



---

## **Technical Assistance Partnership – Expert Deployment Mechanism (TAP-EDM)**

**RFP Reference Number: 105343**

### **AMENDMENT # 2 – November 19, 2020**

1. The title in the English version of the RFP of Annex E-Forms and Tables, Form 4 is amended

**FROM:**

“Performance Project Framework”

**TO:**

“Performance Measurement Framework”.

2. Annex E – Forms and Tables – Form 4 - Performance Management Framework is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the Form 4 found in Appendix A to this Amendment 2. The amendment to this Form 4 is the removal of the shading in the Ultimate Outcome row and the Baseline Targets and Data columns as described in the Answer to Question 8 and 9 in Questions and Answers # 3 – Nov 17, 2020.

3. The words “Implementing Entity” or “IE” found in Annex A- Statement of Work, Appendix B -TAP-EDM Performance Measurement Framework, Communications and Public Engagement, Expected Results # 1213, Indicators-lines 4 and 6; as well as in Annex D - Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirements B i) line 2. are amended

**FROM:**

“Implementing Entity”

**TO:**

“Contractor”

4. Annex D-Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirements, Evaluation Criteria-Technical Component, Requirement A i)a) is amended

**FROM:**

Value of the project in Canadian dollars: Minimum \$2 million. Full points for \$15 million



---

and more. **(Up to 4 points)**

Points will be awarded as follows:

- Project Value of \$1-\$1,999,999 – 0 points
- Project Value of \$2M-\$5M – 1 point;
- Project Value of \$6M-\$10M – 2 points;
- Project value of \$10M-\$14M – 3 points;
- Project Value of \$15M and above – 4 points.

**TO:**

Value of the project in Canadian dollars: Minimum \$2 million. Full points for \$15 million and more. **(Up to 4 points)**

Points will be awarded as follows:

- Project Value of \$1-\$1,999,999 – 0 points
- Project Value of \$2,000,000 -\$5,999,999 – 1 point;
- Project Value of \$6,000,000 -\$9,999,999 – 2 points;
- Project value of \$10,000,000-\$14,999,999 – 3 points;
- Project Value of \$15,000,000 and above – 4 points.

5. Annex D-Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirements, Evaluation Criteria-Technical Component, Requirement B viii is amended

**FROM:**

Describe how the Bidder will implement results-based management (RBM) and reporting for results for the entire Project. **(Up to 15 points)**.

In responding to this criterion, the Bidder should complete the attached Form 4 (Performance Measurement Framework) in Annex E of this RFP with one corresponding indicator for each of TAP-EDM's outcome statements, along with the identification of their baselines, targets, sources of information, data collection methodology, frequency and responsibility.

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness.

- Appropriate means that the proposed indicators are SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, time-bound).

Points will be awarded as follow:

- Up to 3 points per appropriate outcome indicator (5 outcome indicators)

**TO:**

Describe how the Bidder will implement results-based management (RBM) and reporting for results for the entire Project. **(Up to 15 points)**.



---

In responding to this criterion, the Bidder should provide a short narrative description of its proposed approach and complete the attached Form 4 (Performance Measurement Framework) in Annex E of this RFP with one corresponding indicator for each of TAP-EDM's outcome statements, along with the identification of their baselines, targets, sources of information, data collection methodology, frequency and responsibility.

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness and clarity. Form 4 is not considered part of the 12-page limit for Requirement B.

Appropriate means that the proposed indicators are SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, time-bound).

Clarity means structured and logical.

Points will be awarded as follow:

- Up to 3 points per appropriate outcome indicator (5 outcome indicators)
6. The Ultimate Outcome 1000 described in Annex B-TAP-EDM Performance Measurement Framework which is part of Annex A-Statement of Work and repeated in Annex F-Forms and Tables, Form 4-Performance Measurement Framework (See Appendix A of this document), is amended

**FROM:**

Improved conditions or well-being of the poorest, most marginalized and vulnerable people by contributing to the SDGs and to Canada's international assistance efforts in ODA-eligible countries while projecting Canada's leadership ".

**TO:**

Improved well-being of the poorest, most marginalized and vulnerable people in recipient ODA-eligible countries while projecting Canada's leadership.

7. Annex D-Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirements, Evaluation Criteria-Technical Component, Requirement B (vi) is amended

**FROM:**

Describe how the Bidder will plan, organize and deliver technical assistance in the context of severe international travel restrictions (up to 15 points)

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness, completeness and clarity

- Appropriate means relevant, innovative, effective and/or efficient.
- Complete means comprehensive and detailed.
- Clarity means structured and logical.



Points will be awarded as follow:

- 3 points per relevant, innovative and cost-effective measure to deliver TA (up to 9 points)
- Consideration for different travel restriction contexts. (up to 6 points)

**TO:**

Describe how the Bidder will plan, organize and deliver technical assistance in the context of severe international travel restrictions by describing three potential and realistic situations in which travel could be restricted and appropriate solutions for each situation. (up to 15 points)

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness, completeness and clarity

- Appropriate means relevant, innovative, effective and/or efficient.
- Complete means comprehensive and detailed.
- Clarity means structured and logical.

Points will be awarded as follow:

- 5 points for the relevant, innovative and cost-effective measures described to deliver TA for each situation identified (up to 15 points).

8. Outcomes 1200 and 1210: For the purpose of the RFP requirement, we accept that Bidders submit their proposed approach for only one type of change of their choice at both the intermediate and immediate outcome level. Therefore, Annex D-Evaluation Criteria, Rated Requirements, Evaluation Criteria-Technical Component, Requirement B (viii) is amended

**FROM:**

Describe how the Bidder will implement results-based management (RBM) and reporting for results for the entire Project. (Up to 15 points).

In responding to this criterion, the Bidder should complete the attached Form 4 (Performance Measurement Framework) in Annex E of this RFP with one corresponding indicator for each of TAP-EDM's outcome statements, along with the identification of their baselines, targets, sources of information, data collection methodology, frequency and responsibility.

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness.

Appropriate means that the proposed indicators are SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, time-bound).

Points will be awarded as follow:

Up to 3 points per appropriate outcome indicator (5 outcome indicators)

**TO:**



---

Describe how the Bidder will implement results-based management (RBM) and reporting for results for the entire Project. (Up to 15 points).

In responding to this criterion, the Bidder should complete the attached Form 4 (Performance Measurement Framework) in Annex E of this RFP with one corresponding indicator for each of TAP-EDM's outcome statements, along with the identification of their baselines, targets, sources of information, data collection methodology, frequency and responsibility. In the case of Outcomes 1200 and 1210, Bidders need only complete the information for one of the changes for each outcome ("participation in" or "support" for Outcome 1200; "increased awareness" or "increased knowledge" for Outcome 1210), as they choose.

The Bidder's proposed approach will be assessed on its appropriateness. Appropriate means that the proposed indicators are SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, time-bound).

Points will be awarded as follow:

Up to 3 points per appropriate outcome indicator (5 outcome indicators)



## Appendix A

### FORM 4 – Project Performance Framework (PMF)

[**Guidance to Bidders:** The PMF is one of the deliverables of the Contract and is used to systematically plan the collection of relevant data over the lifetime of a project to assess and demonstrate progress made in achieving expected results.]

Expected Results	Indicators	Baseline Data	Targets	Data Sources	Data Collection Methods	Frequency	Responsibility
<b>ULTIMATE OUTCOME (LONG TERM)</b>							
1000 Improved well-being of the poorest, most marginalized and vulnerable people in recipient ODA-eligible countries while projecting Canada's leadership.							
<b>INTERMEDIATE OUTCOMES (MEDIUM TERM)</b>							
1100 Enhanced effective, inclusive, accountable, sustainable, resilient and gender responsive national level entities in ODA-eligible countries.							
1200 Enhanced participation in and support from Canadians toward Canada's international assistance efforts.							
<b>IMMEDIATE OUTCOMES (SHORT TERM)</b>							
1110 Improved capacity of national level entities in ODA-eligible countries to plan and/or develop national policies and programs that advance gender equality, environmental sustainability and/or human rights.							



Expected Results	Indicators	Baseline Data	Targets	Data Sources	Data Collection Methods	Frequency	Responsibility
1210 Increased awareness and knowledge of Canadians as global citizens in support of Canada's international assistance efforts.							

**-END-**

**AMENDMENT # 2 – November 19, 2020**