



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
Government of Canada Building
101 - 22nd Street East, Suite 110
Saskatoon
Saskatchewan
S7K 0E1
Bid Fax: (306) 975-5397

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada/Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada
Harry Hays Building (HHB)
Room 759, 220-4th Avenue SE
Calgary
Alberta
T2G 4X3

Title - Sujet Traffic Control Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 5P424-190242/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 5P424-190242	Date 2020-03-12
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$CAL-129-7033	
File No. - N° de dossier CAL-9-42059 (137)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-03-23	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Central Standard Time CST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Loi, Ngan	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur cal137
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (403) 973-2796 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (306) 975-5397
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Parks Canada P.O. Box 220 Radium Hot Springs, British Columbia V0A 1M0 Canada	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment 001 is being raised to extend the bid closing date to March 23, 2020 and amend the following information in Solicitation 5P424-190242/B as follows:

1. Page 8 and 9 of 50, Part 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION:

Delete: in its entirety

Replace with:

PART 4 - EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION

4.1 Evaluation Procedures

- (a) Bids will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the bid solicitation including the technical and financial evaluation criteria.
- (b) An evaluation team composed of representatives of Canada will evaluate the bids.
- (c) The evaluation team will determine first if there are two or more bids with a valid Canadian Content certification. In that event, the evaluation process will be limited to the bids with the certification; otherwise, all bids will be evaluated. If some of the bids with a valid certification are declared non-responsive, or are withdrawn, and less than two responsive bids with a valid certification remain, the evaluation will continue among those bids with a valid certification. If all bids with a valid certification are subsequently declared non-responsive, or are withdrawn, then all the other bids received will be evaluated.

4.1.1 Technical Evaluation

4.1.1.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria

- a) Ability to perform the full scope of the work described in Annex "A".
- b) Provision of pricing as requested in Annex "B", Basis of Payment for all of the items shown in the Proposed Basis of Payment.

4.1.1.2 Point Rated Technical Criteria

Please see Annex F – Technical Evaluation

4.1.2 Financial Evaluation

The evaluated bid price will be determined as follows:

- a. The firm lot prices will be multiplied by the number of days to determine the extended total.
- b. The totals from tables 1, 2, & 3 will be added together to arrive at the total evaluated bid price.

SACC Manual Clause [A0220T](#) (2014-06-26), Evaluation of Price-Bid

4.2 Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the solicitation; and
 - b. meet all mandatory criteria; and
 - c. obtain the required minimum of **69 points** overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating. The rating is performed on a scale of **99 points**.
2. Bids not meeting (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive.
3. The selection will be based on the highest responsive combined rating of technical merit and price. The ratio will be 70% for the technical merit and 30% for the price.
4. To establish the technical merit score, the overall technical score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: total number of points obtained divided by the maximum number of points available multiplied by the ratio of 70%.
5. To establish the pricing score, the overall price score for each responsive bid will be determined as follows: lowest evaluated Bidder's price divided by each responsive Bidder's price, multiplied by the ratio of 30%.
6. For each responsive bid, the technical merit score and the pricing score will be added to determine its combined rating.
7. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest technical score nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted. The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract.

The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the contractor is determined by a 70/30 ratio of technical merit and price, respectively. The total available points equals 135 and the lowest evaluated price is \$45,000 (45).

Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating Technical Merit (70%) and Price (30%)

		Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3
Overall Technical Score		115/135	89/135	92/135
Bid Evaluated Price		\$55,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$45,000.00
Calculations	Technical Merit Score	$115/135 \times 70 = 59.63$	$89/135 \times 70 = 46.15$	$92/135 \times 70 = 47.70$
	Pricing Score	$45/55 \times 30 = 24.55$	$45/50 \times 30 = 27.00$	$45/45 \times 30 = 30.00$
Combined Rating		84.18	73.15	77.70
Overall Rating		1st	3rd	2nd

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.
5P424-190242/B
N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.
5P424-190242

N° de la modif - Amd. No.
001
File No. - N° du dossier
CAL-9-42059

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID
CAL137
N° CCC / CCC No. / N° VME - FMS

2. Page 12 and 13 of 50, Article 7.5.1 Contracting Authority:

Delete: in its entirety

Replace with:

7.5.1 Contracting Authority

The Contracting Authority for the Contract is:

Ngan Loi
A/Procurement Specialist
Public Works and Government Services Canada
Acquisitions Branch, Western Region
759, 220 4 Ave SE
Calgary, AB T2G 4X3

Telephone: 403-973-2796
Facsimile: 306-975-5397
E-mail address: ngan.loi@pwgsc-tpsgc.gc.ca

The Contracting Authority is responsible for the management of the Contract and any changes to the Contract must be authorized in writing by the Contracting Authority. The Contractor must not perform work in excess of or outside the scope of the Contract based on verbal or written requests or instructions from anybody other than the Contracting Authority.

3. Page 26 of 50, Appendix B –Signs Requirements:

Delete: Appendix B –Signs Requirements (see Annex G)

Replace with:

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.
5P424-190242/B
N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.
5P424-190242

N° de la modif - Amd. No.
001
File No. - N° du dossier
CAL-9-42059

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID
CAL137
N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

Appendix B –Signs Requirements

Suppliers should indicate the source of these signs (owned by the company **or** from which rental source).

SOURCE: _____

The Contractor must provide and install required signs in accordance with the latest version of the Traffic Control Manual for Work on Roadways, distributed by Alberta Ministry of Transportation on the eastbound and westbound lanes of the Trans-Canada Highway to reduce the speed limit to 70 km/h close to the East and Westbound ramps and 50 km/h close to the Lake Louise Park and Ride entrance and to inform motorists that they may be required to slow due to traffic congestion.

The Contractor must provide signs that are either in English or bilingual as required by Parks Canada for the duration of the Contract. Signs must include, but not limited to, the following:

- Speed limit 30
- Speed limit 50
- Speed limit 70
- No passing
- No U -Turns
- Prepare to stop
- Flaggers ahead
- No Parking

Other signs can be requested by Parks Canada throughout the contract and must be provided by the contractor.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No. 5P424-190242/B	N° de la modif - Amd. No. 001	Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID CAL137
N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No. 5P424-190242	File No. - N° du dossier CAL-9-42059	N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

4. Page 43 – 46 of 50, Section 4 Point Rated Criteria:

Only Bidder Response and Bidder Points Scored columns were added into the Point Rated Criteria Table. The evaluation criteria remain the same.

Delete: in its entirety
Replace with:

4. Point Rated Technical Criteria

Technical bids will be evaluated against the point rated technical criteria below.

For a bid to be declared responsive to the solicitation requirements it must meet or exceed the minimum points required for the point rated technical criteria. Bids that do not meet or exceed the minimum points required for the point rated technical criteria will be given no further evaluation.

POINT RATED CRITERIA					
Item #		Maximum Score	Bidder Points Scored	Bidder Response Provide cross-reference where the supporting information is found in your proposal	Point Rated Scoring Grid
1	Qualifications and Experience				
1.1	<p>The Bidder should clearly demonstrate their number of years of experience providing traffic management services in the following relevant areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highway & Road Construction • Special Events & Tourism <p>Providing examples of specific projects is encouraged.</p>	5			<p>0 points: No experience</p> <p>1 point: More than five (5) but less than seven (7) years' of relevant previous experience managing traffic</p> <p>3 points: More than seven (7) but less than nine (9) years' of relevant previous experience managing traffic.</p> <p>5 points: Nine (9) or more years' of relevant previous experience managing traffic</p>
1.2	<p>The Bidder must provide information on three (3) previous traffic control service operations that have occurred within the past five (5) years where the Bidder is clearly identified as the prime contractor.</p> <p>The information being provided on previous traffic control operations should be similar in scope, nature and complexity to the requirements described at Annex "A" – Statement of Work.</p> <p>For the purposes of this Request for Proposal, high volume traffic is defined as no less than 9,000 vehicles per day. Lake Louise is expected to average between 9,000 and 12,000 vehicles daily.</p>	20			<p>0 points: The information provided is unsuitable or insufficient.</p> <p>1 – 5 points: Incomplete details provided. Possesses limited experience that is similar in scope, nature and complexity.</p> <p>6 – 10 points: Mostly complete details provided. Possesses limited experience that is similar in scope, nature and complexity in some areas.</p> <p>11 – 15 points: Complete details provided. Adequate experience that is similar in scope, nature and complexity in all areas.</p>

POINT RATED CRITERIA					
Item #		Maximum Score	Bidder Points Scored	Bidder Response Provide cross-reference where the supporting information is found in your proposal	Point Rated Scoring Grid
					16 - 20 points: Complete details provided. Extensive experience that is similar in scope, nature and complexity in all areas.
1.3	The Bidder's proposal should include any specific training they, the Bidder require their staff possess that is relevant to traffic control management services. Training can include but is not limited to certifications and / or completed industry standard courses.	9			0 points: No information provided, not addressed
					1 points: Incomplete details provided or limited traffic control management training required by the Bidder for their staff. 3 points: Partial details provided. Demonstrates an adequate traffic control management training required by the Bidder for their staff. 6 points: Complete details provided. Demonstrates a good traffic control management training required by the Bidder for their staff. 9 points: Provides extensive detail. Demonstrates a high level of traffic control management training required by the Bidder for their staff.

POINT RATED CRITERIA				
Item #	Maximum Score	Bidder Points Scored	Bidder Response Provide cross-reference where the supporting information is found in your proposal	Point Rated Scoring Grid
1.4	20			<p>0 points: The information provided is unsuitable or insufficient.</p> <p>1 – 5 points: Incomplete details provided or limited “fit for duty” or “unimpaired” type of policy required by the Bidder for their staff.</p> <p>6 – 10 points: Partial details provided. Demonstrates an adequate “fit for duty” or “unimpaired” type of policy required by the Bidder for their staff.</p> <p>11 – 15 points: Complete details provided. Demonstrates a good “fit for duty” or “unimpaired” type of policy required by the Bidder for their staff.</p> <p>16 - 20 points: Provides extensive detail. Demonstrates a high level “fit for duty” or “unimpaired” type of policy required by the Bidder for their staff.</p>

POINT RATED CRITERIA					
Item #		Maximum Score	Bidder Points Scored	Bidder Response Provide cross-reference where the supporting information is found in your proposal	Point Rated Scoring Grid
2	Approach & Understanding				
2.1	<p>The Bidder must outline their proposed approach and methodology on how the Bidder will meet the objectives and deliverables described at Annex "A" - Statement of Work.</p> <p>The proposed approach and methodology should demonstrate to the Evaluation Team an understanding of the nature, scope and importance of this requirement. Also, how a quality level of service will be maintained to avoid any disruption or impact to the day to day operations.</p>	25			<p>0 points: The information provided is unsuitable or insufficient</p> <p>1 – 5 points: Incomplete details. Demonstrates little understanding of the scope, duties, roles and responsibilities for the ability to meet the required level of service.</p> <p>6 – 12 points: Some details provided. Demonstrates some understanding of the scope, duties, roles and responsibilities for the potential ability to meet the required level of service.</p> <p>13 – 18 points: Complete details provided. Demonstrates a good understanding of the scope, duties, roles and responsibilities and ability to meet the required level of service.</p> <p>19 - 25 points: Extensive details provided. A thorough demonstration of the understanding of the scope, duties, roles and responsibilities with the ability to exceed the required level of service.</p>

POINT RATED CRITERIA					
Item #		Maximum Score	Bidder Points Scored	Bidder Response Provide cross-reference where the supporting information is found in your proposal	Point Rated Scoring Grid
2.2	The Bidder's proposed approach and methodology should demonstrate an understanding of the potential challenges for carrying out the Work and how these challenges might be overcome. For example but not limited to addressing highly fluctuating labour needs and potential shortages.	20			0 points: The information provided is unsuitable or insufficient. 1 – 5 points: Demonstrates a poor understanding of the potential challenges for carrying out the Work or how these challenges might be overcome. 6 – 13 points: Demonstrates a good understanding of the potential challenges for carrying out the Work and how these challenges can be overcome. 14 – 20 points: Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the challenges for carrying out the Work and provides sound solutions for how these challenges can be overcome.

TOTAL MINIMUM POINTS ACCEPTABLE: 69

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS AVAILABLE: 99

TOTAL POINTS ACHIEVED: _____

5. Page 46 of 50:

Add:

5. Merit/Cost Calculation

Highest Responsive Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

- Weighting factor for the price: 30%
- Weighting factor for the technical point-rated score: 70%

MERIT: Bidder's Overall Total Point Score / Total Point Available X 30	
COST : Lowest Bid Evaluation Total Cost / Bidder's Evaluated Total Cost X 70	
COMBINED RATING OF TECHNICAL MERIT AND PRICE	

All other terms and conditions remain the same