



Request for Proposals No. W6369-200196 – AMDT 007
DG Space Engineering concept studies

**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

MAT.DESProc2-DOSE2@forces.gc.ca

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE
L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised;
unless otherwise indicated, all terms and
conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de
l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison
sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de
l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Department of National Defence
DES Proc 2-5-3
Woodline Building
2 Constellation Drive
Ottawa ON



Title - Sujet Space System Engineering and Cost Analysis	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W6369-200196	Amendment No – No modif. 007
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W6369-200196	Date 2019-12-02
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG	
File No. - N° de dossier W6369-200196	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin January 13, 2020	Time Zone Fuseau horaire 2pm Eastern Standard Time EST
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre:	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Cherie Murray	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur DES Proc 2-5-3
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone 613-901-8477	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: To be inserted upon award	

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée See Herein	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date



This Amendment no. 007 has been raised to:

1- Answer the following questions:

	Questions	Answers
30	<p>For stream 2, the RFP requires a detailed engineering costing analysis to be conducted on a space-based surveillance system data exploitation design concept that best meet its requirements, as described in its SBS-RD. Is it acceptable to focus the work on PED-related requirements only, rather than all the priority SBS-RD requirements? For example, can we ignore the requirements associated with the spacecraft and the part of the ground segment used to control the spacecraft (command & telemetry) and focus on the part of the ground segment that performs the data processing, archiving, exploitation and data distribution ?</p>	<p>Yes the process mentioned below is acceptable and expected. Certain assumptions will need to be made by the contractor regarding systems outside of the PED-related requirements. Canada will validate those assumptions early-on during the work period with the Contractor(s).</p>
31	<p>Clarification of the pricing question in Amendment #3 states:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That Bidders are to provide a firm all-inclusive per diem rate of all the resources (i.e. the entire team that will be performing the engineering and costing analysis) for the option period indicated. 2. Yes. The total price for evaluation purposes will be calculated by adding the firm price to conduct the engineering and costing analysis, as well as the all-inclusive per diem rate of option period 1 and option period 2. <p>However, this is combining a total price for the first phase (engineering and costing analysis) with a daily rate for the total team for the two optional phases. Therefore, please confirm the following:</p> <p>Assuming the team working on the initial engineering costing analysis covers a period of 8 weeks or 40 working days is the same</p>	<p>Bidders are asked to provide a firm per diem rate for the team for the option periods, therefore Case 1 is the correct formula.</p>



	<p>size for each of the option periods, then assuming the per diem price for the team is \$2000, then should the total bid price be calculated as</p> <p>Case 1: 80,000 (engineering and costing analysis total price) + \$2000 (option 1 per diem price) + \$2000 (option 2 per diem price) = \$84,000</p> <p>OR:</p> <p>Case 2: 80,000 (engineering and costing analysis total price) + \$2000 x 100 days (total price of Option 1) + \$2000 x 80 days (total price of option 2) = \$440,000</p> <p>Which case is correct?</p>	
32	<p>There is still some uncertainty with respect to DND's response to our earlier question and the response to Question 10. We would like, if possible, to rephrase the question as shown immediately below:</p> <p>Can DND confirm that the experience of team members from subcontractor organizations is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Eligible</u> for evaluation under Mandatory Criterion M2 and Point-Rated Criteria R1 and R2 b) <u>Not eligible</u> for evaluation under Mandatory Criterion M1 (Stream 1), Mandatory Criterion M4 (Stream 3), and Point-Rated Criteria R3 (Streams 1 and 3) 	<p>Please note that Amendment no 001 to the RFP renumbered the mandatory and point rated criteria for all 3 streams.</p> <p>The Bidder's experience will be evaluated in M1 and R3 for Stream 1, M1 and R2 for Stream 2 and M1 and R3 for Stream 3.</p> <p>The bidder's proposed resources will be evaluated in M2, R1 and R2 for Stream 1, M2 and R1 for Stream 2, M2, R1 and R2 for Stream 3.</p>

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME AND IN FULL EFFECT.