



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions

→ TPSGC

10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street /

10e étage, 4900 rue Yonge

Toronto

Ontario

M2N 6A6

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

Send questions and requests for clarification to:
chinthaka.somaratna@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada
Ontario Region
10th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto
Ontario
M2N 6A6

Title - Sujet Building Condition Reports RFSO	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ755-201207/A	Date 2020-02-04
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EQ755-201207	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-9-42048 (034)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWL-034-2508	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2019-12-30	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-02-13	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Somaratna, Chinthaka	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwl034
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 305-7369 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (416) 952-1257
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Ontario Region	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Amendment No. 003

This amendment is being raised to provide a response to Requests for Clarification.

Q7. Would PSPC accept Senior and Project Personnel proposed under the Proponent (Prime Consultant) that are not personally licensed as architects or engineers but have equivalent education or experience to undertake the contemplated work and are employed by a firm that has a Certificate of Authorization to practice architecture and engineering in the province of Ontario?

R7. Personnel professional licensing is part of the rated requirements (see paragraphs 3.2.5.2(d) and 3.3). Without licensing the rating will be lower.

Q8. Can we use previous assignments for public work as references?

R8. Yes.

Q9. It has been stated in the document that we must use epost services to submit our documents, however, it also states we can submit hard copies if we don't have the epost services. Is that correct?

R9. In accordance with the RFSO, both epost and hard copy proposals are acceptable.

Q10. Section AD1.2 Consultant Team lists several technical disciplines that are not listed in Section SRE 3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification or the Pricing Forms (Heritage Conservation Specialist, for example). Does PWGSC require CVs or rates for the technical specialties as part of the response to the RFSO?

R10. Please refer to SRE 3, Submission Requirements and Evaluation, 3.1.4 Consultant Team Identification and APPENDIX C, Consultant Team Identification.

Q11. In order to confirm if we and our sub consultants have adequate resources and capacity to accommodate the work if successful, can you please provide a ball-park estimate or range of one or more of the following?

- estimated annual number of sites to be reviewed in total;
- estimated annual square footage of sites to be reviewed in total; and/or,
- estimated annual fees annual fees for all consultants on the roster.

R11. Buildings to be assessed can be anywhere in the province of Ontario. Sometimes a resulting Call-up could be for multiple locations, therefore, we are not able to provide you with an estimate at this time.

Q12. Is it the intent of PWGSC to retain a specialist team of consultants to complete the assessments? (e.g. a specialist for each discipline such that there is a specialist each for mechanical, electrical, structural, civil, elevator, etc.)

R12. Each team completing an assessment must include all discipline specialists required for the assessment as per each specific call-up.

Q13. Under Section 3.1.4 and Appendix C Consultant Team Identification, and the Pricing Form, it does not list code compliance consultants. Does PWGSC require us to retain a code consultant and their information and fees for this proposal submission?

R13. Not required - See section AD 1.2 (last paragraph on page 52 of 144)

Q14. Under Require Services Asset Narratives – Executive Summary (page 77 of 114), there is a list of overviews. Would you please clarify:

- a. "Preamble for regulatory testing and inspection – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?
- b. "Preamble for accessibility in Federal Crown property – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?
- c. "Preamble for seismic resistance in buildings – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?
- d. "Preamble for environmental issues – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?
- e. "Include the Strategic Planning disclaimer – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?
- f. "Code compliance preamble – provided."
Is this a report that is provided? Are we to summarize others' reports or is this something the consultant is to conduct?

R14. Preamble will be provided by PWGSC as part of each call-up. It is a template to be filled out by Consultant at the time of the call-up.

Q15. CONSULTANT TEAM - Can an individual play multiple roles in the Consultant's team? For example, Electrical and Mechanical engineering; providing both Architectural services and being the Heritage Conservation Specialist; or providing Structural Engineering services and being the Building Envelope Specialist? LEED and anything else?

R15. It is possible with proper accreditation and certification that an individual could play multiple roles. There is a chance that a tight work schedule is required and the same person would need to provide services under multiple disciplines.

Q16. GI 2 - INTRODUCTION - Is it PWGSC's intention to award three (3) Standing Offers overall, or is there an interest to authorize more for specific specialties, like Information Technology or Heritage specialist-s, or else?

R16. In accordance with the Request for Stading Offer, it is PWGSC's intention to award three (3) Standing Offers overall for Building Condition Reports.

Q17. GI 10.1 - SUBMISSION - 2.b - What is the "specified number of copies" - where is this info clarified in the document? And how does this apply upon usin the epost procedure?

R17. Proponents are required to submit proposals by using either epost Connect Service or Proposals in Hard Copies. Please refer to General Instructions To Proponents (GI), Section GI 10 Submission of Proposal and GI 11 Non-Acceptance of Proposals By Electronic Mail (Except For Epost Connect) Or Facsimile and Submission Requirement and Evaluation (SRE) Secions, SRE 2 Proposal Requirements, for clarification.

Q18. 3.2.3 Past Experience - Must projects provided as "past experience" be only those of the Prime Consultant or can those projects be part of one of the sub-consultant's experience - acting as a Prime Consulting for the mentioned project?

R18. Paragraph 3.2.3.1 refers to the Proponent or its senior personnel. The past experience on the projects provided should be that of the proponent or it's senior personnel.

Q19. 3.2.3 Past Experience - 2.(e) Client references - RFSO asks for the FAX number of past clients. Could this be "FAX, email address, or both"? since less and less organizations still have FAX.

R19. In accordance with the RFSO you are required to provide phone number and the fax number for client reference, in the case of fax numbers are not available e-mail address of the clients are acceptable.

Q20. We would like to request an extension of one week to the proposal deadline.

R20. Extension has been granted until Thursday, February 13, 2020 at 14:00 hrs

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain the same.