



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works & Government Services  
Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux publics et  
Services gouvernementaux Canada  
1713 Bedford Row  
Halifax, N.S./Halifax, (N.E.)  
Halifax  
Nova Scotia  
B3J 1T3  
Bid Fax: (902) 496-5016

## Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

## Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle régionale (OCIR)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless  
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of  
the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf  
indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent  
les mêmes.

## Comments - Commentaires

## Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

## Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Atlantic Region Acquisitions/Région de l'Atlantique  
Acquisitions  
1713 Bedford Row  
Halifax, N.S./Halifax, (N.E.)  
Halifax  
Nova Scot  
B3J 1T3

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Quality Control for Construction	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EB144-200785/A	<b>Date</b> 2020-02-07
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EB144-20-0785	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 001
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWA-9-82036 (122)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWA-122-5965	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> 2020-01-10	
<b>Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-02-25</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Atlantic Daylight Saving Time ADT	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Chinye (PWA), Chukwudi	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwa122
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (902) 401-7604 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (902) 496-5016
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

---

Amendment 001 is raised to answer the questions below:

**Question 1:** For the services requiring a downhole, the type of camera, purpose for use, expected results are not identified. There are many types of downhole cameras available, but their functionality, quality and limitation of results and associated costs vary considerably. Would it be possible to specify a downhole camera type that is to be used or alternatively provide technical specifications and expected usages and results required for cameras so that the price for this item can reflect PWGSC's project requirements.

**Answer to Question 1:** The downhole camera is used to inspect piles for damage after driving, inspect sockets prior to grouting to ensure they are properly cleaned out, that there are no voids or excessive cracking. The Geotechnical Engineer would then provide a factual report on the findings with recommendations if required.

**Question 2:** The RFSO suggests that a field QC laboratory will be a requirement under execution of this RFSO, but it is not clear who is responsible for the laboratories and equipment from a procurement and set-up perspective. Other areas of the RFSO would seem to indicate that all lab-testing requirements could be performed in the proponents off-site permanent laboratory facilities. Please confirm if field laboratories will be a requirement, and if so if a separate line item under equipment can be added for a field laboratory.

**Answer to Question 2:** No onsite laboratory testing required under this Request for Standing Offer. If there is an onsite testing laboratory, it will be set up by the Contractor.

**Question 3:** The RFSO indicates that field PDA testing is required as part of the Geotechnical Engineering Duties. Can another staff category, such as Geotechnical PDA Field Technician, be included? It would be more economical to PWGSC to have a category for PDA engineer to review / interpret PDA results and a field technician/engineer collecting the actual PDA data.

**Answer to Question 3:** A Geotechnical PDA Field Technician is not acceptable for this Request for Standing Offer.

**Question 4:** The RFSO suggests that only 1 identified candidate and their resume is requested for each of the Categories of Personnel identified. Please confirm more than one individual in each category can be identified.

**Answer to Question 4:** Yes, more than one can be identified so long that they meet the requirements, however it isn't necessary.

**Question 5:** For Section I: Technical Offer, is there a particular breakdown of criteria required for evaluation, or required subsections (i.e. methodology, previous projects, project team, etc.)? The requirements in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions Manual (SACC Manual) seem open to interpretation.-

**Answer to Question 5:** - Offerors must meet all the technical mandatory evaluation in PART 4- Evaluation Procedures and basis of selection of the RFSO.

**Question 6:** For the evaluation procedure for Section II: Financial Offer, the SACC manual Clause M0220T states "The price of the offer will be evaluated in Canadian dollars...". Is there

any further information on financial selection procedure (ie. lowest bid, weighted percentage, etc)?

**Answer to Question 6:** Yes, See 4.2.1 of Part 4 “An offer must comply with the requirements of the Request for Standing Offers and meet all mandatory technical evaluation criteria to be declared responsive. The responsive offer with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for issuance of a standing offer”

**Question 7:** Is there a breakdown of scoring criteria or weighted scoring matrix that may be available to offerors for both the technical and financial components?

**Answer to Question 7:** No. See response to question 2.

All Other Terms and conditions Remain the Same.