



RETURN BIDS TO:

**Parks Canada Agency
Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
(RFP)**

AMENDMENT #5

Bid Email:

pc.soumissionsest-bidseast.pc@canada.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email any other email address may not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is **25 megabytes**. PCA is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted

Proposal to: Parks Canada Agency

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Comments

Issuing Office

Agence Parcs Canada
Opérations des approvisionnements
Cornwall, Ontario, K6H 6S2

Title-Sujet Rouge Gateway Visitor, Learning and Community Centre Project Rouge National Urban Park, Toronto, ON.		
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P201-20-0012/A		Date: September 4, 2020
GETS Reference No. – No de reference de SEAG PW-20-00922458		Client Ref. No. – No. de réf du client n/a
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin :		
at – à 2:00 PM	on – le September 22, 2020	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire EDT - HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à :		
Sheldon Lalonde sheldon.lalonde@canada.ca		
Telephone No. - No de telephone		(343) 585-3836
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction :		
See Herein – Voir aux présentes		
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER À ÊTRE COMPLÉTER PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE		
Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		
Address - Adresse		
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur		
Title - Titre		
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone:		
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur:		
Email – Courriel :		
Signature		Date

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

AMENDMENT #5

THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE FOLLOWING CHANGE;

1. The closing date has changed to Tuesday, September 22, 2020 at 2:00pm EDT;

2. Questions, Answers, Clarifications:

- 1) Due to the complexity of compiling a comprehensive RFP response, we request an extension to the RFP deadline to Friday, September 25, 2020.

Answer: The RFP deadline has been extended to 2 PM EDT on September 22, 2020.

- 2) In light of the extended vacation season / COVID-19 back to school preparations, we would like to request a 2-week extension to the closing date

Answer: The RFP deadline has been extended to 2 PM EDT on September 22, 2020.

- 3) In the SoW document, section 1.6, the Architect is required to carry the services of a geotechnical engineer. All architects in Ontario have access to common professional liability insurance through Pro-Demnity. Having reviewed the limitations of the policy, taking responsibility for geotechnical surveys and analysis must come from the client and would be an uninsurable risk for architects in the province of Ontario. We request that the geotechnical engineer be engaged directly by PCA and the reports made available to the successful architect to inform the structural design.

Answer: Please review Amdt #2, question 7 and Amdt #3, question 6.

- 4) In the SoW document, section 1.6, the Architect is required to carry the services of an Environmental Engineer. Can PCA please confirm the services of this consultant? If these services are limited to environmentally sustainable design, please clarify by addendum. If these services are related to environmental assessment and/or discovery and advice regarding environmentally hazardous materials, such services would be an uninsurable risk for architects practicing in the province of Ontario. These services should be engaged directly by the client.

Answer: Please review Amdt #2, question 6 and Amdt #3, question 6.

- 5) The RFP states: "Incorporate cutting-edge sustainable solutions in the spirit of sustainable development standards and certifications such as the Living Building Challenge and Passive House."

Is the proponent required to:

- Incorporate Passive House principles into the design OR
- Design to Passive House Standard? OR
- Design and Certify the building to the Passive House Standard?

From our experience, without setting a firm requirement to certify to the Passive House Standard, projects seldom achieve the actual Passive House performance in operation. We therefore recommend setting the requirement for Passive House certification at the onset of the project, thus including certification in the RFP.

If Passive House certification is sought, would LEED certification also still be required?

Answer: Please review Amdt #2, question 1.

- 6) Section 3.2.2: Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects** focuses on senior personnel. Given our respect for the page limits, may we have some clarification as to the contents of this section? Is it intended to be the key personnel CVs that are rated for this section, or are we intended to include other supplementary information? Furthermore, is it intended that only these nine CVs be included in the entire document, or may we include CVs in the appendices for the full project and subconsultant team?

Answer: Please review Amdt #3, question 2.

- 7)** Can you provide clarification on the scope of work for the Commissioning consultant? As a possible project goal is to achieve LEED Platinum, is it correct to assume that you will require Enhanced Cx Path 1 (option 1 and 2) and Path 2?

Answer: Yes, this is correct and represents the level of commissioning services expected.

- 8)** Our Commissioning consultant has identified the following items listed on page 23 of the SOW document (5.3.7) as unusual: "Identify in square meters the area to be provided to maintenance personnel, including storage and workshops for mechanical, electrical and housekeeping; Define project verification archives (data storage and retrieval system)." Can you clarify these items?

Answer: The Commissioning consultant must provide input on the functional and technical program to ensure appropriate maintenance requirements and access to the installed equipment are considered. The Project archives should include all commissioning documents that contain data deemed essential to a comprehensive record of the project and its component systems, and should allow for the training of O&M personnel and for the operation, maintenance, servicing and repair of all systems, components and equipment in the facility. It must also include a preventive maintenance schedule.

- 9)** Please confirm if the project will be submitted through the municipal approvals process including rezoning, site plan approval and building permit requiring the associated compliance documentation for Ontario Building Code SB-10 and the Toronto Green Standard.

Answer: Yes, the project is to be submitted for municipal approvals. The building design will be based on federal code, however Parks Canada will voluntarily comply with the most stringent requirements in cases of overlap.

- 10)** Although the Federal Government does not formally recognize jurisdictions at other levels of government, voluntary compliance with the requirements of these other levels of government is a requirement?

Answer: Yes, in general Parks Canada will voluntarily comply with the most stringent requirements in cases of overlap.

- 11)** Will we be required to submit for site plan approval and building permit from the City of Toronto?

Answer: Yes, the project is to be submitted for municipal approvals.

- 12)** Do we hire the "code and life safety consulting engineer" and can it be a qualified specialist architect?

Answer: It is up to the Consultant to propose a team that will satisfy all requirements of the project.

- 13)** Please clarify the requirements for a "Fire Protection Consultant" is this for sprinkler design? Or is it just code and life safety related? If code related can it be the qualified architect (see question 12)?

Answer: Yes, this is for sprinkler design and code and life safety related aspects. It is up to the Consultant to propose a team that will satisfy all requirements of the project.

- 14)** Who is responsible for construction meeting minutes, after the first meeting?

Answer: The General Contractor will be responsible for construction meeting minutes after the first meeting.

15) Does the Construction act (Ontario) apply?

Answer: Federal Clauses and Conditions take precedence.

16) Please Clarify the role of Environmental Engineer, and what qualifications are expected. Would qualified ecologists who provide terrestrial ecological assessments and impact studies for EA, EIS, Be acceptable for this role? If the perception is for the environmental site assessment are to included vegetation, wildlife, arborist, Species at Risk or significant wildlife surveys? Or are potential contamination issues predicted (and there for soil/water testing)?

Answer: Please review Amdt #2, question 6 and Amdt #3, question 3. Parks Canada will complete an impact assessment for the project.

17) For the 3 projects undertaken within the last 10 years – can these project that are currently on site – or do they need to be completed projects?

Answer: Please review Amendment #2, we are looking for “a maximum of 3 completed projects undertaken within the last 15 years”.

18) Can you clarify which sub consultants/disciplines the client wishes to receive content and fees for? Is it limited to the disciplines below?

- a. **Senior Architect**
- b. **Civil Engineer**
- c. **Environmental Engineer**
- d. **Geotechnical Engineer**
- e. **Structural Engineer**
- f. **Mechanical Engineer**
- g. **Electrical Engineer**
- h. **Fire Protection Engineer**
- i. **Landscape Architect**

Pages 8 & 9 of the Scope of Work Document detail a full consultant team with considerably more disciplines than what is mentioned above. However, there is no mention of the additional disciplines within the RFP itself, in the noted sections below:

- 1. Appendix A - Team Identification Format (page 8-10)**
- 2. Appendix C - Price Proposal (page 16-17)**
- 3. Consultant Team Identification (page 20)**
- 4. Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects (page 21)**

It is also explicitly stated that we are not to alter the Price Proposal Form, which only provides spaces for the 9 disciplines listed above.

Answer: The fixed fee table on page 16 of the RFP should include fees for all personnel required for the completion of the project, and is not limited to the nine key personnel evaluated in the rated requirements. The Price Proposal Form provides a section for additional rates “B) Staff” that is intended for the purpose of supplying additional rates that the bidder requires to complete the bid.

19) If we are to provide all the disciplines as listed in the Scope of Work, could we request a 10-page increase as well as a deadline extension?

Answer: Consultant Team Identification (Appendix A) and CV's are not part of the page limit. The RFP deadline has been extended to 2 PM EDT on September 22, 2020.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.