



**Procurement and Contracting Services**  
30 Victoria Street  
Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0M6

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AMENDMENT

The Request for Proposal is hereby amended; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal remain the same.

<b>RFP Amendment No.</b>  6	<b>RFP Amendment Date:</b>  May 22, 2020
<b>Office of the Chief Electoral Officer File No.</b>  ECLP-RFP-19-0835	
<b>Title:</b>  EC50160 – Polling Place Pennant	
<b>Request for Proposal Closing Date:</b>  May 27, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. (Gatineau time)	
<b>ENQUIRIES – address enquiries to the Contracting Authority:</b>  <b>Office of the Chief Electoral Officer of Canada</b> Procurement and Contracting Services 30 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0M6  proposition-proposal@elections.ca	
<b>Attention:</b>  Luc Potvin	<b>Email:</b>  proposition-proposal@elections.ca

## **Part 1. Interpretation**

- 1.1** Elections Canada hereby amends in accordance with this amendment the Request for Proposal bearing number ECLP-RFP-19-0835 and dated March 17, 2020 (the “RFP”). This amendment hereby forms part of the RFP.
- 1.2** Unless defined herein or unless the context otherwise requires, all of the words and phrases defined in the RFP and used in this amendment shall have the same meanings assigned to them in the RFP.

## **Part 2. Questions and Answers**

The following question(s) have been asked in response to the Request for Proposal and Elections Canada hereby answers as follows:

### **2.1 Question No. 6**

Question:

For pricing for the Polling place pennant, you request the price if you are to order an additional 1-25,000 / 25,001-49,000. Are we able to break those quantities down to give prices? Quoting and delivering 1,000 pennants vs. 24,000 pennants for example would have costs involved that get amortized over those volumes, meaning that a blended price would not be as cost efficient for the Government, as we would have to take that into account when quoting.

Answer:

Changing the breaking down quantities at this point of the process, would make the evaluation of the RFP difficult. Your suggestion was noted for consideration in future productions.