# RETURN BIDS TO: RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A:

RCMP "H" Division HQ RCMP Mailstop # H-066 80 Garland Avenue, 80, avenue Garland DARTMOUTH, NS (NE) B3B 0A7

Facsimile Number for Amendments: N° de télécopieur pour les modifications: (902) 426-7136

# REQUEST FOR STANDING OFFER Demande d'offre à commandes

Regional Individual Standing Offer (RISO) Offre à commande individuelle et régionale (OCIR)

Canada, as represented by the Minister of Public Safety, hereby requests a Standing Offer on behalf of the Identified Users herein.

Le Canada, représenté par le ministre de la Sécurité publique, autorise par la présente, une offre à commandes au nom des utilisateurs identifiés énumérés ci-après.

Comments - Commentaries
This document contains a security
requirement.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Facsimile No. - No de télécopieur:

Telephone No. - no de téléphone:

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution RCMP "H" Division HQ RCMP Mailstop # H-066 80 Garland Avenue, 80, avenue Garland DARTMOUTH, NS (NE) B3B 0J8 **Title-Sujet** 

Architectural & Engineering Services Standing Offer Services d'architectes et d'ingeniéurs

Solicitation No. – No. de l'invitation M9424-0-3651

\*\*\*Amendment 006\*\*\*

Date

November 3, 2020

Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client N/A

GETS Reference No. No. de Référence de SEAG

Solicitation Closes -L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM AST (Atlantic Standard Time) HNA (Heure Normal de l'Atlantique) on - le November 19, 2020

**F.O.B. - F.A.B.**Destination

Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Jeff.lockyer@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Telephone No. - No de téléphone (902) 720-5108

Fax No. - N° de FAX: (902) 426-7136

Destination of Goods, Services, and Construction:
Destinations des biens, services et construction:
Payal Canadian Mauntad Police

Royal Canadian Mounted Police Gendarmerie royale du Canada

**Delivery Required - Livraison exigée:**See Herein

Delivery Offered -Livraison proposée

Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm

Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à gner au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

(Sign and return this cover page with Tender)

# Amendment 006 is being created for the following:

### SRE 2 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

## 2.2 Specific Requirements for Proposal Format

**Include the following:** The maximum number of pages for the proposal does not include CVs. CVs do not count against total page count.

# **Section 3.3 Evaluation and Rating:**

#### In the table:

**Delete**: Senior Personnel Expertise and Experience

**Insert**: Lead Architect Expertise and Experience

#### Section 3.2.4 and 3.2.5

There have been significant industry questions received around the resources required and number of CVs to be submitted under this RFSO. For clarity, CVs are being requested for certain key specialities (both lead and project personnel). Section 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 are being amended as below to explicitly detail which specialities require a CV submission and how many CVs are required. There are a total of 22 CVs required for this submission, as outlined in the tables in section 3.2.4 and 3.2.5.

A comment was received that requested verification that CVs submitted in section 3.2.4 were valued at 20% of the technical evaluation and section 3.2.5 at 15% even though there are more CVs being submitted for section 3.2.5. This is correct, as the role of the lead architect (Section 3.2.4) is key to the successful execution of work to be achieved under the Standing Offer being established, thus the breakdown of points for these sections in the technical evaluation.

### Replace Section 3.2.4 in its entirety and replace with:

### 3.2.4 Lead Architect Expertise and Experience- Proponent In-house

# 1. What we are looking for:

A demonstration that the Proponent has personnel in-house with the capability, capacity and expertise to lead each area listed in the Required Services (RS) section. These lead personnel must be in-house employees of the prime architectural firm (Proponent). It is expected that these are the resources that would be leading any projects resulting from subsequent call-ups.

- 2. What the Proponent should provide: (usually no more then two (2) pages for each CV)
- a) submit a maximum of two (2) CVs for lead architects, and two (2) CVs for backups. Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the lead personnel has in the provision of the services specified in the Required Services (RS) section
- b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm; and
- c) professional accreditation; and

d) accomplishments/achievements/awards.

# CV Requirement: Proponent - Architect Required In-House Services

Lead Architect Required CV's	Backup to Lead Architect	Total CV's
2	2	4

- 3. In-house personnel means personnel within the Proponent's organization (see definition of Proponent in General Instructions GI 1). Past expertise and experience of personnel not within the Proponent's (or joint venture Proponent's) organization will not be considered in the evaluation.
- 4. The pricing table in Appendix B, lists Senior and Intermediate Engineer/Architect as a category. It is expected that the resources provided in Section 3.2.4 (Lead Architect) would fall under the category of Senior Architect in the pricing table.

### Replace Section 3.2.5 in its entirety and replace with.

### 3.2.5 Project Personnel Expertise and Experience: Proponent In-House or Sub Consultant

1. What we are looking for:

A demonstration that the Proponent has project personnel in-house, or via sub-consultancy, with the capability, capacity and expertise to provide the required services and deliverables listed in the Required Services (RS) section.

- 2. What the Proponent should provide (usually no more than two (2) pages for each CV):
- a) submit a maximum of two (2) c.v.'s of project personnel which will perform the majority of the work resulting from the individual Call-ups, as per the below table. Each curriculum vitae should clearly indicate the years of experience the project personnel has in their speciality in the provision of the related services specified in the Required Services (RS) section;
- b) Identify the personnel's years of experience, the number of years with the firm;
- c) professional accreditation; and
- d) accomplishments/achievements/awards.

	# CVs required	#CVs required	# CVs required
Category	Lead	Backup	Total
Civil Engineer	1	1	2
Landscape Architect	1	1	2
Structural Engineer	1	1	2
Mechanical Engineer	1	1	2
Electrical Engineer	1	1	2
Fire/Building Code Engineer	1	1	2
Commissioning specialist	1	1	2
Cost Consultant	1	1	2
Acoustic Specialist	1	1	2

- 3. In-house personnel means personnel within the Proponent's organization (see definition of Proponent in General Instructions GI 1). Sub-consultancy personnel are those available by virtue of sub-contract to the Proponent.
- 4. In the pricing table in Appendix B there is a distinction between Senior and Intermediate Engineer/Architect. To reduce administrative burden, proponents are not being asked to submit CVs for both Senior and Intermediate resources in all different engineering specialities identified in the table above, however, to provide CVs demonstrating the expertise and experience of resources.

## Delete ANNEX B – PRICE PROPOSAL in its entirety and replace with:

#### ANNEX B - PRICE PROPOSAL

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. Complete price proposal form and submit in a separate sealed envelope, with the Proponent's name, Solicitation Number, and "Price Proposal Form" typed on the outside.
- 2. Price proposals are not to include Applicable Taxes and will be evaluated in Canadian Dollars.
- 3. Proponents are not to alter or add information to the form.
- In order to ensure that fair and competitive hourly rates are received for each of the positions listed, the 4. following requirement must be strictly adhered to: proponents must provide an hourly rate for each listed position. In the event that the firm consists of fewer personnel than listed, provide an hourly rate that corresponds with each position listed. The hourly rate provided must be equal to or greater than the hourly rate provided for the position listed below it. For example, if the firm does not have an Intermediate Personnel, the hourly rate provided must be equal to or greater than the hourly rate provided for the Junior Personnel. The hourly rate for any given category of personnel cannot be \$0 or nil value. Failure to insert an hourly rate for each position listed will render your proposal nonresponsive.
- 5. The hourly rates as identified will be for the duration of the Standing Offer.

# **APPENDIX B**

# Price Proposal Form

# **Pricing Table for Year One**

Category of Personnel for Architectural/Engineering & Support Staff Year One	Estimated Quantity (A) (Hours)	Fixed Hourly Rate (B)	Total (AxB)
1. Senior Engineer/Senior Architect/Lead Architect	200	\$	\$
2. Intermediate Engineer/Architect	800	\$	\$
3. Technologist	1100	\$	\$
4. CADD Technologist	600	\$	\$
5. Clerical/Administrative Support	250	\$	\$
6. Cost Consultant	100	\$	\$
7.Building/Fire Code Services	80	\$	\$
8. Sound Transmission/Acoustic Specialist Services	80	\$	\$
9. Landscape Architect	100	\$	\$
10. Commissioning Specialist	80	\$	\$
11. Total (YEAR ONE)			\$

NOTE: For any discipline where a level of resource is not identified in the table, provide pricing for an "intermediate" resource.

NAME OF PROPO	ONFNT
---------------	-------

# Pricing Table for Option Year ONE

Category of Personnel for Architectural/Engineering & Support Staff Option Year One	Estimated Quantity (A) (Hours)	Fixed Hourly Rate (B)	Total (AxB)
1. Senior Engineer/Senior Architect/Lead Architect	200	\$	\$
2. Intermediate Engineer/Architect	800	\$	\$
3. Technologist	1100	\$	\$
4. CADD Technologist	600	\$	\$
5. Clerical/Administrative Support	250	\$	\$
6. Cost Consultant	100	\$	\$
7.Building/Fire Code Services	80	\$	\$
8.Sound Transmission/Acoustic Specialist Services	80	\$	\$
9. Landscape Architect	100	\$	\$
10. Commissioning Specialist	80	\$	\$
11. Total (OPTION YEAR ONE)			\$

NOTE: For any discipline where a level of resource is not identified in the table, provide pricing for an "intermediate" resource.

NAME OF PROPONENT	

# Pricing Table for Option Year TWO

Category of Personnel for Architectural/Engineering & Support Staff Option Year Two	Estimated Quantity (A) (Hours)	Fixed Hourly Rate (B)	Total (AxB)
1. Senior Engineer/Senior Architect/Lead Architect	200	\$	\$
2. Intermediate Engineer/Architect	800	\$	\$
3. Technologist	1100	\$	\$
4. CADD Technologist	600	\$	\$
5. Clerical/Administrative Support	250	\$	\$
6. Cost Consultant	100	\$	\$
7.Building/Fire Code Services	80	\$	\$
8.Sound Transmission/Acoustic Specialist Services	80	\$	\$
9. Landscape Architect	100	\$	\$
10. Commissioning Specialist	80	\$	\$
11. Total (OPTION YEAR TWO)			\$

NOTE: For any discipline where a level of resource is not identified in the table, provide pricing for an "intermediate" resource.

# Pricing Table for Option Year THREE

Category of Personnel for Architectural/Engineering & Support Staff Option Year Three	Estimated Quantity (A) (Hours)	Fixed Hourly Rate (B)	Total (AxB)
1. Senior Engineer/Senior Architect/Lead Architect	200	\$	\$
2. Intermediate Engineer/Architect	800	\$	\$
3. Technologist	1100	\$	\$
4. CADD Technologist	600	\$	\$
5. Clerical/Administrative Support	250	\$	\$
6. Cost Consultant	100	\$	\$
7.Building/Fire Code Services	80	\$	\$
8.Sound Transmission/Acoustic Specialist Services	80	\$	\$
9. Landscape Architect	100	\$	\$
10. Commissioning Specialist	80	\$	\$
11. Total (OPTION YEAR THREE)			\$

NOTE: For any discipline where a level of resource is not identified in the table, provide pricing for an "intermediate" resource.

# The following questions are being asked by potential bidder/s:

#### Question 1

Appendix B included with Addendum #4 has a table that requires us to provide a fixed hourly rate for "Senior Engineer/Architect", "Intermediate Engineer/Architect", "Technologist", "Cadd Technologist" and "Clerical/Admin Support". Similarly Cost, Code, Acoustic consultants have various levels of seniority, but the Price form doesn't take this into account. Given all these disciplines are spread amongst several firms that charge out at different rates, how are we to fill out this form? If we use "blended" rates I suspect this will lead to issues when fees have to be presented for call-ups. We suggest that the Price Proposal Form be reissued and categorized by discipline

Note Appendix B is asking for hourly rates for Acoustic and Landscape consultants, however these disciplines are not identified as key consultants to be included elsewhere in the RFSO.

#### Response 1

In the interest of efficiency and to reduce administrative burden, bidders must provide pricing for the disciplines as laid out in the table. Commissioning specialist has been added to the pricing table as seen above.

The Crown will not further differentiate between engineering disciplines at this time, and bidders must submit their pricing proposals based on their own business decisions for senior and intermediate engineers and architects of various disciplines.

For the other A&E Personnel and support staff, it is recognized that some companies may differentiate those by levels such as junior, intermediate and senior, while others may not. As such, the table has been amended to reflect an Intermediate resource for any discipline where the table did not differentiate between resource levels.

Acoustic and Landscape consultants – are listed in 2.8 and 2.9 of Team Identification

SP 5 Call Up Procedure. (Section 1c) outlines the process should a specialist or consultant be required that is not covered in this RFSO.

#### Question 2

#### 3.2.3 Past Experience

Item 2.b For projects listed, you are asking for budget per discipline. Are you looking for overall project cost? Or fee per discipline? Or construction budget of specific discipline broken out of the total project cost?

# Response 2

It is the total construction budget for a project. **Question 3** 

3.2.3 Past Experience

Demonstration that over at least the past [five (5) years Can this be extended to the past eight (8) years?

# Response 3

To be relevant it must be projects completed in the past 5 years as noted in the RFP.

<sup>\*</sup> All other terms and conditions remain the same.