



**RETURN BIDS TO:  
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Alain Papineau**

**Email: alain.papineau@pwgsc.gc.ca**

**Phone: 613-858-8997**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Business Management and Consulting Services Division  
/ Division des services de gestion des affaires et de  
consultation

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
10C1, Place du Portage  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Cheque Image Exchange Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EN891-193251/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20193251	<b>Date</b> 2019-09-11
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZG-404-36705	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 404zg.EN891-193251	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-09-16</b>	<b>Time Zone Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Papineau, Alain	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 404zg
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 858-8997 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

**This fourth (004) amendment to the RFI is raised to answer questions from the Industry.**

---

**Q5:** Industry asked for clarification that if the service provider is also a direct clearer, would the direct export an ICP file where the direct clearer is the originator and RG is destination, then reprocess the items again to create one RG ICP Extract file.

**A5:** The process model is designed such that the service provider may or may not be a direct clearer. In the event that a direct clearer is also the service provider, the internal design of their processes for preparing their items for presentment to the RG is their decision.

---

**Q6:** The RG asked if any aspect of your security environment is assessed by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party? (e.g. IT security, facilities security, personnel screening, etc.) And if yes, are you willing to share the latest assessment report?

**A6:** Yes, different facets of our security environment are assessed by a third party. It may be possible to share some of this information but certainly not all. A nondisclosure agreement would be required.

---

**Q7:** Industry asked how many accounts are used for the RG cheques.

**A7:** There is only one account used for all cheques.

---

**Q8:** The RG asked if there are any IT Security requirements where compliance could not be demonstrated?

**A8:** The response from Industry was:

- (a) The requirement to explain the approach to Data Segregation may be difficult to specifically respond to as this is an inherent design consideration in all processes.
  - (b) In the requirement regarding the Security Organization, the requirement can be met but a clearer definition of "Security Organization" would ensure a full and proper response is given.
- 

**Classification:**

1. In the RFI there are 2 hyperlinks that are in error:

On Page 7 in the IT Security Requirements Grid, for the Identity, Credential and Access Management Area. The hyperlink: (<https://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pol/doc-eng.aspx?id=26776>) should be (<http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/pol/doc-eng.aspx?id=16577>)

On Page 8 in the Industrial Security Requirements.  
The hyperlink: (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/escsrc/introduction-eng.html>) should be: (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/esc-src/introduction-eng.html>)

---

All other terms and conditions will remain the same.