



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada  
Pacific Region  
401 - 1230 Government Street  
Victoria, B.C.  
V8W 3X4  
Bid Fax: (250) 363-3344

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Public Works and Government Services Canada - Pacific  
Region  
401 - 1230 Government Street  
Victoria, B. C.  
V8W 3X4

<b>Title - Sujet</b> CCGS Grenfell - Davit	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> F1782-20C100/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 011
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> F1782-20C100	<b>Date</b> 2020-04-07
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$XLV-242-7923	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> XLV-9-42199 (242)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-04-24</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Pacific Daylight Saving Time PDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes	
<b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Szczesniak, Michal	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> xlv242
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (250) 507-0647 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

## **SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 011**

This Solicitation Amendment is raised to:

- 1) Address a question from industry; and
- 2) Revise the Solicitation.

### **Question 12**

Regarding Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria Item 4 from 17.5.C C.3.2 and Items 7 & 8 referring to 17.5.C C.3.3, the proposed Davit must both be in current production and have reference letters from 3 separate vessels. For manufacturers who implement continuous improvements and new capabilities into their products, this may not be possible. Can the mandatory criteria be relaxed such that a manufacturer could offer in-production equipment which has recently been approved by a Recognised Organization?

### **Response to Question 12**

Annex A, 17.5.C C.3.2 remains unchanged.  
Refer to Revisions 16, 17, and 18 below.  
The Response to Question 1 also addresses these criteria.

### **Revision 16**

In Annex A (Technical Statement of Requirements),  
Under 17.5.C, C.3,

#### Replace:

“C.3.3 includes three positive letters of reference sent to the OEM and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with the davit;”

#### with the following text:

“C.3.3 includes three positive letters of reference sent to the Original Equipment Manufacturer and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with a davit substantially the same as the delivered davit – where the phrase “substantially the same as” must be interpreted to mean: The proposed davit must be based on the referenced davits and at least 80% of components must be interchangeable; excepting that software and lengths of materials may be specific;”

### **Revision 17**

In Annex 1 to Part 4 of the Bid Solicitation (Technical Bid Evaluation Plan), under Article 1, Item 7,

Replace:

“The Bid must include 3 positive letters of reference sent to the Original Equipment Manufacturer and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with the proposed davit for a Zodiac 753.”

with the following text:

“The Bid must include 3 positive letters of reference sent to the Original Equipment Manufacturer and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with a davit substantially the same as the proposed davit for a Zodiac 753.

The phrase “substantially the same as” must be interpreted to mean: The proposed davit must be based on the referenced davits and at least 80% of components must be interchangeable; excepting that software and lengths of materials may be specific.”.

### **Revision 18**

In Annex 1 to Part 4 of the Bid Solicitation (Technical Bid Evaluation Plan), under Article 1, Item 8,

Replace:

“The Bid must include 3 positive letters of reference sent to the Original Equipment Manufacturer and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with the proposed davit for a Zodiac 472.”

with the following text:

“The Bid must include 3 positive letters of reference sent to the Original Equipment Manufacturer and dated since January 1, 2015 from Officers of different vessels fitted with a davit substantially the same as the proposed davit for a Zodiac 472.

The phrase “substantially the same as” must be interpreted to mean: The proposed davit must be based on the referenced davits and at least 80% of components must be interchangeable; excepting that software and lengths of materials may be specific.”.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**