



**RETURN BIDS TO:  
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A :**

Bid Receiving/Réception des sousmissions  
Procurement & Contracting Services  
c/o Commissionaires, F Division  
6101 Dewdney Ave  
Regina, SK S4P 3K7

Fax No. - No de FAX:  
(306) 780-5232

**SOLICITATION  
AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE  
L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments: - Commentaries :

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

LE PRÉSENT DOCUMENT COMPORTE UNE EXIGENCE EN MATIÈRE DE SÉCURITÉ

<b>Title – Sujet:</b> Architectural and Engineering Consulting Services, Northwest Territories		<b>Date :</b> 22 July 2019
<b>Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation</b> M5000-19-6397/A – PW-19-00879263		<b>Amendment No. – N° de la modification</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client</b> 201906397		
<b>Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin</b>		
<b>At / à :</b>	2 :00 PM	CST (Central Standard Time) HNC (Heure Normale du Centre)
<b>On / le :</b>	31 July 2019	
<b>Incoterms 2010 "DDP Delivered Duty Paid"</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes	<b>GST – TPS</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes	<b>Duty – Droits</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes
<b>Destination of Goods and Services – Destinations des biens et services</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes		
<b>Instructions</b> See herein — Voir aux présentes		
<b>Address Inquiries to – Adresser toute demande de renseignements à</b> Teresa Hengen, Procurement Officer		
<b>Telephone No. – No. de téléphone</b> 639-625-3449	<b>Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur</b> 306-780-5232	
<b>Delivery Required – Livraison exigée</b> N/A	<b>Delivery Offered – Livraison proposée</b> N/A	
<b>Vendor/Firm Name, Address and Representative – Raison sociale, adresse et représentant du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur:</b>		
<b>Telephone No. – No. de téléphone</b>	<b>Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	



This amendment is raised to address the following:

**Delete:**

1.1 Bid Preparation Instructions

1.1.1 Canada requests that Proponents provide their bid in separate sections as follows:

- a. Section I: Technical Proposal (one (1) original plus **four (4)** hard copies) (and one (1) soft copy on DVD/CD/USB)

**Insert:**

1.1 Bid Preparation Instructions

1.1.1 Canada requests that Proponents provide their bid in separate sections as follows:

- a. Section I: Technical Proposal (one (1) original plus **five (5)** hard copies) (and one (1) soft copy on DVD/CD/USB)

**Questions and Answers:**

Question 22:

Appendix A item 4.1.2 Ad Hoc Deliverables: states that there will be a minimum of 50 on-site project meetings. Please confirm the following:

We are to include in our fee 50 trips to the site, to be divided between all disciplines at the consultant's discretion.

Response: Yes

Question 23:

50 on-site project meetings (site trips) is an inordinate number of site trips for a project of this size. Since we must include all travel costs in our fee, this will have a significant impact. In fact, travel costs could be greater than design fees. Please reconsider the number of on-site project meetings we must allow for in our fee.

Response: Acknowledged. The requirement remains at 50 trips per location.

Question 24:

That 50 on-site project meetings are required for each site/detachment.

Response: Yes

Question 25:

Concerns were raised regarding the evaluation methodology and the inclusion of all travel and living expenses in Part A of Appendix C-Price Proposal Form.

Response: The evaluation methodology will remain as is and the travel and living expenses will remain as part of the base bid price.

Question:

26. Does the Commissioning specialist require registration in the NWT?

Response: No

Question:



27. In section 2.2.7 is a landscape architect required or can the lead architect supply these services?

Response: Landscape Architect is not a required sub-consultant.

Question:

28. As a desktop Geotechnical review is only provided should the proponent include in disbursements the cost of a full geotechnical report? Desktop studies are usually insufficient for this type of work.

Response: A desktop Geotechnical review will be available for the successful Proponent. The desktop review was completed in 2019 and is current. Additional disbursements shall not be included for a full report.

Question:

29. Will a full topographical survey be supplied for each site?

Response: Yes