



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -  
TPSGC  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5  
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

This document contains a security requirement.  
Ce document contient une exigence de sécurité.

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique  
Les Terrasses de la Chaudière  
10, rue Wellington, 4ième  
étage/Floor  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS - Tier 2	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> 21120-194545/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 010
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 3154545	<b>Date</b> 2020-10-21
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-626-38511	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 626zm.21120-194545	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2020-11-12</b>	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Holden, Carole	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 626zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (613) 858-9217 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

## AMENDMENT NO. 010

This amendment is raised to revise the solicitation and to answer bidders' questions.

### **RFP REVISIONS:**

#### **Revision No. 13**

At Page 1 of the RFP, Solicitation Closes:

**Delete:** 2020-11-05

**Insert:** 2020-11-12

#### **Revision No. 14**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 1 - Application Services, Part B, RTC1 - BILLABLE DAYS: APPLICATION/SOFTWARE ARCHITECT AND PROGRAMMER/ANALYST, article 1. and article 2.:

**Delete:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form MTC1-A.

**Insert:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form M1-A.

#### **Revision No. 15**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 2 – IM/IT Services, Part B, RTC1 - BILLABLE DAYS: TECHNICAL ARCHITECT AND TECHNOLOGY ARCHITECT, article 1. and article 2.:

**Delete:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form MTC1-A.

**Insert:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form M1-A.

#### **Revision No. 16**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 3 – Business Management Services, Part B, RTC1 - BILLABLE DAYS: BUSINESS SYSTEM ANALYST AND OPERATIONS SUPPORT SPECIALIST, article 1. and article 2.:

**Delete:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form MTC1-A.

**Insert:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form M1-A.

#### **Revision No. 17**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 4 – Project Management Services, Part B, RTC1 - BILLABLE DAYS: ENTERPRISE ARCHITECT AND PROJECT MANAGER, article 1. and article 2.:

**Delete:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form MTC1-A.

**Insert:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form M1-A.

#### **Revision No. 18**

At Attachment 4.1 Technical Criteria, Workstream 5 – Cyber Protection Services, Part B, RTC1 - BILLABLE DAYS: NETWORK SECURITY ANALYST AND IT SECURITY ENGINEER, article 1. and article 2.:

**Delete:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form MTC1-A.

**Insert:** The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using Form M1-A.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**Question 30:**

- (i) Pursuant to revised answer 11 in Amendment 6, that states “For RTC1, a ‘single resource’ is defined as one role for that resource category which multiple individuals may fill, but not simultaneously” AND “The billable days in excess of the 120 billable days for each resource category specified in the criterion for each workstream may be demonstrated by multiple individuals who filled one position for that resource category, as long as their billable days were not acquired concurrently.”

**For each resource category specified for each workstream**, can the Crown clarify that multiple resources from the same contract be used for RTC1 as long as one of the resources meet the mandatory 120 days required in MTC1 and the billable days from other resources **who are not working simultaneously and are not replacement resources** in the contract be used to account for RTC1?

- (ii) Can the Crown please confirm if the below two scenarios/examples are valid for scoring the full 10 points on RTC1 **on each workstream**.

**Example 1:**

For a resource category, for example Programmer Analyst  
Contract 1 has resources X,Y and Z working as a Programmer Analyst, but **not simultaneously** and not as a **replacement resource**:

Resource	Start	End	Billable Days
Resource X	Oct 2015	Dec 2016	Worked 120 billable days for a period of one year (used to demonstrate MTC1-A) Worked 220 additional billable days (in excess 120)
Resource Y	Feb 2017	Apr 2018	Worked 345 days
Resource Z	Jul 2018	Sep 2020	Worked 420
Total			1105 billable days from Contract 1

**Example 2:**

For a resource category, for example Programmer Analyst  
Contract 1 has resource A working as a Programmer Analyst

Resource	Start	End	Billable Days
Resource A	Dec 2015	Dec 2016	Worked 120 billable days for a period of one year (used to demonstrate MTC1-A) Worked 280 additional billable days (in excess 120)
Total			400 billable days from Contract 1

Contract 2 resources D and E worked as a Programmer Analyst, but **not simultaneously** and not as a **replacement resource**:

Resource	Start	End	Billable Days
Resource D	Oct 2016	Feb 2019	Worked 120 billable days for a period of one year (used to demonstrate MTC1-A) Worked 350 additional billable days (in excess 120)

Resource E	May 2020	Sept 2020	Worked 110 billable days
Total			580 billable days from Contract 2

The requirement for RTC1 in this example is met from both contract 1 (400 days) and contract 2 (580 days), totaling to 980 days.

**Answer 30 (i):**

Multiple individuals who worked under one role for a Workstream resource category, under the same contract, can be used for RTC1 for that resource category. One of the individuals must meet the mandatory 120 billable days required in MTC1-A. These 120 billable days and any additional billable days provided by this individual working in this role can be used towards the total billable days for RTC1 for the Workstream resource category. The billable days provided by other individuals in this role who are not working simultaneously; and who are either working as replacement resources or who are not working as replacement resources, can be used for RTC1 for the Workstream resource category.

**Answer 30 (ii):**

Full points would be allocated in both examples from the Bidder’s question for the resource category Programmer/Analyst in Workstream 1 if the information meets all the other requirements of the criterion. Please note:

- the start and end dates must include the day, the month, and the year as required in the criterion [DD/MM/YYYY – DD/MM/YYYY]; and
- for Workstream 5, the number of billable days required for RTC1 is lower than the number billable days required for Workstreams 1, 2, 3, and 4.

**Question 31:**

Regarding MTC1-A (all workstreams) c) Have an initial contract period of one year, not including amendments;

Many Federal Government departments have tendencies to align their initial contract periods with their fiscal year ends. Depending on when the contract is awarded, this generally leaves most larger contracts with initial contract periods that are less than one year but have multiple 1 year Option Periods that follow. Would the crown please consider changing this to read;

The Contract identified must:

- a) Have been with a single client;
- b) Have a minimum value of \$5,000,000.00 CDN (amendments and applicable taxes included);
- c) *Have an minimum Contract duration of one year, including amendments that were exercised; and*
- d) Have been completed or ongoing in the last five years (as of the initial publication date of this solicitation).

Our suggested change to (c) would also align better with (b) where amendments are included in the minimum value.

**Answer 31:** Your request has been considered but the requirement remains unchanged.

**Question 32:**

MTC5 – Technical Environment (all workstreams) requirement includes “Using three separate IM/IT projects...” however, in RTC5 in order to score full points bidders are only required to submit two references per new technology/new methodology. Please confirm the requirement for MTC5 should be using two separate IM/IT projects?

**Answer 32:** MTC5 and RTC5 are not related. In MTC5 the bidder must use three separate IM/IT projects to demonstrate that it meets the requirements detailed in the MTC5 criterion. To be awarded points in

RTC5, the bidder is required to use one or more reference Contract(s) to demonstrate that it meets the requirements detailed in the RTC5 criterion. The requirement remains unchanged.

**Question 33:**

With regard to RTC1 1. and 2. (all workstreams) reference is made to completing Form MTC1-A – please confirm the reference should be for Form M1-A? “The information for the second Contract for this resource category should be submitted using **Form MTC1-A**. The services must have been provided in the past five years as of the initial publication date of this solicitation.” Please confirm the forms and tables per requirement are correct:

MTC1-A – Form M1-A and Table 1

MTC1-B – Form M1-B and Table 2

MTC2 – Form M2

MTC3 – Form M3

MTC4 – Form M4

MTC5 – Form M5

RTC1 – Form M1-A; Form R1; Table 3, and Table 4

RTC2 – Form R2 and Table 5

RTC3 – Form R3

RTC4 – Form R4

RTC5 – Form R5

**Answer 33:** Confirmed. Please refer to Revision No.14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 from Solicitation Amendment 010.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME**