



RETURN BIDS TO:

**Parks Canada Agency
Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
(RFP)**

AMENDMENT #6

Bid Email:

pc.soumissionsest-bidseast.pc@canada.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email any other email address may not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is **25 megabytes**. PCA is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted

Proposal to: Parks Canada Agency

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Comments

Issuing Office

Agence Parcs Canada
Opérations des approvisionnements
Cornwall, Ontario, K6H 6S2

Title-Sujet Rouge Gateway Visitor, Learning and Community Centre Project Rouge National Urban Park, Toronto, ON.		
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P201-20-0012/A		Date: September 4, 2020
GETS Reference No. – No de reference de SEAG PW-20-00922458		Client Ref. No. – No. de réf du client n/a
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin :		
at – à 2:00 PM	on – le September 22, 2020	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire EDT - HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à :		
Sheldon Lalonde sheldon.lalonde@canada.ca		
Telephone No. - No de telephone		(343) 585-3836
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction :		
See Herein – Voir aux présentes		
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER À ÊTRE COMPLÉTER PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE		
Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		
Address - Adresse		
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur		
Title - Titre		
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone:		
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur:		
Email – Courriel :		
Signature		Date

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

AMENDMENT #6

THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE FOLLOWING CHANGE;

1. Questions, Answers, Clarifications:

- 1) Understanding that the Geotechnical scope can vary significantly based on the final site location and design, would PCA consider setting an allowance for Geotechnical Engineering so that bidding is equitable?

Answer: The draft geotechnical report is attached in a separate file. To ensure equitable bidding, the Consultant should consider that the preferred building location is currently in the vicinity of borehole BH20-11, between the Vista Trail and the valley slope. The Consultant should prepare their bids based on the information provided.

- 2) The list of sub-consultants to be retained by the consultant in Section 1.6 includes a Municipal Engineer. Section 1.6.1 indicates that PCA will hire a Municipal Engineer, with whom the consultant will coordinate. Can you please clarify if we need to carry a Municipal Engineer on our team for this proposal?

Answer: Yes, the Consultant should carry a Municipal Engineer to design any portion of utility connections that are within the project site.

- 3) Can you please provide clarification on the Civil Engineering scope of work. Specifically, since there will be a Municipal Engineer on the team, will the Civil scope be limited to onsite design requirements only, while the Municipal Engineer will take care of municipal infrastructure/utilities as required?

Answer: Yes, the Civil scope is limited to onsite design requirements.

- 4) Can you please provide clarification on the Commissioning Scope outlined under 5.3.7 (page 23). Are the commissioning consultants to identify the area to be provided for back of house services? Or are they to coordinate these requirements with the architect/mechanical engineer/electrical engineer? Also, can you please clarify what is meant by "Define project verification archives (data storage and retrieval system)?"

Answer: Please review Amdt #5, question 8.

- 5) As the project goal is to achieve LEED Platinum, can you please clarify if you would like Enhanced Cx Path 1, Option 1 and 2?

Answer: Please review Amdt #5, question 7.

- 6) Who comprises the PCA Evaluation Board?

Answer: Professionals with the understanding, knowledge and experience of projects comparable to this one.

- 7) Are there any concept site plans or prior study-work that can be shared to understand the approximate magnitude of site development scope? Specifically, the RFP describes the visitor centre as one more buildings, plus shade and shelters, picnic space, plus observation deck, plus outdoor gathering space.

Answer: The functional program and concept site plans are to be developed as part of the Consultant's scope of work. The RFP outlines the desired components of the building and site.

- 8) In terms of construction budget, does PCA have an idea on the proportion of construction cost for the building compared to site work, servicing and accessory structures?

Answer: The construction budget is currently set at \$13 million. The proportion of cost for each element may vary depending on the concepts developed as part of this scope.

- 9) Is the project subject to approval by the TRCA?

Answer: No, the project is not subject to TRCA approval.

- 10) Is the project subject to Site Plan Control approval (SPA)?

Answer: Please review Amdt #5, question 9.

- 11) Can you please clarify the scope under Section 2.1 Consultant, specifically "After the contract is awarded, provide a project cost forecast as per government financial year, and update this forecast as required." Does this mean a construction cost forecast based on estimated escalation?

Answer: Yes.

- 12) Further to question and answer #11 in amendment #3, can Parks Canada please share the feasibility investigation completed for municipal servicing? Alternatively, can Parks Canada please elaborate which on-site utility systems will be required to be designed by the successful proponent team as a part of this project?

Answer: The feasibility investigation is not yet complete, however, preliminary findings suggest that the project will likely require an on-site septic system and possible water well, as municipal sanitary and water connections may be challenging. Geothermal and solar may also be incorporated to meet sustainability goals and their design is part of this RFP.

- 13) In Amendment No. 3, PCA clarified the role of the Environmental Engineer. The role as described in the amendment is focused on Sustainable Design tasks. This is different from the typical industry definition of an "Environmental Engineer", which is an Engineer who takes responsibility for environmental assessments, hazardous materials investigations, contamination clean-up and other matters of compliance with environmental regulation.

- a. We ask that the title be amended to "Sustainable Design Specialist." Sustainable Design Specialists are often engineers, but not always. We therefore also ask that PCA allows various types of professionals, but not limited to professional engineers.
- b. <https://www.environmentalscience.org/career/environmental-engineer>

Answer: PCA will accept an equivalent professional to fulfill this role.

- 14) Was the Q&A session, held during the Bidder's Conference on August 17th, recorded? If so, could a copy of that recording or a transcript be provided to potential bidders?

Answer: The recording is available here:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/14VCMhEk6BnH0tqGHiZ7jGJkzplghDZxW/view?usp=sharing>

- 15) Can PCA please confirm the number of design workshops to be held with FNAC communities? Will a minimum of five design workshops be required per community (for a minimum of 50), or will a minimum of five design workshops be required total among all communities? The passage in question is as follows:
A minimum of five (5) in-person Integrated Design Workshops with FNAC communities. The Consultant will be required to travel to individual FNAC communities to facilitate the workshops. Nine of the ten Nations are located in Southern Ontario, with the tenth located within Quebec City.

Answer: The requirement is for a minimum of five design workshops in total.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation : **5P201-20-0012/A**

Buyer - Acheteur : **Sheldon Lalonde**

Amd. No. - N° de la modif : **006**

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client : **N/A**

File Name - Nom du dossier:

Rouge Gateway Visitor, Learning and Community Centre Project Rouge National Urban Park, Toronto, ON.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.