



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This requirement contains a security requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division
des services professionnels en informatique
Les Terrasses de la Chaudière
10, rue Wellington, 4ième
étage/Floor
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS-PM Services	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation G9292-203481/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client ESDC PReq 100013481	Date 2020-07-08
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-380-38269	
File No. - N° de dossier 380zm.G9292-203481	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-08-05	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Cook, Gail	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 380zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 858-9369 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-2675
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT CANADA	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
G9292-203481/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
G9292-203481

File No. - N° du dossier
380zmG9292-203481

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

AMENDMENT NO. 001

This amendment is raised to revise the RFP and to answer Bidders' questions.

RFP REVISIONS:

1. At Page 1 of the RFP, Solicitation Closes:

Delete: 2020-07-22

Insert: 2020-08-05

2. At Attachment 4.1 of the RFP, Mandatory Corporate Technical Criteria, Project Management Services, MTC1, 1st paragraph:

Delete:

Using 2 IM/IT contracts which provided Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW) of this requirement, the Bidder must demonstrate experience for each reference contract as follows:

Insert:

Using one IM/IT contract which provided Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW) of this requirement, the Bidder must demonstrate experience for the reference contract as follows:

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
G9292-203481/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
G9292-203481

File No. - N° du dossier
380zmG9292-203481

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Question 1:

RE: Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria, MTC1

We have a question with respect to the contract timeframe and we were wondering if:

- a) Would the Crown accept two (2) contracts that have been active within the last ten (10) years of the bid solicitation publication date?

Or

- b) Would the Crown accept one (1) contract having been active within the last five (5) years and the second contract active within the last ten (10) years?

Answer 1:

Canada will accept one IM/IT contract which provided Project Management Services that has been active within the last five years.

Question 2:

MTC1 requires contracts with a minimum value of \$5 Million (amendments and applicable taxes included). This contract value far exceeds the value needed for the minimum six Enterprise Architect resources required. Will ESDC reduce the minimum contract value required in MTC1 to \$3.5M to be better aligned with the value of resources required?

Answer 2:

The reference contract value requirement remains the same.

Question 3:

MTC1 requires two separate IM/IT contracts in the last five years that meet the strict criteria outlined in (a) to (f). Given that contracts of this nature are relatively rare, requiring two separate contracts will limit the pool of qualified bidders. In order to ensure a competitive procurement process, will ESDC reduce the number of contracts required in MTC1 to one?

Answer 3:

See Answer 1.

Question 4:

MTC1/RTC1 requires bidders to map similar resource category tasks to at least 70% of the SOW tasks. As standard TBIPS tasks were created to establish a common set of tasks for specific resource categories that can be applied uniformly across all Government of Canada TBIPS RFPs such as this, please confirm that bidders can also demonstrate similar resource categories by mapping the resource category's tasks to at least 70% of the P.2. Enterprise Architect TBIPS tasks.

Answer 4:

The requirement remains the same.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
G9292-203481/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
001

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
380zm

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
G9292-203481

File No. - N° du dossier
380zmG9292-203481

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Question 5:

Attachment 4.1, Mandatory Technical Criteria MTC1 requires Bidders to provide 2 separate IM/IT contracts providing Project Management Services for clients as described in Annex A Statement of Work (SOW). Contracts cited must have been within the last 5 years, with a minimum value of \$5 million, and have invoiced for at least 6 Enterprise Architect resources providing services for resource categories that are the same or similar at the Enterprise Architect resource category listed in the SOW. As the parameters to this requirement are quite limiting we would like to request that the Crown reduce the number of contracts needed for MTC 1 to one (1) contract within the last 5 years with a minimum value of \$5 million and have invoiced for at least 6 Enterprise Architect resources. We believe that reducing the number of contracts required for MTC1 will provide the Crown with a higher number of quality proposals while also ensuring that Bidders have experience providing multiple Enterprise Architects to client organizations.

Answer 5:

See Answer 1.

Question 6:

MTC1 and RTC1 specify that for similar resource categories Bidders must map to at least 70% of the SOW tasks however TBIPS typically requires Bidders to map 50% of the tasks for similar resource categories. As such, we would like to request that the Crown allow Bidders to map to at least 50% of the SOW tasks for contracts which user similar resource categories to align with TBIPS practices.

Answer 6:

The requirement remains the same.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.