



Transport
Canada

Transports
Canada

PLACE DE VILLE
TOWER "C", 330 SPARKS STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO
K1A 0N5

October 10, 2019

ADDENDUM NO. 5

Subject: Request for Proposal No. T8080-190184
Economic and social measures protecting the North Atlantic Right Whale (NARW) and the Southern Resident Killer Whale (SRKW)

Further to the above-mentioned Request for Proposal, this Addendum (#5) is to advise potential bidders of the question received during this tender call to date. Both the question and the response is indicated in the attached Annex A-1.

Closing Date:

The new closing date for receiving proposals is hereby changed to **Monday, October 28, 2019**, at **2:00 pm (Eastern Daylight Time (EDT))**.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Tenderers are to acknowledge this Addendum by signing in the space provided below and enclosing a copy of this document with their tender submission.

Yours truly,

Natasha Blackstein
Contracting Specialist
Materiel and Contracting Services
Telephone: (343) 550-2321
Email: natasha.blackstein@tc.gc.ca

RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGED

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Canada

Annex A-1.

- Q1.** We're considering preparing a response to the RFP in question and would like to know whether more than one resource can be nominated in R2-R4 categories under point rated evaluation criteria (RFP pp. 12-14)? Or can only one person be nominated under each of these categories and all additional people listed under 'other specialists'?
- A1.** For R2: Economic Impact Analysis: R.2.1 and R.2.2 could be satisfied by two different people but R.2.3 should be one of the resources mentioned in R.2.1 or R.2.2.
For R3: Evaluation of Benefits of Marine Life: R.3.1 and R.3.2 could be satisfied by two different people but R.3.3 should be one of the resources mentioned in R.3.1 or R.3.2.
For R4: Engagement with West Coast First Nations: The same person should satisfy R.4.1 and R.4.2.
- As required for M.3 Resources Resumes and M.4 Resources Experience: The bidder should also provide the required information on all the resources he wants to use that are not mentioned in the evaluation grid (R1 to R4). Those extra resources should be part of the Work Plan (M1).
- All changes of resources within the execution of the contract need to be approved by the Technical Authority and the replacement resource should satisfy the criteria under which the initial resource was mentioned in the evaluation grid.
- Q2.** How imperative is the french aspect of the report, is it something that could be overcome by hiring someone to translate the report or is a french speaker and writer imperative?
- A2.** This is covered in section 8 in the SOW (pg. 39)
- Q3.** Do you have an indication of budget? As we would need to form a team, having a budget helps guide that
- A3.** The size of the team you need is described by M.3 Resource Resumes and M4. Resources Experience. One person could be associated to multiple requirements. 30% of the evaluation is based on the budget (p.23).
- Q4.** We have done similar work for valuation of other resources, but considering this has a shipping component and that is not necessarily our domain expertise, would that have an impact on our bid?
- A4.** The bidder **must meet M.3 and M.4** but have resources that could qualify for R.2. Economic Impact Analysis, R.3 Evaluation of benefits of Marin Life and R.4 Engagement with West Coast First Nations.