



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

## Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

## Comments - Commentaires

## Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

## Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Infrastructure Maintenance and Solution Services  
Division (FK)

L'Esplanade Laurier,

East Tower 4th Floor

L'Esplanade Laurier,

Tour est 4e étage

140 O'Connor, Street

Ottawa

Ontario

K1A 0R5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Building Master Drawings Services		
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EP896-201130/A		<b>Date</b> 2020-09-10
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20201130		<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> fk307.EP896-201130	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>	
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$FK-307-78925		
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2020-07-21
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-09-14</b>		<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Cowell, Philip		<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> fk307
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 296-1922 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -	
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>		
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>		
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

Solicitation Amendment 003 is raised to:

**1. Respond to questions from the Industry.**

---

**1. Respond to Questions from the Industry**

**Question 1:**

Under the RT4 Experience and expertise of proposed personnel: we were wondering if you require the professional expertise of an Architect to be part of our proposed resources to provide the required services?

**Response 1:** The offeror needs to demonstrate the capability, capacity, expertise and relevant experience to carry out the work. These services do not require professionals like architects and engineers but will be considered as an asset.

**Question 2:** In section 5.1.1 – Certifications Required with the Offer.

Can PWGSC please confirm that an “Integrity Provision – Declaration of Convicted Offences” declaration form does not need to be filed and submitted with the offer if the bidder does not meet any of the required conditions for such a form?

**Response 2:** PWGSC confirms that Offerors must provide an Integrity Provision – Declaration of Convicted Offences form when one or more of the following conditions apply:

1. the supplier has, in the past three years, been charged with or convicted of one of the offences listed in the [Ineligibility and Suspension Policy](#) (the “policy”); and/or
2. the supplier has, in the past three years, been charged with or convicted of a criminal offence in a country other than Canada that, to the best of the supplier’s knowledge and belief, may be similar to one of the offences listed in the policy; and/or
3. one of the supplier’s affiliates [Footnote1](#) has, in the past three years, been convicted of one of the offences listed in the policy, or has, in the past three years, been convicted of a criminal offence in a country other than Canada that, to the best of the supplier’s knowledge and belief, may be similar to one of the offences listed in the policy; and/or
4. the supplier is unable to provide any of the certifications required by the [Integrity provisions](#)

**Question 3:**

In Section 5.2.1 Integrity Provisions- Required Documentation, Webpage link – Item #17.E. Provision of additional Information, can PWGSC please confirm there is no additional information being requested pertaining to this item?

**Response 3:** PWGSC confirms that no additional information will be requested pertaining to this item.

**Question 4:** In the Request for Proposal document on page 16 of 36, in section 4.1.2.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria MT1 states that a maximum of three (3) projects will be evaluated in the order of presentation. Then in section 4.1.2.2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria it asks for the following:

RT5.1 – two (2) examples of projects for Architectural As-Built or Existing Condition (CAD)

RT5.2 – two (2) examples of projects for Building Information Model (BIM)

RT5.3 – two (2) examples of projects for Computer Aid Facilities Management (CAFM)

RT5.4 – two (2) examples of projects for 3D scanning services of Existing Condition (point-cloud data)

This results in a total of 8 projects. Can you please clarify the number of projects that need to be presented and that will be rated?

**Response 4:**

The offeror must submit a maximum of 3 projects to be evaluated for Mandatory Technical Criteria 1 (MT1). Please note that the same projects submitted for MT1 can also be used to demonstrate experience in RT3 and RT5 for evaluation as noted in MT1.

Offerors can choose to submit two examples of different projects for RT5.1, RT5.2, RT5.3 and RT5.4 for evaluation. Please see the rating guide provided in the Request for Proposal in section 4.1.2.3 – Point Rated Technical Criteria – Rating Grid to see how submitted projects will be evaluated.

*No other changes apply*