



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Shared Systems Division (XL)/Division des systèmes
partagés (XL)

Terrasses de la Chaudière

4th Floor, 10 Wellington Street

4th etage, 10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Processing Software Solution	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 24062-180627/D	Amendment No. - N° modif. 008
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 24062-180627	Date 2020-09-10
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XL-138-38306	
File No. - N° de dossier 138xl.24062-180627	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-09-28	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Weinberger, Beth	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 138xl
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 576-5319 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (000) 000-0000
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation 24062-180627/D	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 008	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 138XL
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client 24062-180627	File No. – N° du dossier	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Solicitation Amendment 008 is raised to respond to questions from Industry.

Question 55:

M43 (page 89) - The RPSS must support controlled access (2 form user authentication).

Is it sufficient if the system integrates with Active Directory with single-sign-on or LDAP, for user authentication and system access, or must it use 2 form user authentication?

Answer 55:

For greater security the GC is seeking a solution that will require a two-step verification. After the user has authenticated to access the institution's network resources the user will also be required to authenticate every time they access the solution.

Question 56: We will be submitting technical validation for ANNEX G – BID EVALUATION AND CAPABILITY AND USABILITY EVALUATION pages 84-112 in the original RFP. We would like to clarify if our technical response requires us to also respond to Appendix A to Annex A pages 59-64 with technical validations as these are already covered off in Annex G?

Answer 56: No the bidder is not required to respond to the list of requirements detailed in Appendix A to Annex A (pages 59 to 64) as these requirements are also covered in Annex G – BID EVALUATION AND CAPABILITY AND USABILITY EVALUATION

Question 57: In reference to:

- ANNEX A – Section 6.1 "Server"

- Solicitation Amendment 001 – updated Section 6.1 "Server"

In both the original and Amendment 001 documents, in reference to the detailed table in section 6.1 of ANNEX A, we noticed under section "6. Development Language" a reference to "JRE 1.8 or higher on RCMP workstation"!

Can you clarify why there is a reference to a specific GC's department (RCMP)? Should that imply in any way that RCMP have a specific role to play in this Bid Solicitation RFP process vs the other GC's departments ? Could RCMP be (or is) the lead institution behind this RFP ? Please explain and/or clarify.

Answer 57: The statement can be amended to remove any reference to RCMP.

The statement should read: Development Language: • Java Enterprise Edition (Java EE) 8 or higher; LTS Versions • JRE 1.8 or higher

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.