



Transport
Canada

Transports
Canada

**PLACE DE VILLE, TOWER C,
330 SPARKS STREET
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A 0W8**

File No.: T8080-190092A

OCTOBER 18, 2019

ADDENDUM #3

Subject: Request for Proposals T8080-190092A IBC Container Study

No consideration will be given for extras and/or changes because the tenderer was not familiar with the contents of this Addendum.

The following question has been received from potential Bidders about the subject Request for Proposals. The purpose of this Addendum to the Request for Proposals is to summarize the question and answer for the information of all potential Bidders.

Question #1

Is the completion date as stated in Article 6.4 mandatory or desirable?.

Answer #1

DELETE: Article 6.4 in its entirety, and

SUBSTITUTE: the following revised Article 6.4

The work should be completed and accepted on or before March 31, 2020.

Question #2

Would Transport Canada agree to removing the requirement for testing the composite containers from the study due to availability?

Answer #2

Transport Canada agrees that composite containers do not have to be tested.

Question #3

Would TC accept re-certified 10-year old containers purchased from the US given the fact that there may be uncertainty about the history which does not meet the technical requirements?

Answer #3

This would be acceptable, the history uncertainty will be evaluated as part of the evaluation under section 4.1.1.2.

Canada



Question #4

We would also like to add investigation that helps validate the effectiveness of 30 month retest procedures in terms of the ability to predict the structural integrity of the container and therefore extend the life of the container to the next retest date. This would involve some fundamental assessment of the mechanical properties of the plastic. Validation of properties such as toughness of the plastic would provide the best insights into the useful life of the containers and whether it makes sense to extend their life span. Would this be considered or do we need to stick to the test plan as defined in the RFP?

Answer #4

Yes, this can be considered as long as the additional testing does not replace the prescribed performance testing in the RFP.

Bidders are to acknowledge this Addendum by signing in the space provided below and enclosing a copy of this document with their proposal.

All other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposals remain unchanged.

RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGED

Name of Company _____

Signature _____

Yours truly,

Bruce Weir
Procurement Advisor
Materiel and Contracting Services
Tel:613-990-7632
E-mail: bruce.weir@tc.gc.ca