



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Mainframe & Business Software Procurement Division /
Div des achats des ordi principaux et des logiciels de
gestion

Terrasses de la Chaudière

4th Floor, 10 Wellington Street

4th etage, 10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

| | |
|--|--|
| Title - Sujet S1000D Technical Publication | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W6369-200195/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W6369-200195 | Date 2020-02-18 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$EEM-031-37355 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 031eem.W6369-200195 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-03-03 | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Dossou, Hubert D. | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 031eem |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-8173 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-2675 |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

THIS AMENDMENT #001 IS RAISED TO ANSWER A QUESTION FROM THE INDUSTRY.**QUESTION #001**

Regarding the scope of use of any software that is acquired to deliver the requested solution...

First I read:

“Multi-departmental clause: The RFP will also allow Canada to make the software solution available to any department or Crown corporation (as those terms are defined in the Financial Administration Act) or any other party for which the Department of Public Works and Government Services is authorized to act from time to time under section 16 of the Department of Public Works and Government Services Act (each a **"Client"**). Canada reserves the right to identify the software solution as a departmental or enterprise standard for this use and similar uses. Although Canada may make the software solution available to any or all the Clients, the bid solicitation does not preclude Canada from using another method of supply for entities of the Government of Canada with the same or similar needs.”

Then I read in Section 2.0 Scope & Future Direction:

“The scope of this procurement is to replace existing functionality used by the Army. Rollout to the Navy will be conditional on fulfillment of rated requirements related to the synchronization of data between central and deployed servers. Air Force requirements were not included in the scope of this procurement.”

My Questions related to the information presented above:

The multi-departmental contractual requirement, to me, implies the request is for a solution licensed and authorized for use by **any** department in the Government of Canada or **any** Crown corporation (any company owned by the people of Canada).

The scope statement however indicates that the licenses are for the Canadian Army (Army Enterprise License), and then a conditional rollout to Canadian Navy (an expansion to include a Navy Enterprise License as well). No Canadian Air Force requirements are contemplated (no authorization for Canadian Air Force required).

Which of these two statements properly reflects the licensing and acquisition strategy desired by Canada?

Do you envision a single hosted instance of the solution – perhaps within a centralized data center - supporting all of the desired entities OR individual instances of the solution located within each entity wherever they are geographically located in Canada?

Is this an accurate list of all of the CROWN Corporations?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crown_corporations_of_Canada

ANSWER #001

The Department of National Defence (DND) would be the initial Client in any resulting contract. Any additional Clients would be added by exercising irrevocable options that would be defined in the Request for Proposals (RFP) and included in the resulting contract.

The requirement, including deployment options, will be finalized upon review of responses received from the industry.

For an overview of federal organizations and interests, including Crown corporations, please visit the following website:

<https://www.canada.ca/en/treasury-board-secretariat/services/reporting-government-spending/inventory-government-organizations/overview-institutional-forms-definitions.html>