



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-7526

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific
Region
219 - 800 Burrard Street
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, BC V6Z 0B9

Title - Sujet Contaminated Sites Characterization	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ897-191444/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20191444	Date 2019-08-20
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$VAN-582-8633	
File No. - N° de dossier VAN-9-42062 (582)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-09-09	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lee, Hilda	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur van582
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 764-6053 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (604) 775-7526
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment 001 is raised to incorporate the following information into Solicitation No. EZ897-19144/B.

1) Questions and Answers

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1. The Value of Consulting Work goes from 'Less than \$20,000' to '\$50,000 to \$100,000'. Could we please have clarification on this?

A1. **It should read \$20,000 to \$100,000. See attached revised Corporate Capability Form.**

Q2. For the Key Personnel Form page 2, can the same Sample Project be used between the 4 different Key Personnel Relevant Experience criteria (Location, Type of Site, Contaminant, Federal Standards)?

A2. **Each of the two Sample Projects within the 4 Key Personnel Relevant Experience criteria must be different, but Sample Projects may be reused between the 4 criteria.**

Q3. Site Characterization may be performed in support of future risk management of PSPC sites. Will PSPC accept a CSAP (risk based standards) Professional for the role of "Expert – CSAP" named in the Key Personnel?

A3. **Yes. On Page 31 under "Resource Experience and Roles and Responsibilities" the RFP states: "Expert: minimum 20 years relevant experience except for 10 years relevant experience for Contaminates Sites Approved Professional (CSAP) Specialization. The Approved Professional category (Numerical Standards or Risk-based Standards) must be relevant to the Statement of Work."**

Q4. In Section 3.1 of the RFP, first bullet, PSPC identifies that bids should be separated into the following:

- a. Section I: Technical Bid
- b. Section II: Financial Bid
- c. Section III: Certifications
- d. Section IV: Additional Information

Could PSPC please clarify which content should be included within Section IV: Additional Information versus what should be included in Section III: Certifications, and indicate how many hard copies of Section IV are required?

A4. **Additional Information is not applicable on this solicitation and will be removed. All certifications that are required can be found in Part 5 – CERTIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.**

- Q5.** On page 49 (Annex H) of the RFP, the first paragraph in Management of Services requests the bidder to provide the following: “The Bidder should describe how they propose to perform the services and meet the constraints; how the services will be managed to ensure continuing and consistent control as well as production and communication efficiency; how the team will be organized and how it will fit in the existing structure of the will be managed. The Bidder is also to identify sub-consultant disciplines and specialists required to complete the consultant team.” On page 50, these items are not included in the evaluation for Management of Services; it appears the evaluation is limited to Backup Personnel, Profiles of Key Positions Personnel, Communications Procedures, and Quality Management Procedures. Can PSPC please clarify what should be addressed in this section?
- A5.** **As per the Total Technical Score Calculation Table in Annex H Evaluation Criteria and Basis of Selection the evaluation criteria that PSPC are evaluating for the Management of Services section are Backup Personnel, Profiles of Key Positions Personnel, Communications Procedures, and Quality Management Procedures. as described on page 49 of the RFP.**

In PART 3 – BID PREPERATION INSTRUCTIONS, 3.1 Bid Preparation Instructions

DELETE: Section IV: Additional Information

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

CORPORATE CAPABILITY FORM - Revision 1

Corporate Capability Sample Project Number: ____

Sample Project Description - complete the following fields			
Consultant Company Name*, Sample Project Name*			Dates of Work (range)*
Description of Work* (must be relevant to Required Services in Statement of Work)			
Sample Project Relevancy Evaluation - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)			
Work Location	Type of Site	Primary Contaminant⁷	
BC or Yukon	30	Airport, Military, Highway Maint. Camp ¹	10
Other Canadian Province or Territory	15	Other Relevant Federal Government ²	5
Other	0	Other	0
		PFAS, PCB, DDT, Salt, Leachable Metals ⁴	10
		Metals, Hydrocarbons	5
		Other	0
Appropriate Standards	Number of Key Personnel	Value of Consulting Work⁶	
Federal ⁵	30	Greater than 3	10
BC CSR or Yukon CSR	15	2 or 3	5
Other	0	Less than 2	0
		Greater than \$100,000	10
		\$20,000 to \$100,000	5
		Less than \$20,000	0
Company Performance Evaluation for Sample Project - select one box per criteria with the most appropriate response (see Notes)			
Design			
This is the rating of the quality of the design (of the Consultants Work), including:			Superior
• Understanding of the project objectives and constraints			Satisfactory
• Thoroughness of and logical approach in problem analysis and exploration of alternatives			Not Satisfactory
• Appropriateness of concept and sensitivity to context (physical and non-physical, site, geography, function, client)			Unacceptable
			20
			15
			10
			0
Quality of Results			
This is the rating of the quality of all deliverables throughout the project, including:			Superior
• Responsiveness to PWGSC/Client input			Satisfactory
• Coverage of all aspects of process (all technical issues addressed, approval authorities, procedures)			Not Satisfactory
• Quality of studies including: comprehensive, logical analysis, firm and substantiated recommendations, presentation			Unacceptable
• Quality of work (completeness, accuracy, co-ordination)			
• Contract administration - correctness, timeliness			
			30
			22.5
			15
			0
Management			
This is the rating of how the project was managed including the delivery and overall services, including:			Superior
• Delivery of a comprehensive, reliable and effective service in a responsive and orderly manner			Satisfactory
• Understanding of the Consultant role within the context of Client's operating environment and project requirements			Not Satisfactory
• Application of initiative, judgement and attentiveness in providing services			Unacceptable
• Management of Consultant team: leadership, efficiency, fairness, and proper level of service			
• Co-ordination of sub-consultants, if applicable			
			30
			22.5
			15
			0
Time			
This is the rating of time planning and schedule control, including:			Superior
• Timely and accurate progress reporting			Satisfactory
• On-schedule delivery of services in every stage			Not Satisfactory
			10
			7.5
			5
			0
Cost			
This is the rating of the quality of cost planning and control during the life of the project, including:			Superior
• Management of the design development within cost plan			Satisfactory
• Timeliness of estimating and cost plan monitoring			Not Satisfactory
• Final project estimate vs. Actual (established at award)			Unacceptable
• Application of value engineering to design decisions, if applicable			
			10
			7.5
			5
			0
Reference ⁷ for Sample Project - complete the following fields (see Notes)			
Name of Reference (individual)*, Title*, Company*			
Signature*			
Date*	Telephone Number*	Email*	
Notes - see Request For Proposal for full description			
*—mandatory 1—all sites must be active (at the time of work must be used for relevant purpose), Highway Maintenance Camp must also have workers residing on site 2=does not include: mine sites, First Nations reserves, railways, lighthouses, and service stations 3=Contaminant must exceed Appropriate Standard 4=Per-/poly-fluoroalkyl substances, Polychlorinated biphenyls, Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, NaCl and conductivity, Leachable Metals based on TCLP 5=Canadian Federal government contaminated sites guideline, eg Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment 6=Including fees and disbursements, with taxes. Includes subcontractors retained by Bidder; does not include Construction Contractors. 7=must be independent of the Bidder, be currently employed with the client company (including government Department), and know Sample Project Superior=exceptional and uncommon level of service, must have demonstrable examples of commendation Satisfactory=acceptable or good service level, fulfilling requirements Not Satisfactory=not acceptable or poor service level, did not meet requirements Unacceptable=unsuitable and inadequate level of service, must have demonstrable examples of condemnation			