Return Bids to : Retourner Les Soumissions à : Natural Resources Canada – Ressources naturelles Canada Bid Receiving Unit – Loading Dock Access Unité de réception des soumissions, Accès au quai de chargement 588 rue Booth Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E4 **Request for Proposal (RFP)** Demande de proposition (DDP) Proposal To: Natural Resources Canada We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Proposition à: Ressources Naturelles Canada Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaires

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Finance and Procurement Branch Natural Resources Canada 580 Booth Street, 5th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E4

Title – Sujet				
Review of Ferrochrome Indust	ry Environmental Practices			
Solicitation No. – No de l'invitation	Date			
NRCan-5000048603	October 23, 2019			
Requisition Reference No Nº de la	Amendment No. – Modification No			
demande	001			
5000048603				
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fi	in			
at - à 02:00 PM (Eastorn	Standard Time (EST))			
an la November 5 20				
on – le November 5, 20	19			
Address Enquiries to: - Adresse toutes a	uestions à:			
Jinping Wei, jinping.wei@can	ada.ca			
Telephone No. – No de telephone	Fax No. – No. de Fax			
(343) 292-7352	N/A			
Destination – of Goods and Services:				
Destination – des biens et services:				
Netural Deservation				
Natural Resources				
	ano			
KTA 024				
Security – Sécurité				
There is no security requireme	nts associated with this			
requirement.				
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur	r/de l'entrepreneur			
	-			
Telephone No.:- No. de téléphone:				
Facsimile No.: - No. de télécopieur:				
print)				
Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de				
i entrepreneur (taper ou ecrire en caracteres d'imprimerie)				
Signature	Date			
L				



This solicitation amendment (001) is raised to change the basis of selection and to respond to the following questions from industry;

Question:

Please confirm that the price limit on this RFP is \$10,000.00 and this is NOT a misprint.

If this is the correct figure, would NRC consider an upward revision to permit solid work in the area and perhaps one fact finding trip to the countries in question. Otherwise, you are limited to a computer literature search without explanations as to what and why the regulations are set in these two countries.

Response:

The Funding Limitation has been raised to \$20,000.00 following internal discussions.

THE RFP IS AMENDED PER THE FOLLOWING:

AT: 4.2.1 Highest Rated Within Budget

DELETE: In its entirety

INSERT: The following in its entirety:

4.2.1 Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

- 1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
 - b. meet all mandatory criteria; and
 - obtain the required minimum of 70 points overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating. The rating is performed on a scale of 100 points.
- 2. Bids not meeting (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive.
- 3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 60 % for the technical merit and 40 % for the price.
- 4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 60 %.
- 5. To establish the pricing score, each responsive bid will be prorated against the lowest evaluated price and the ratio of 40 %.
- 6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.



7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 60/40 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equal 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (60%) and Price (40%)					
		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3	
Overall Techn	ical Score	115/135	89/135	92/135	
Bid Evaluated	Price	\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00	
	Technical Merit Score	115/135 x 60 = 51.11	89/135 x 60 = 39.56	92/135 x 60 = 40.89	
Calculations	Pricing Score	45/55 x 40 = 32.73	45/50 x 40 = 36.00	45/45 x 40 = 40.00	
Combined Rat	ing	83.84	75.56	80.89	
Overall Rating		1st	3rd	2nd	

AT: Appendix 1 – Evaluation Criteria, 2.1.1 Funding Limitation

Ressources naturelles

Canada

Natural Resources

Canada

DELETE: \$10,000.00 **INSERT:** \$20,000.00

AT: Appendix 1 – Evaluation Criteria

INSERT: The following in its entirety:

2.2 Cost Breakdown

It is mandatory to present a cost breakdown to support the Financial Proposal (Appendix "2" - Financial Proposal Form).

DESCRIPTION	FIRM HOURLY RATE	LEVEL OF EFFORT	COST \$
			\$
			\$
Total			\$