



**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
VIA ePost Connect**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL Division/Services
professionnels en informatique - division EL
Terrasses de la Chaudière 4th Floor
10 Wellington Street
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet FMT - SAP Project/Change Management	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 24062-200610/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 24062-20-0610	Date 2020-11-05
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$SEL-642-38510	
File No. - N° de dossier 642el.24062-200610	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2020-11-16 Heure Normale du l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Huot(642el), Alain	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 642el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 665-7395 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This Solicitation amendment no. 6 is raised to reply to bidders questions.

Question 48

For M1, the aggregate value of the compliant contracts must be at least \$1M. Please confirm whether Canada means each contract must be a minimum of \$1M or that the combination of 3 contracts be \$1 million in total.

Answer 48

The combination of 3 contracts must be \$1 million in total.

Question 49

For M1, B indicates that each referenced contract must have a minimum of one (1) year of continuous service delivery by the Bidder to the client, however c indicates that the referenced contract must have been a minimum of 6 months in duration. Please clarify? B and C conflict. How can a contract be only 6 months yet the service delivery be more than a year. Should it be 1 year contract with a minimum 6 months of service delivery?

Answer 49

Please refer to answer to question 45 and corresponding amendment.

Question 50

FOR R3, The Bidder should demonstrate it has established project management resourcing practice, that has been in place for at least one (1) year and that will ensure the Bidder's ability to provide continued access to qualified and consistent resources for FMT in a timely manner, ensure minimal turnover of those resources, and will provided knowledge transfer and seamless transition for FMT as demonstrated through the following elements. It is unclear how a narrative explanation will be scored.

Will partial points be awarded for this Element?

We recommend providing a better guideline to the scoring;

- 5 points/element – The Element is well described and experience is clearly demonstrated
- 3 points/element – – The Element is demonstrated however lacks detail on experience
- 1 point/element – The Element lacks detail and experience is not clearly demonstrated
- 0 points/element – Detail is no clear and experience is not demonstrated

Answer 50

There will be no partial points awarded. All points allocation will be awarded on a demonstrated for full point or not demonstrated zero-point basis.

Request declined.

Question 51

Please confirm that authorized letters confirming name and degree/diploma from University and/or College would be accepted in lieu of Degrees or Diplomas that are no longer available by the resource.

Answer 51

Confirmed

Question 52

As per section 3.2 Section 1 Technical Bid subsection (iv) For proposed resources c)

If the degree, diploma or certification was issued by an educational institution outside of Canada, the Bidder is requested to provide a copy of the results of the academic credential assessment and qualification recognition service issued by an agency or organization recognized by the Canadian Information Centre for International Credentials (CICIC). If the Bidder has not included the copy of the results in its bid, the Contracting Authority will provide an opportunity to the Bidder to submit it during the evaluation period. If the Bidder has not submitted the copy of the results within 2 working days of the request by the Contracting Authority, its bid will be rated accordingly.

Given that some international institutions have a very long processing timeframe to provide transcripts in addition to the existing processing backlog from the CICIC institutions due many of them shutting down over the summer due to COVID-19 would the Crown consider either

Providing the copy of the CICIC credential results within 60 working days of the request by the Contracting Authority OR proof, such as a file number, that demonstrates that the accreditation is in process.

Answer 52

Refer to Answer to Question 51.

Requested change to Section 3.2 is declined.

Question 53

Reference: Attachment 4.1, Corporate Point Rated requirements, page 107 of 132

R.2 awards 5 points per contract for a Government (Federal, Provincial, Territorial, Municipal, or Crown Corporation) client

Please confirm that the Crown will accept a corporation owned by a government entity (Federal, Provincial, Territorial or Municipal) as a contract reference

Answer 53

See AMD Below

The following change applies to the Solicitation:

-At ATTACHMENT 4.1 MANDATORY TECHNICAL CRITERIA

2.0 CORPORATE POINT-RATED REQUIREMENTS

Delete:

R.2	The Bidder should demonstrate that it has been awarded contracts where the Bidder provided IT / IM project or change management or professional services to support and augment an existing PMO which is related to implementing of Enterprise Resource Planning software, Enterprise COTS or similar software.	5 points per contract for a maximum of 15 points 5 points per contract for a Government (Federal, Provincial, Territorial, Municipal, or Crown Corporation) client for a maximum of 15 points.	30	The Bidder's substantiation of technical compliance with Criteria should be demonstrated by completing the Bidder Response Template in Attachment 4.3.	
------------	---	---	----	--	--

Insert:

R.2	The Bidder should demonstrate that it has been awarded contracts where the Bidder provided IT / IM project or change management or professional services to support and augment an existing PMO which is related to implementing of Enterprise Resource Planning software, Enterprise COTS or similar software.	5 points per contract for a maximum of 15 points 5 points per contract for a Government (Federal, Provincial, Territorial, Municipal, or Crown Corporation) client for a maximum of 15 points. *Canada	30	The Bidder's substantiation of technical compliance with Criteria should be demonstrated by completing the Bidder Response Template in Attachment 4.3.	
------------	---	---	----	--	--

Sollicitation
24062-200610

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
642e1

		would accept a provincial or municipal utility.			
--	--	--	--	--	--

ALL OTHER TERMS REMAIN UNCHANGED

Sollicitation
24062-200610

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
006

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
642el