



RETURN BIDS TO:

**Parks Canada Agency
Bid Receiving Unit
National Contracting Services**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
(RFP)**

AMENDMENT #7

Bid Email:

pc.soumissionsest-bidseast.pc@canada.ca

This is the only acceptable email address for responses to bid solicitation. Bids submitted by email any other email address may not be accepted.

The maximum email file size is **25 megabytes**. PCA is not responsible for any transmission errors. Emails with links to bid documents will not be accepted

Proposal to: Parks Canada Agency

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefor.

Comments

Issuing Office

Agence Parcs Canada
Opérations des approvisionnements
Cornwall, Ontario, K6H 6S2

Title-Sujet Rouge Gateway Visitor, Learning and Community Centre Project Rouge National Urban Park, Toronto, ON.		
Solicitation No. - No. de l'invitation 5P201-20-0012/A		Date: September 11, 2020
GETS Reference No. – No de reference de SEAG PW-20-00922458		Client Ref. No. – No. de réf du client n/a
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin :		
at – à 2:00 PM	on – le September 22, 2020	Time Zone - Fuseau horaire EDT - HAE
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>		
Address Inquiries to: - Adresser toute demande de renseignements à :		
Sheldon Lalonde		sheldon.lalonde@canada.ca
Telephone No. - No de telephone		(343) 585-3836
Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destinations des biens, services et construction :		
See Herein – Voir aux présentes		
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER À ÊTRE COMPLÉTER PAR LE SOUMISSIONNAIRE		
Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		
Address - Adresse		
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur		
Title - Titre		
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone:		
Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur:		
Email – Courriel :		
Signature		Date

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

AMENDMENT #7

THE PURPOSE OF THIS AMENDMENT IS TO GIVE EFFECT TO THE FOLLOWING CHANGE;

1. Document added to Solicitation: “201-04948-00 Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Report, Rouge Park Gateway 20200825, WSP CANADA INC.”;
2. Document added to Solicitation: “PUNR- Uniformisation de la présentation des éléments du parc (rev).” received a correction in French version only, correction not required by English version;
3. Section “APPENDIX “C” - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM, paragraph of INSTRUCTIONS” is deleted and replaced by:
 - Complete this Price Proposal Form and submit in a distinct attachment clearly and conspicuously identified as “Financial Proposal”. Price Proposals are not to include Applicable Taxes.

4. Section “TABLE OF CONTENTS” received a correction in French version only, correction not required by English version:

5. Questions, Answers, Clarifications:

- 1) Can PCA identify the landscape budget for this project?

Answer: The total construction budget is \$13 million. The proportion of cost for each element may vary depending on the concepts developed as part of this scope.

- 2) Page 21, Re: 3.2.1 We understand that relevant projects should be completed projects, given that they are not many Passive House completed projects in Canada, would the PCA accept projects where design has been completed and is verified by a PH certifier?

Answer: To demonstrate experience with sustainable design, PCA will accept a maximum of one project that has not yet completed construction.

- 3) Page 21, Re: 3.2.1 Will PCA accept projects where design has been completed but hasn't started construction?

Answer: PCA will accept a maximum of one project that has not yet completed construction.

- 4) May we request a copy of the agreement referenced on page 6?

Answer: Information can be found at [R1410T General Instructions \(GI\) – Architectural and/or Engineering Services – Request for Proposal](#).

- 5) Page 16, Appendix C, Price proposal form indicates that the form must be submitted as a separate sealed envelope. Please confirm that this is an error and responses are to be sent electronically via email.

Answer: Electronic responses submitted via email are expected to be one email with 2 separate and distinct attachments.

- 6) Page 16, Appendix C, [Fixed Fee \(R1230D \(2018-06-21\), GC 5 – Terms of Payment](#). This document makes a reference to provisions in which disbursement cost is included or excluded from the fixed fee. Please confirm if the “Maximum Fixed Fee” includes or excludes the disbursement costs.

Answer: Please review amendment #4, question #10 to determine which fees should be included in the “Maximum Fixed Fees” and which fees are not expected to be included.

- 7) The site currently does not have a municipal sanitary sewer near it in order to service the site. In the scope and fees should we account for an onsite septic treatment system?

Answer: Yes, an onsite septic treatment system will most likely be required and should be accounted for in the scope and fees.

- 8) We would like to request clarification on the requirement to have a Mechanical Engineer as well as a Fire Protection Engineer. The statement of work lists fire protection services under the Mechanical. We are wondering if this is an error / duplication.

Answer: The Mechanical Engineer and Fire Protection Engineer may be the same person.

- 9) As per page 9 of RFP, Fire Protection Engineer to be retained by architect/consultant team. However, there is no specific fire protection work listed in the RFP. Can you please confirm if the Fire Protection Engineer shall perform hydraulic calculation and detailed pipe sizing etc.?

Answer: Yes, the Fire Protection Engineer will perform this work including the selection and design of appropriate fire protection systems, considering that a municipal water connection may not be accessible.

- 10) Can the Consultant team rely on the factual geotechnical data presented in the factual geotechnical report for the site for their foundation design? It is noted that the sampling frequency shown on the borehole log sheets attached to the Geotechnical Report does not meet the minimum standard shown in the “Guideline for Professional Engineers Providing Geotechnical Engineering Services” published by PEO.
Absence of the additional data may result in a more conservative design approach which would in turn result in increased construction cost.

Answer: The Consultant may use the preliminary information provided but will be required to complete additional geotechnical investigations once the exact building location and footprint is determined. A draft geotechnical report is also attached in a separate file.

- 11) Outlined in the scope of work there is mention of ‘Vertical Transportation Consulting Service’ as a required discipline. Are you able to provide us with more clarification in regards to this consultant and what they would be required for?

Answer: This would be for an elevator consultant, as the envisioned building may be up to two storeys, plus a basement.

- 12) Page 23 of the Project Brief states: “Submit a complete energy analysis using a Canadian-recognized energy analysis tool”. We use the Passive House Planning Package (PHPP) for PH modelling and energy analysis on Passive House projects. PHPP is currently recognized by the OBC, but not by the NECB. While we will need to develop a separate model using a Canadian-recognized energy analysis tool to meet LEED requirements, this is not strictly requested in the Brief during Schematic Design.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation : **5P201-20-0012/A**

Buyer - Acheteur : **Sheldon Lalonde**

Amd. No. - N° de la modif : **007**

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client : **N/A**

File Name - Nom du dossier:

Rouge Gateway Visitor, Learning and Community Centre Project Rouge National Urban Park, Toronto, ON.

Please clarify whether Parks Canada would want the use of PHPP for the Schematic Design energy analysis as well.

Answer: Analysis using the Passive House Planning Package may be desired depending on the sustainability targets identified for the project, which are currently being developed. If Passive House level performance is targeted, PHPP is to be used to its full potential including informing the early decisions at schematic design. Multiple PHPP are to be considered for different thermal zones and dynamic energy models should be developed as required.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.