



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
L'Esplanade Laurier
4th floor, East Tower
140 O'Connor Street
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

| | |
|--|---|
| Title - Sujet Environmental - Geotechnical SO | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP913-191532/A | Date 2019-07-23 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20191532 | Amendment No. - N° modif. 004 |
| File No. - N° de dossier fe170.EP913-191532 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-170-77302 | |
| Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2019-06-17 | |
| Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale | |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-07-31 | |
| Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lanthier(fe170), Marc | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe170 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 296-6110 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: National Capital Area | |
| Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre. | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Acknowledgement copy required | Yes - Oui | No - Non |
| Accusé de réception requis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre. | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | | |
| For the Minister - Pour le Ministre | | |

The following is in response to inquiries received in relation to this solicitation. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.

Question 1

Q1a: The list of required services provided on page 69 and the detailed breakout of required services on pages 77-84 are not consistent with the requested project examples as stated in Section 3.2.3 (2)(a) on page 51 of the proposal PDF document, please confirm.

Q1b: Section 3.2.3 (2)(a) on page 51 of the proposal PDF document appears to suggest a project example for RS 4 is not required in the proponents proposal, please confirm.

A1. Please refer to solicitation amendment 002, #3.

Question 2

Q2. Items 3.2.6.1 and 3.2.8.1 indicate «Considerations will be given to Proponent's team members who are licensed in both provinces». Does this statement apply to ONLY one Senior Geotechnical Personnel and one Geotechnical Project Engineer or to each individual personnel in these subcategories?

A2. This statement applies to section 3.2.6.2 a) and 3.2.8.2 a) where it is asked to provide a maximum of two (2) c.v.'s of senior personnel and maximum of two (2) c.v.'s of project engineers respectively.

Question 3

Q3. Items 3.2.6.1 and 3.2.8.1 indicate «Considerations will be given to Proponent's team members who are licensed in both provinces». Please define how this statement will be evaluated using provided generic evaluation table in the RFSO.

A3. Sections 3.2.6 and 3.2.8 will be evaluated as a whole and not solely based on licensing in both provinces. However, for equivalent personnel submitted, more points (approximately 1 point) will be allowed to personnel licensed in both provinces.

Question 4

Q4. Will resumes of key personnel from subconsultants assigned to this project be considered in the evaluation of items 3.2.6.1 and 3.2.8.1 «Considerations will be given to Proponent's team members who are licensed in both provinces»?

A4. No.

Question 5

Q5a: The price form and Q&A indicates that the Senior and Intermediate personnel must be licensed professionals. We understand that this would include the Environmental Professional (EP) designation,

the Professional Agrologist (P.Ag.) designation and any other relevant professional designation (eg. P.Chem, P.Eng, P.Geo, etc.) correct? If not, please specify which professional licenses are acceptable.

Q5b. In Appendix B of the proposal PDF document, “intermediate personnel” are defined as “licensed as a professional having five (5) to eleven (11) years’...” Is the intent of this requirement such that proposed intermediate personnel must be licenced as a P.Eng or P.Geo, such that environmental scientists, biologists, industrial hygienists, etc., cannot fill the “intermediate personnel” category?

A6. Only licensure provided by Professional Engineering Associations or Professional Geoscientist Associations are acceptable for environmental personnel requirements.

Question 6

Q6: Please clarify how rated criteria 3.2.7 Environmental Project Personnel CVs and 3.2.8 Geotechnical Project Engineer CVs will be evaluated. Will more senior personnel CVs score higher? Are senior personnel CVs excluded since 4 senior CVs have been requested previously in 3.2.5 and 3.2.6? Are you looking for a mix of seniors, Intermediates and Juniors to get maximum points?

A6. In response to SRE section 3.2.7 Environmental Project Personnel Expertise and Experience and SRE section 3.2.8 Geotechnical Project Engineer Expertise and Experience, the proponent should present key personnel who will perform the tasks under the intermediate personnel classifications only.

Question 7

Q7. In Appendix B, the definition for Field Technician includes Junior Personnel with 0-4 years relevant environmental and/or geotechnical engineering experience. Can the Geotechnical Field Technician personnel CV (section 3.2.9 in the RFP document) include junior engineering personnel with 0-4 years relevant environmental and/or geotechnical engineering experience?

A7. Yes.

END