referenced project, if the client contact organization and/or contact has ever been or is currently affiliated with the Proponent, or if the client contact organization is an entity that does not deal at arm's length with the Proponent.
- h) Where non-consideration of a Proponent's claimed project experience, as a result of 2.3 g), for any mandatory requirement in SRE 3 – Evaluation Criteria, results in the Proponent not meeting one or more mandatory requirements, the bid will be declared non-responsive in accordance with section 2.5 Basis of Selection of this appendix.
- i) Non-consideration of a Proponent's claimed project experience, as a result of 2.3 g), for the rated requirements in SRE 3 – Evaluation Criteria, will result in the Proponent not being awarded the points associated with the respective rated criterion.

2.4 Financial Evaluation

- a) Unless otherwise specified in the RFP, the financial evaluation will be conducted by calculating the Total Evaluated Fee as indicated in Appendix C – Price Proposal Form, Part A.

2.5 Basis of Selection

2.5.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit (90%) and Price (10%)

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
 - b. meet all Mandatory Requirements; and
 - c. achieve a **minimum overall pass mark of 60% (60 out of the 100 points available (weighted rating max score))**.
2. Bids not meeting 1. a., b. and c. will be declared non-responsive, and will not be evaluated against the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price.
3. The selections will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 90% for the technical merit and 10% for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 90%.
5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of 10%.
6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a Contract.



The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by an 90/10 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 100 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

	Proponent 1	Proponent 2	Proponent 3
Overall Technical Score	95/100	79/100	85/100
Bid Evaluated Price	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00
Technical Merit Score	95/100 X 90 = 85.50	79/100 X 90 = 71.10	85/100 X 90 = 76.50
Pricing Score	45/55 X 10 = 8.18	45/50 X 10 = 9.00	45/45 X 10 = 10.00
Combined Rating	93.68	80.10	86.50
Overall Rating	1 st	3 rd	2 nd

SRE 3 EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Proponent Instructions:

- a. Complete responses to the Evaluation Criteria and submit with Team Identification Form (Appendix B) in a **separate sealed envelope** with the Name of Proponent, Name of Project, Solicitation Number, and the words "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" typed on the outside of the envelope.
- b. The Proponent is requested to respond to the Evaluation Criteria using the table formats below.
- c. Listing experience without providing any supporting data to describe where and how such experience was obtained will result in the experience not being included for evaluation purposes. If any of the requirements under this section are omitted from the bid, they will be set aside without further consideration and the bid will be considered to be non-responsive. In the case of any Mandatory Criteria, a lack of supporting information will render the bid non-responsive and will be set aside without further consideration.
- d. The Proponent must make clear references to the candidates' curriculum vitae (CV) or résumé for each stated claim in the response (where applicable). Complete details demonstrating how a Proponent meets each Evaluation Criteria must be provided, including reference to where, when and how experience was obtained and how it relates to each requirement.
- e. Project References :
 - i. Where Proponents must submit project references in their responses to the evaluation criteria, Canada may contact the client contact to validate Proponent's responses. The information obtained through client reference validation will be used to assist in determining the compliance of the referenced project to the evaluation criteria. The client reference checks will result in either confirmation that project reference information is accurate or will result in non-consideration of the claimed project experience in accordance with SRE 2.
 - ii. Proponents should only provide the required reference project(s) as indicated in each evaluation criteria. If more than the required number of reference project(s) is provided, only the first projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.



2. MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

Failure to meet the mandatory requirements will render the proposal as non-responsive and no further evaluation will be carried out.

Mandatory Requirement 1: Proponent's Consultant Team

M1.1 The Proponent must propose a Consultant Team with demonstrated experience delivering the requirements similar to the requirements in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference including, but not limited to, the following:

Proponent must be the Architect.

Key sub-consultant firms / Specialists –Civil Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, Electrical Engineering, Structural Engineering.

If the Proponent proposes to provide multidisciplinary services that might normally be provided by a sub-consultant, this should be indicated here.

M1.2 All key team members listed above must be licensed, or eligible to be licensed, certified or otherwise authorized to provide the necessary professional services to the full extent that may be required by law in British Columbia.

M1.2.1 If the Proponent is a Joint Venture, each member of the Joint Venture must meet this mandatory requirement and provide proof in accordance with M1.2.2 below.

M1.2.2 Proof of licensing/certifications/ authorizations must be provided prior to the award of a contract. If proof is not provided upon request by the Contracting Authority the bid will be deemed non-responsive.

M1.3 The Proponent must provide the following information:–

- (a) Name of key team members' firm(s);
- (b) Key personnel to be assigned to the project;
- (c) For the Proponent (Consultant) - Architect indicate current license and/or how you intend to meet the provincial or territorial licensing requirements prior to contract award.
- (d) In the case of a joint venture identify the existing or proposed legal form of the joint venture (refer to R1410T General Instructions to Proponents, GI9 Limitation of submissions).

Proponents are requested to use the Team Identification Form in Appendix B when responding to this mandatory requirement.

3. RATED REQUIREMENTS

The order of the proposals should follow the order established below in the Rate Requirements section. Points for the Rated Requirements will be allocated in accordance with 4. Evaluation and Rating.

Rated Requirement 1: Achievements of Proponent on Projects

R1.1 Describe the Proponent's accomplishments, achievements and experience as the Consultant on projects.

Submit a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Proponent within the last 5 years. Proponent's that are joint venture submissions must submit 2 (same # as non-JV reference projects above)] reference projects per joint venture member. Only the first 2 projects listed for the Proponent, and if applicable the first 2 reference projects listed for each joint venture member, in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.



The Proponent should provide the following information for each reference project:

- (a) Clearly describe how the reference project is comparable/relevant to the work included in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference.
- (b) Provide a brief project description and intent. Narratives should include a discussion of design philosophy / approach to meet the intent, design challenges and resolutions.
- (c) Describe budget control and management - i.e. contract price & final construction cost - explain variation.
- (d) Describe project schedule control and management - i.e. initial schedule and revised schedule - explain variation.
- (e) Client contact for project references – Provide the name, address, current phone and email address of a client contact at working level - references may be checked.
- (f) Provide names of key personnel responsible for project delivery.
- (g) Describe awards received, if applicable.

R1.2 The project references must be for work done by the Proponent (as defined in R1410T General Instructions to Proponents, G12 Definitions). Past project experience from entities other than the Proponent will not be considered in the evaluation.

If the Proponent is a joint venture, indicate which reference projects were carried out by each joint venture member.

Rated Requirement 2: Achievements of Consultant Team Key Sub-consultant firms / Specialists on Projects

Describe the accomplishments, achievements and experience of the Consultant Team key sub-consultant firms / specialists, either as the Consultant or in a sub-consultant capacity on projects. If the Proponent proposes to provide multi-disciplinary services which might otherwise be performed by a sub-consultant, this should be reflected here.

Select a **maximum** of 2 reference projects undertaken by the Consultant Team key sub-consultant firms / specialists within the last 5 years per key sub-consultant firm / specialist. Only the first 2 projects listed for each Consultant Team key sub-consultant firm/specialist, in sequence will receive consideration and any others will not receive consideration.

The Proponent should provide the following information for each reference project:

- (a) Clearly describe how the reference project is comparable/relevant to the work included in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference.
- (b) Provide a brief project description and intent. Narratives should include a discussion of design philosophy / approach to meet the intent, design challenges and resolutions.
- (c) Describe budget control and management - i.e. contract price & final construction cost - explain variation.
- (d) Describe project schedule control and management - i.e. initial schedule and revised schedule - explain variation.
- (e) Client contact for project references – Provide the name, address, current phone and email address of a client contact at working level - references may be checked.
- (f) Provide names of key personnel responsible for project delivery.
- (g) Describe awards received, if applicable.



Rated Requirement 3: Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects

Describe the experience and performance of each of the Proponent's Consultant Team key personnel to be assigned to this project regardless of their past association with the Proponent. This is the opportunity to emphasize the strengths of the individuals on the team, to recognize their past responsibilities, commitments and achievements.

The Proponent should include the following information for each description:

- (a) professional accreditation;
- (b) accomplishments/achievements/awards;
- (c) relevant experience, expertise, number of years' experience in a relevant discipline;
- (d) role, responsibilities and degree of involvement of the individual in past projects.

Rated Requirement 4: Understanding of the Project:

The Proponent should demonstrate an understanding of the project requirements in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference, including an understanding of the goals of the project, the functional/technical requirements, the constraints and the issues that will shape the end product.

The Proponent should describe an understanding of the following in response to this requirement:

- (a) The functional and technical requirements;
- (b) Broader goals (federal image, sustainable development, sensitivities);
- (c) Significant issues, challenges and constraints; and
- (d) Project schedule and cost: Review schedule and cost information and assess risk management elements that may affect the project.

Rated Requirement 5: Scope of Services:

The Proponent should demonstrate their capability to deliver the services, meet project challenges, and to provide a plan of action for the work in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference.

The Proponent should describe the following in response to this requirement:

- (a) Scope of Services - detailed list of services
- (b) Work Plan - detailed breakdown of work tasks and deliverables
- (c) Project Schedule - proposed major milestone schedule
- (d) Risk Management Strategy
- (e) Sustainable Development Strategy

Rated Requirement 6: Management of Services:

The Proponent should describe how the services will be delivered and how the constraints will be met; how the services will be managed to ensure continuing and consistent control and communication efficiency; how the Consultant Team will be managed and organized and how it will fit in the existing structure of the key sub-consultant firms/specialists.

The Proponent should include the following in response to this requirement:

- (a) Describe the makeup of the full Consultant Team proposed in response to Mandatory Requirement 2, including their roles and responsibilities to deliver the work in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference.
- (b) Provide an organization chart with position titles, reporting relationships and names of each member of the Consultant Team, including a joint venture business plan (if Proponent is a joint venture), and team structure;



- (c) If the Proponent is a joint venture, describe the structure of the joint venture, and include each member of the joint venture in the organization chart.
- (d) What back-up will be committed
- (e) Provide profiles of the key positions (specific assignments and responsibilities);
- (f) Outline of an action plan of the services with implementation strategies and sequence of main activities.
- (g) Reporting relationships
- (h) Provide a Communication Strategy.
- (i) Response time: demonstrate how the response time requirements will be met

Rated Requirement 7: Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology

The Proponent should elaborate on aspects of the project considered to be a major challenge which will illustrate design philosophy / approach / methodology. This is the opportunity for the Proponent to state the overall design philosophy of the Consultant Team as well as the Proponent’s approach to resolving design issues and in particular to focus on the unique aspects of the requirements in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference.

The Proponent should describe the following in response to this requirement:

- (a) Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology that the Proponent will apply when delivering the work in Appendix A – Project Brief / Terms of Reference;
- (b) The major challenges and how the Proponent’s approach will be applied to those particular challenges.

4. EVALUATION AND RATING

4.1. The Rated Requirements will be evaluated in accordance with the following, to establish Technical Ratings:

4.1.1. Proponents **must** achieve a minimum pass mark of 60 points out of the 100 points available. No further consideration will be given to Proponents not achieving the minimum pass mark of 60 points.

4.1.2. Calculation of Score: Weight Factor x Rating = Weighted Rating (Score)

Technical Rating – Table 1

Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighted Rating (Score)
R1 - Achievements of Proponent on Projects	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
R2 - Achievements of Consultant Team Key sub-consultant firms / Specialists on Projects	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
R3 - Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects	1.0	0 - 10	0 – 10
R4 - Understanding of the Project	2.0	0 - 10	0 – 20
R5 - Scope of Services	2.0	0 - 10	0 – 20
R6 - Management of Services	2.0	0 - 10	0 - 20
R7 - Design Philosophy / Approach / Methodology	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
Technical Rating	10.0		0 - 100

4.2. Generic Evaluation - Table 2

The RCMP Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below:



	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
0 point	2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated.	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the requirements.
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected.	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected.	Weaknesses can be corrected.	No significant weaknesses.	No apparent weaknesses.
	Proponent does not possess qualifications and experience	Proponent lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent has an acceptable level of qualifications and experience	Proponent is qualified and experienced	Proponent is highly qualified and experienced.
	Consultant Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Consultant Team proposed does not cover all components or overall experience is weak.	Consultant Team proposed covers most components and will likely meet requirements.	Consultant Team proposed covers all components - some members have worked successfully together.	Strong Consultant Team proposed - has worked successfully together on comparable projects
	Sample projects not related to this requirement.	Sample projects generally not related to this requirement.	Sample projects generally related to this requirement.	Sample projects directly related to this requirement.	Lead supplier in sample projects directly related to this requirement.
	Extremely poor capability, insufficient to meet performance requirements.	Little capability to meet performance requirements.	Acceptable capability, should ensure adequate results.	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results.	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results.