



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

This document contains a security requirement.

Ce document contient une exigence de sécurité.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique

Les Terrasses de la Chaudière

10, rue Wellington, 4ième

étage/Floor

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS - Tier 2	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> 21120-194545/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 3154545	<b>Date</b> 2020-10-06
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-626-38511	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 626zm.21120-194545	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-11-05</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Standard Time EST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Holden, Carole	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 626zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 858-9217 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

## AMENDMENT NO. 002

This amendment is raised to revise the solicitation and to answer bidders' questions.

### **RFP REVISIONS:**

#### **Revision No. 4**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 5 – Cyber Protection Services; Part A:

**Delete MTC1-B in its entirety.**

**Insert:**

MTC#	Mandatory Technical Criteria	Bidder's Response (Reference to Substantiating Materials Included in Bid)
MTC1-B	<p>Using the reference Contract(s) in MTC1-A, the Bidder must demonstrate in its bid that the reference Contract(s) have a cumulative total of at least 2,000 billable days for the resource categories including Network Security Analyst and IT Security Engineer covering a period not more than three years.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) The start and end date of the three-year period;</li><li>b) The title of the resource categories provided under the Contract(s);</li><li>c) The full names of each resource provided under the Contract(s); and</li><li>d) The total number of days billed per resource under the Contract(s).</li></ul> <p>The information listed above should be submitted using Form M1-B.</p>	

### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

#### **Question 1:**

Regarding RTC1 in all workstreams -- to clarify the awarding of points:

Which of the following scenarios would score maximum points for a given skillset? In these example scenarios

- we are using 960+ days as the maximum-points number, and
  - the resource/contract used to meet MTC1-A for that skillset will be called Resource A/Contract 1
1. Resource A from Contract 1 billed for over 960 days within the last 5 years.
  2. Resource B, from Contract 2 (which was not cited for MTC1-A but which meets the MTC1-A criteria) billed for over 960 days within the last 5 years.

3. Resource A was active in both Contract 1 and Contract 2, both of which meet the MTC1-A criteria; Resource A's billed time across those two contracts (with no overlap) totals over 960 days within the last five years.
4. Resource A billed for 500 days in Contract 1, Resource B billed for 500 days in Contract 2 (both contracts meeting the MTC1-A criteria); the accumulated days combine to total more than 960 days within the last five years.

**Answer 1:** The 960+ billable days referenced in the bidder's question is applicable to Workstreams 1, 2, 3, and 4. Please note that for Workstream 5, the number of billable days required for RTC1 is lower. For all four scenarios from the bidder's question: if all requirements of the criterion are met, then maximum points for the resource category would be allocated (10 points).

**Question 2:**

In Workstream 5, Rated Criterion RTC1: To score maximum points, a single Privacy Impact Assessment Specialist must have billed for 521+ days within the last 5 years, on a single contract (or, depending on the answer to Question 1 above, two Privacy Impact Assessment Specialists).

This situation is extremely rare; PIA specialists are usually engaged for much shorter assignments. Would the Crown consider altering the requirement to award maximum points to 521+ days billed by 3+ PIA specialists on the same contract, OR on a limited number of separate contracts?

**Answer 2:** MTC1-A, MTC1-B, and RTC1 for Workstream 5 have been revised to remove the resource category Privacy Impact Assessment Specialist; and replace it with the resource category IT Security Engineer. Please refer to Revisions No. 2 and No. 3 from Solicitation Amendment 001; and Revision No. 4 from Solicitation Amendment 002. The maximum Points Allocation in RTC1 remains unchanged.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME**