Return Bids to :
Retourner Les Soumissions à :
Natural Resources Canada - Ressources naturelles Canada
Bid Receiving Unit - Loading Dock Access
Unité de réception des soumissions, Accès au quai de chargement
588 rue Booth Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4

Request for Proposal (RFP)
Demande de proposition (DDP)
Proposal To: Natural Resources Canada
We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Proposition à: Ressources Naturelles Canada
Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments - Commentaires

## Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Finance and Procurement Branch Natural Resources Canada 580 Booth Street, 5th Floor Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E4

| Title - Sujet Review of Ferrochrome Industry Environmental Practices |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Solicitation No. - No de l'invitation NRCan-5000048603 | Date <br> October 23, 2019 |
| Requisition Reference No. - № de la demande $5000048603$ | Amendment No. - Modification No 001 |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time (EST)) on - le November 5, 2019 |  |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresse toutes questions à: <br> Jinping Wei, iinping.wei@canada.ca |  |
| Telephone No. - No de telephone <br> (343) 292-7352 | Fax No. - No. de Fax N/A |
| Destination - of Goods and Services: <br> Destination - des biens et services: <br> Natural Resources <br> 580 Booth Street, Ottawa Ontario <br> K1A 0E4 |  |
| Security - Sécurité <br> There is no security requirements associated with this requirement. |  |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur |  |
| Telephone No.:- No. de téléphone: Facsimile No.: - No. de télécopieur: |  |
| Name and Title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) <br> Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) |  |
| Signature | Date |

This solicitation amendment (001) is raised to change the basis of selection and to respond to the following questions from industry;

## Question:

Please confirm that the price limit on this RFP is $\$ 10,000.00$ and this is NOT a misprint.
If this is the correct figure, would NRC consider an upward revision to permit solid work in the area and perhaps one fact finding trip to the countries in question. Otherwise, you are limited to a computer literature search without explanations as to what and why the regulations are set in these two countries.

## Response:

The Funding Limitation has been raised to $\$ 20,000.00$ following internal discussions.

## THE RFP IS AMENDED PER THE FOLLOWING:

## AT: $\quad$ 4.2.1 Highest Rated Within Budget

DELETE: In its entirety
INSERT: The following in its entirety:

### 4.2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
b. meet all mandatory criteria; and
c. obtain the required minimum of 70 points overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating.
The rating is performed on a scale of 100 points.
2. Bids not meeting (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive.
3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be $60 \%$ for the technical merit and $40 \%$ for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of $60 \%$.
5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of $40 \%$.
6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 60/40 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 135 and the lowest evaluated price is $\$ 45,000$ (45).

| Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (60\%) and Price (40\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

AT: Appendix 1 - Evaluation Criteria, 2.1.1 Funding Limitation
DELETE: \$10,000.00
INSERT: \$20,000.00
AT: $\quad$ Appendix 1 - Evaluation Criteria
INSERT: The following in its entirety:

### 2.2 Cost Breakdown

It is mandatory to present a cost breakdown to support the Financial Proposal (Appendix "2" - Financial Proposal Form).

| DESCRIPTION | FIRM HOURLY <br> RATE | LEVEL OF <br> EFFORT | COST \$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\$$ |
|  |  |  | $\$$ |
|  | Total | $\$$ |  |

