



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau
Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Master Standing Offer (NMSO)

Offre à commandes principale et nationale (OCPN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Special Projects/Projets Spéciaux
Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor
10 Wellington Street
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet LiveScan		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-191708/B		Date 2020-09-02
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-191708		Amendment No. - N° modif. 007
File No. - N° de dossier 107zl.M7594-191708	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZL-107-38315		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer		2020-07-16
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-09-17		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Chevrier, Stephane		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 107zl
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 408-4356 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -	
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		
Security - Sécurité This revision does change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision change les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 007**This solicitation amendment is raised to:**

1. Address the following clarification questions submitted by potential bidders; and
2. Modify the RFP if necessary.

1. CLARIFICATION QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question #	RFP Reference	Title/ Topic	Clarification Question	Response #	Clarification Response
Q7.1	Appendix B – Pricing Tables	Fully Configured SMTP Server SMTP Server (rack mount) with Server Case Management SW – Model A SMTP Server (rack mount) with Server Case Management SW – Model B	Please provide clarification of the SMTP server models as descriptions of 2 models or offerings do not appear in any other solicitation documents.	R7.1	The SMTP server is the SMTP-SPOI server or also referred to as SPOI. Refer to Annex B to Appendix A for details. There are too many sections to mention all of them; however, two sections that provide a significant amount of information are 2.7 which has detailed server specifications and 3.9 which shows differences between Model A and B.
Q7.2	Appendix J – Evaluation Plan and Criteria	Stage 5 – Offeror Conditional Selection	Under sub-section 3 it states: "The components that will be used to verify the Offeror's solution on the GFE include the following" and the only scanner that is listed is the "Crossmatch 1000" (see below – highlighted section). However, in the GFE, in Section 1.7.1 of ATTACHMENT 2 TO APPENDIX J: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUBMISSION TABLES, the list includes "Morpho TP5300A HD", which like the "Crossmatch 1000" does not have an "end of life date" or "end of service date" declared.	R7.2	The purpose of the GFE test is to ensure the Vendor supports the GFE identified in their written proposal. Canada identified components for this test that have the greatest value to be reused as GFE. Canada is willing to change these components only if the Vendor does not support the GFE identified in section 3.7 Stage 5, paragraph 3. For example, if the Vendor identifies in Attachment 2 to Appendix J that they do not support the Crossmatch 1000 as part of R27 in table 1-2 and section 1.7.1, table 1-3; then Canada would consider allowing an

			Given this, for the purposes of Stage 5 – Benchmark – Offeror Conditional Selection, can the Offeror define that the "Morpho TP5300A HD" is to be used to verify the Offeror's solution on the GFE as opposed to using the Crossmatch 1000 for the benchmark?		alternate component for the GFE test. If an alternate scanner block is allowed it must support the capture of Rolled, Plain, Id Flats and Palmprints (i.e. all Types Of Transactions).
Q7.3	Attachment 1 to Appendix J	Benchmark Test Plan MAP transactions	In attachment 1 to Appendix J, it is stated that MAP transactions will be completed as part of the benchmark test, please confirm if the MAP test will be with Civil Efficiencies or Current State.	R7.3	The MAP tests will be with Current State.
Q7.4	Attachment 1 to Appendix J	Benchmark Test Plan Systems Delivery and Project Management (SDPPM) Introduction 1.5 Overview of Benchmark Test 5. PSPC may contact the Offeror to resolve technical issues. This will be at the sole discretion of PSPC. The Offeror is expected to have appropriate resources available during the Benchmark test to ensure any technical issues can be resolved in a timely manner.	Please confirm if the Offeror's technical resource(s) are permitted to be in the room when the Benchmark tests are conducted.	R7.4	No, only the Bid Evaluation Team and designated PSPC personnel will be allowed in the room.
Q7.5	Attachment 2 to Appendix J	Technical Proposal Evaluation Submission Tables Title/Topic: 1.2 Proposal Format 2. The Offeror's Technical Proposal should be provided using the following format: a. Section 1: Executive Summary and Corporate Profile – This section must include a signed copy of page "1" of this RFSO. This section	"Table 1-2: Requirements – Rated" from this document (Attachment 2 to Appendix K) is required to be submitted with the bid, as is Appendix K itself, the Requirements Traceability Matrix. Please confirm that these completed tables are supposed to go in Section 7: Attachments? The requirements within the tables are not divided into the topics listed for Sections 2	R7.5	Please refer to the response for question 5.9. If this response does not fully answer your question, please submit a follow-up question.

	<p>may also contain an executive format and/or letter of transmittal at the Offeror's discretion. This should include, at a minimum, the Name and Telephone Number of a single person that may be contacted by Canada concerning any issues relating to the RFSO and this may also include a brief corporate profile of the Offeror and its major subcontractors;</p> <p>b. Section 2: Project Management Requirements;</p> <p>c. Section 3: Functional Requirements;</p> <p>d. Section 4: Technical Requirements;</p> <p>e. Section 5: Implementation Requirements;</p> <p>f. Section 6: Benchmark Requirements; and</p> <p>g. Section 7: Attachments – This section may include technical brochures, Corporate References, Proposed Personnel's References, Contract Deliverable Requirements List, Plans and any other bid submission deliverable not otherwise specified.</p>	<p>through 6.</p> <p>Please confirm if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 2: Project Management Requirements should cover planning and preparation tasks and documentation required prior to shipment while Section 5: Implementation Requirements cover the tasks and documentation from shipment through to the end of support. • Section 3 Functional Requirements cover software functionality (including interfaces, workflows, GUI's, and certifications), while Technical Requirements should cover hardware specifications and certifications. 		
--	---	---	--	--

2. MODIFICATIONS:

Canada noticed that the evaluation stages may be worded in a confusing manner. Stage 4 uses the calculations in Stage 6 to determine an "Offeror Conditional Selection" and then Stage 5 is a verification that the conditionally selected Offeror's solution can run on the GFE. Stage 6 is simply the final "Offeror Selection" confirmed by the calculations in Stage 6 and the Offeror's solution being confirmed to work with the GFE.

To ensure this is clear, Stage 4 paragraph 2 has been changed as follows:

At Appendix J –Evaluation Plan and Criteria:

Stage 4 – Evaluation of Financial Proposal

DELETE:

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

M7594-191708/B

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

M7594-191708

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

007

File No. - N° du dossier

107zl.M7595-191708

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

107zl

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

2. PSPC will independently assess the financial proposals of all technically compliant proposals.

INSERT:

2. PSPC will independently assess the financial proposals of all technically compliant proposals and will determine an "Offeror Conditional Selection" based on the lowest ADJUSTED BID EVALUATION VALUE determined using the calculations in Stage 6."

NO OTHER MODIFICATIONS ARE RAISED AS PART OF SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 007