



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

This requirement contains a security requirement.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique

Les Terrasses de la Chaudière

10, rue Wellington, 4ième

étage/Floor

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS-PM Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> G9292-203481/B	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 001
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> ESDC PReq 100013481	<b>Date</b> 2020-07-08
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-380-38269	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 380zm.G9292-203481	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-08-05</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Cook, Gail	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 380zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 858-9369 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-2675
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>  EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT CANADA	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>          <b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>          <b>Signature</b>          <b>Date</b>	

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
G9292-203481/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
G9292-203481

File No. - N° du dossier  
380zmG9292-203481

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

## AMENDMENT NO. 001

This amendment is raised to revise the RFP and to answer Bidders' questions.

### **RFP REVISIONS:**

**1. At Page 1 of the RFP, Solicitation Closes:**

Delete: 2020-07-22

Insert: 2020-08-05

**2. At Attachment 4.1 of the RFP, Mandatory Corporate Technical Criteria, Project Management Services, MTC1, 1st paragraph:**

**Delete:**

Using 2 IM/IT contracts which provided Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW) of this requirement, the Bidder must demonstrate experience for each reference contract as follows:

**Insert:**

Using one IM/IT contract which provided Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW) of this requirement, the Bidder must demonstrate experience for the reference contract as follows:

---

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:**

**Question 1:**

RE: Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria, MTC1

We have a question with respect to the contract timeframe and we were wondering if:

- a) Would the Crown accept two (2) contracts that have been active within the last ten (10) years of the bid solicitation publication date?

Or

- b) Would the Crown accept one (1) contract having been active within the last five (5) years and the second contract active within the last ten (10) years?

**Answer 1:**

Canada will accept one IM/IT contract which provided Project Management Services that has been active within the last five years.

**Question 2:**

MTC1 requires contracts with a minimum value of \$5 Million (amendments and applicable taxes included). This contract value far exceeds the value needed for the minimum six Enterprise Architect resources required. Will ESDC reduce the minimum contract value required in MTC1 to \$3.5M to be better aligned with the value of resources required?

**Answer 2:**

The reference contract value requirement remains the same.

**Question 3:**

MTC1 requires two separate IM/IT contracts in the last five years that meet the strict criteria outlined in (a) to (f). Given that contracts of this nature are relatively rare, requiring two separate contracts will limit the pool of qualified bidders. In order to ensure a competitive procurement process, will ESDC reduce the number of contracts required in MTC1 to one?

**Answer 3:**

See Answer 1.

**Question 4:**

MTC1/RTC1 requires bidders to map similar resource category tasks to at least 70% of the SOW tasks. As standard TBIPS tasks were created to establish a common set of tasks for specific resource categories that can be applied uniformly across all Government of Canada TBIPS RFPs such as this, please confirm that bidders can also demonstrate similar resource categories by mapping the resource category's tasks to at least 70% of the P.2. Enterprise Architect TBIPS tasks.

**Answer 4:**

The requirement remains the same.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
G9292-203481/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
G9292-203481

File No. - N° du dossier  
380zmG9292-203481

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

**Question 5:**

Attachment 4.1, Mandatory Technical Criteria MTC1 requires Bidders to provide 2 separate IM/IT contracts providing Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW). Contracts cited must have been within the last 5 years, with a minimum value of \$5 million, and have invoiced for at least 6 Enterprise Architect resources providing services for resource categories that are the same or similar at the Enterprise Architect resource category listed in the SOW. As the parameters to this requirement are quite limiting we would like to request that the Crown reduce the number of contracts needed for MTC 1 to one (1) contract within the last 5 years with a minimum value of \$5 million and have invoiced for at least 6 Enterprise Architect resources. We believe that reducing the number of contracts required for MTC1 will provide the Crown with a higher number of quality proposals while also ensuring that Bidders have experience providing multiple Enterprise Architects to client organizations.

**Answer 5:**

See Answer 1.

**Question 6:**

MTC1 and RTC1 specify that for similar resource categories Bidders must map to at least 70% of the SOW tasks however TBIPS typically requires Bidders to map 50% of the tasks for similar resource categories. As such, we would like to request that the Crown allow Bidders to map to at least 50% of the SOW tasks for contracts which user similar resource categories to align with TBIPS practices.

**Answer 6:**

The requirement remains the same.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.**