



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
L'Esplanade Laurier
4th floor, East Tower
140 O'Connor Street
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet LTDLC Building Envelope Replacement	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN448-203118/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 009
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20203118	Date 2020-08-25
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-174-78860	
File No. - N° de dossier fe174.EN448-203118	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-09-03	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Bismonte, Tatiana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe174
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 664-3528 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

A) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS B) AMENDMENT TO THE TENDER DOCUMENTS

A) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Number	Question(s)	Answer(s)
47	<p>As part of the EN448-203118A invitation, we would like to confirm that joint venture for key sub-consultants is accepted.</p> <p>Also, can you confirm that in the case of a joint venture sub-expert firm, the demonstration of achievements for the rated requirements may combine projects from either member of the joint venture.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We understand that it is possible for architectural firms to form a joint venture under this RFP. Is the same permitted for engineering firms that are part of our sub-consultants (example: two engineering firms forming a joint venture to provide structural services together)? • If the joint venture is accepted for the engineering firms, i.e. the sub-consultants, can you confirm that the demonstration of achievements in criterion 3.2.2 can combine projects from either member of the joint venture (example: 1 project carried out by firm A and 2 projects carried out by firm B)? 	<p>More than one firm can be presented in Appendix A to perform the role of a Key Sub Consultant discipline, however, the maximum of 3 projects for each Key Sub-consultant discipline for criteria SRE 3.2.2. still applies.</p> <p>For example, if two firms are listed in Appendix A to provide Structural Engineering services, there can only be a total of 3 projects submitted for SRE 3.2.2 (not 3 projects each) to demonstrate structural engineering experience of those firms.</p> <p>Note however, only one Key Personnel is to be submitted for evaluation for SRE 3.2.3. for each sub consultant discipline as per Q&A #69. (below)</p> <p>Furthermore, the Proponent will have to be prepared to demonstrate how they will manage and coordinate two firms performing the services of one Key Sub Consultant discipline to fully address the SRE 4.1 and SRE 4.2. rated criteria in their Phase II submission.</p> <p>Please refer to R1110T - GI9 - Limitation of submissions for more information.</p> <p>Refer to Amendment 003, Q&A #11 and #12.</p> <p>SRE 3.1.2 is amended as follows:</p> <p>Delete the words "b) Key Subconsultants" and replace with "b) Key Subconsultant disciplines".</p> <p>SRE 3.2.2 is amended as follows:</p> <p>Delete the sentence "Only the first 3 projects listed in sequence (per Key sub consultant)</p>

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

		will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included." and replace with "Only the first 3 projects listed in sequence (per Key sub consultant discipline) will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included".
48	PD 5.2 OBJECTIVE TWO: COST MANAGEMENT. We respectfully request that the term "ensure" be removed from this section. "Ensure" has a specific legal meaning (same as warrant or guarantee), and it will be impossible for any team to provide such a guarantee with respect to overall project budget. Many factors outside the control of the consultant team affect budget.	In PD 5.2 DELETE "The Consultant shall ensure that the envelope it designs is..." and REPLACE with " The Consultant shall provide a design that is... ".
49	PD 10.1 Please clarify requirement for civil engineering, landscape architecture, physical security specialist, and geotechnical specialist within the Prime Consultant team. What is the scope for these items?	Civil engineering: See PD 6.2, RS 2.5.3, RS 3.5. Landscape design: See PD 6.2, RS 2.5.3, RS 3.5. Physical Security - to provide advice for any of the building security systems impacted by the new envelope design i.e. security cameras, entrance security systems. Geotechnical Specialist: see PD 6.4 and RS 1.4.
50	PD 10.1 and RS 8. Please clarify the requirement for the Consultant Team to provide cost estimating services and cost monitoring control. In the context of a Construction Management approach, estimating, budgeting, and cost control activities would typically be assigned to the CM. If the Consultant Team is to provide these services, please provide details regarding how the CM will be involved in project budgetary controls.	Cost services are required as per RS8 as part of the design team. It creates a checks and balances approach to costing with the CM approach.

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

51	<p>The RFP indicates that deliverables submissions shall occur at: end of schematic design, end of design development, CD33%, CD66%, CD99%, and (assumed) CD100%. The two-week review periods for these deliverables account for nearly 30% of the stated 11-month schedule. Please confirm if this schedule is correct.</p>	<p>That is correct and design progress is reviewed at each bi-weekly meeting to enable an efficient review of RS deliverables. See PA 3.3.4 - work may proceed on next RS while work in previous RS is under review by PSPC except RS3.</p>
52	<p>RS 4.5, Item 5 (Commissioning Specifications) and Item 6 (Commissioning Submission Requirements). Please confirm that these items are the responsibility of PSPC's Commissioning Agent, and not the Consultant Team.</p>	<p>The introductory wording at RS 4.5.2 item 5 stipulates "Working with the Commissioning Team" therefore these obligations are a Commissioning Team effort led by the Commissioning Agent.</p> <p>RS 4.5.2.6 is stating which documents need to form part of specific deliverables, it is not a statement of obligation to draft each of these by the Consultant, please refer to CSAZ320-11 for roles and responsibilities on the Commissioning Team.</p>
53	<p>RS 6.2.5. The Consultant Team will not be in a position to ensure (warrant, guarantee) compliance with the Cx plan, and is not responsible for commissioning activities. Please remove this item.</p>	<p>RS 6.1.1 sets out the intent of the section which states that the Consultant "shall support implementation of the Project".</p> <p>RS 6.2.5 delete the words "Ensure compliance with Commissioning Plan" and replace with: "Shall comply with the Commissioning Plan".</p> <p>Delete last four words" update plan as necessary" and replace with "provide updates to the Commissioning Plan as necessary".</p>
54	<p>RS 6.2.6 & 6.3.5. Please clarify the requirement to certify payments to the CM. How will this process be administered, and by what values will the CM's payments be measured against?</p>	<p>Please see sections RS 6.4.18 , RS 6.4.21, RS 6.4.24 and RS 6.4.25</p>

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

55	RS 6.3.9. Please confirm that RS 6.3.9 is intended to apply to Record Drawings and specs, not As-Builts. As-Builts are produced by the contractor.	RS 6.3.9 shall be deleted (in its entirety) and replaced with the following wording: "Shall provide record drawings and obtain the as-built drawings and specifications from the Construction Manager (CM) and review same for accuracy and provide to the Departmental Representative (DR).
56	RS 6.4.2, Items 1-5. Please remove the term "ensure" (warrant, guarantee) and replace with the term "shall endeavor to" or "shall make best efforts to" in this section. The Consultant is not in a position to provide legal guarantees as to the performance of other parties or relative to the many factors which may affect the project schedule.	RS 6.4.2 2-Add after the word "ensure" "that the Consultant Team's obligations are fulfilled in a timely fashion so that ". Delete in its entirety, RS 6.4.2.5 and replace with: "Make every effort to assist the Commissioning Agent with updating the Commissioning Schedule at the start of the Commissioning Phase of the project and throughout the commissioning of the work.
57	RS 6.4.4. This clause does not seem pertinent to a CM delivery method. Please clarify.	Please read RS 6.4.4 with sections RS 6.4.18 , RS 6.4.20, RS 6.4.21, RS 6.4.24 and RS 6.4.25
58	RS 6.4.6, Item 2. Please remove the term "ensure" from this clause. The Consultant is in no position to provide guarantees regarding how the CM chooses to manage and post notices on the job site.	The Consultant is obligated to look to see that the Labour conditions are posted on site and if not, advise the Construction Manager (CM) to do so.
59	RS 6.4.9, all items. Please replace all instances of "inspect" with "general review". Please note that architects to not inspect work, as this implies a level of review not typically provided by architects.	RS6.4.9.5.1 Delete "inspection" and replace with "review". RS 6.4.5.3 Delete "inspected", Replace with "reviewed". RS 6.4.9.5.4 Delete" inspections" Replace with "reviews". RS 6.4.9.5.5 Delete "inspect" Replace with "review".

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

60	RS 6.4.9, Item 1. Please replace the use of the term "ensure" in this section with "shall endeavor to". The consultant team is not in a position to guarantee compliance of every item and task performed related to the work. Particularly since prefabrication of materials will occur off site, in conditions where the Consultant Team has no control or oversight.	In RS 6.4.9, Delete the words" Ensure compliance with the contract documents. " and replace same with "Make best efforts to confirm compliance with contracting documents"
61	RS 6.4.20. Please replace all instances of "inspect" with "general review". Please note that architects to not inspect work, as this implies a level of review not typically provided by architects.	Delete instances of "Inspect" and replace with "review"
62	RS 8 ESTIMATING AND COST PLANNING. While cost estimating during the design phase is typically the responsibility, this section also makes cost control during construction the responsibility of the Consultant. Construction phase cost/budget management would typically be the responsibility of the CM, and is one of the fundamental roles of the CM. Please clarify this requirement for both the design phase and construction phase of the project.	The Consultant's Cost Specialist shall perform the obligations set out in RS 8. They become a second impartial estimator on behalf of PSPC.
63	RS 8.7.7 PWGSC is providing both the construction budget and the design basis (triple-glazed high-performance curtain-wall system). Given that the design basis is being provided by PWGSC, the Consultant team is not in a position to validate PWGSC's budget or warrant budget compliance at the RFP stage. We respectfully request that this clause be removed.	Please refer to RS 8.7.1.1

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

64	<p>Is it reasonable to interpret the 15 years' experience requirement for the Lead Technical Architect is not a minimum requirement to receive a technical rating? I.e. If someone were to be presented in that role with fewer years experience total, but that experience was incredibly relevant to this opportunity, would they still be eligible to be assigned a technical rating in that category or would they be scored as zero as if disqualified?</p>	<p>The Proposal would be not be rejected, however, as per SRE 3.2.3, the technical evaluators may give poor marks due to lack of years of experience.</p>
65	<p>Can the 15 years experience requirement for the Lead Technical Architect be reduced to 10 years?</p>	<p>No. See #64</p>
66	<p>In relation to Appendix B, could it be confirmed that it is to be completed individually by each member of a joint venture?</p>	<p>Such instructions are provided within Appendix B.</p>
67	<p>In section 3.2.3, in addition to the specifically requested resumes, can additional resumes be submitted for key members of other expertise? If so, how many additional resumes can we include and how will this criterion be assessed?</p>	<p>No. only those listed will be evaluated.</p>
68	<p>In order to adequately meet criteria 3.1.4, would a declaration signed by the signatory of record be sufficient?</p>	<p>Instructions are contained in the link of 3.1.4</p>
69	<p>In Appendix A, is it possible to have more than one person assigned to the different areas of expertise of the sub-consultants (e.g. two building envelope specialists - one principal and one assistant)?</p>	<p>No.</p>

PHASE 1

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
EN448-203118/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
009

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
fe174

70	Is it required to include the Organizational Audit Verification (OAV) certificate and the approved Protected B level document security clearance as an appendix to our proposal to justify that we meet the Security Requirements?	Annex B is requested at time of bidding but not required. Mandatory security requirements are indicated in SI 6
71	What is the procedure for applying for Federal Contractors Program for Employment Equity certification? Is it required to be included in our proposal if we have a workforce of less than 100 people in Canada?	Complete instructions can be found in SI 5.2

END OF AMENDMENT