



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Electrical & Electronics Products Division
L'Esplanade Laurier
East Tower, 4th floor,
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet GENERATOR SYSTEMS - R&O	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8486-196044/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8486-196044	Date 2020-03-10
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$HN-475-78428	
File No. - N° de dossier hn475.W8486-196044	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-03-24	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Figueredo, Laila	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur hn475
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 298-4794 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 004 is raised to answer Bidder's questions as follows:

Q19:

Following one of your answers regarding company responsibility to find the equivalent we went to the source for CCTT accreditation. Shauna Wright, accreditation coordinator for Technology Accreditation Canada and CCTT (as the two organizations amalgamated in Nov 2019) advised neither organization accredits personnel, they accredit programs run by colleges and universities. This calls into question the qualifications that Canada is looking for. It is unfair to state CCTT accreditation or equivalent when there are no personnel accreditation for CCTT. We request that the technical evaluation be changed to reflect what DND wants in terms of personnel qualifications.

A19:

Yes, we knew that it is a standard to meet CCTT, a school needs to meet in order to become CCTT compliant. There used to be on their website a breakdown of what is required to meet the standard for technician and technologist. I believe it is written in the questions or equivalent and we would look at the certification. If they have their technologist course and passed with a certificate in our country they would be compliant for example. There are bare minimums and to say they are certified in only one province was a problem and that is why we stated CCTT or equivalent as this is across Canada.

"National Accreditation is an evaluation service of applied science and engineering technology programs offered at educational agencies (private and public colleges, educational institutes) in Canada. It is a voluntary process whereby a program is examined and assessed for the purpose of identifying whether it meets the profession's approved standards and criteria. National Accreditation provides a third party, non-governmental auditing mechanism, independent of the educational system. A program's accreditation status is normally subject to periodic review and may be withdrawn for cause. In Canada, CTAB provides accreditation to programs **only**. Within CCTT, individuals are granted **certification** by the provincial associations."

Q20:

The requirement for the company to have in house CARC painting booth and CNC metal working machines is also inconsistent with the Repair and Overhaul business. Both of these requirements can be readily subcontracted to companies that do this work as the main focus of their business. In fact, the equipment is so expensive, that to purchase it as an investment the Bidder would have to also produce painted product or metal components for many other products as other business lines to make the ownership of this equipment viable from a business stand point. We request that these criteria be re-written to reflect that in house or subcontracted painting and machining will be evaluated in the same manner and receive the same technical score.

Otherwise the technical weighting of the bid evaluation and the manner in which Canada is performing the best value concept will make it impossible for smaller, but, just as capable companies from competing this requirement. Even more importantly Canadian tax payers will end up paying much more for this requirement than is necessary.

A20:

CARC painting and CNC subcontracting is in the options for anyone bidding. This weighting is in there so we don't have a contractor subcontracting everything out. It is understood that some contractors may not have the ability to do all functions. Best value is also related to turn around time not just cost.

Subcontractors add to cost and time. If a lot of the work is subcontracted out it may add to downtime of the equipment.

Q21:

What are the controlled goods referenced in the solicitation that require the Bidder to have the certificate?

A21:

The equipment identified as controlled goods is not determined by DND but through the Controlled Goods Program. The Bidder must be registered in the Controlled Goods Program in order to have access to the information regarding the specific items which are controlled goods. This is not a technical but legal requirement to work on our equipment. It is mandatory that the Bidder be certified in the Controlled Goods Program in accordance with the solicitation, Part 6, clause 6.3 Controlled Goods Requirement.

Q22:

Could the solicitation be awarded to a Bidder that does not currently hold a Controlled Goods certificate but would acquire it upon award?

A22:

Certification in the Controlled Goods Program will be verified prior to contract award during the Evaluation stage. Bidders can however apply to the Controlled Goods Program prior to being awarded any contract. They will simply need to identify on their application that they are registering for bidding purposes.

Q23:

The current timeline for the Controlled Goods certificate to be given to a Bidder without it is up to 6 months, could a temporary certificate be given in the meantime?

A23:

Controlled Goods Directorate does not provide temporary certificates. However, the current timeline is probably not 6 months. The amount of time it takes to process an application will depend on a variety of factors (i.e. whether the application has been submitted correctly, all supporting documentation has been submitted and is valid, all personal references are able to be contacted in a timely manner, etc.) The average timeline to approval at this point is likely closer to 2 months.

Q24:

Will a subcontractor under the bidder require to also have the Controlled Goods certificate?

A24:

Yes, in accordance with the solicitation, Part 6, clause 6.3 Controlled Goods Requirement, SACC clause A9130T states:

1. b) When the bid solicitation does not include controlled goods information or technology but the resulting contract requires the production of or access to controlled goods, the successful Bidder and any subcontractor who will be producing or accessing controlled goods must be registered, exempt or excluded under the CGP before examining, possessing or transferring controlled goods.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W8486-196044/A
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W8486-196044

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
004
File No. - N° du dossier
hn475.W8486-196044

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
hn475
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Q25

We understand this is a free flow R&O with some task based requirements. We understand the MRC values and quantities that are “estimated” to come through the repair line, however that does not include any anticipated tasks, etc. There are no Projected Cash Flow details in the RFP for the duration of the contract (including all work/tasks). Would PSPC please provide this information for the first two year periods? How many Tasks does DND anticipate raising during the course of the contract?

A25

The value of the Contract will be determined by the financial proposals provided by the Bidders. Please refer to previous answer given in Solicitation Amendment 003, A7, which provides estimated repairs for the first two years of the Contract to determine potential value of the Contract. With regards to the “as and when required” portion of the Contract (i.e. Category 2 work), the number of tasks is unknown and will only be determined during the course of the Contract.

Q26

We had requested an extension due to the late delivery of the Technical Data Package but now with the time it is taking to respond to our questions, will PSPC please extend this requirement now by at least 3 weeks.

A26

PSPC has extended the bid closing for one week to March 24, 2020. No further extensions will be given.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.