



**RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS A :**

Bid Receiving/Réception des sousmissions
Procurement & Contracting Services
c/o Commissionaires, F Division
6101 Dewdney Ave
Regina, SK S4P 3K7

Fax No. - No de FAX:
(306) 780-5232

**SOLICITATION
AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE
L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments: - Commentaries :

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT

LE PRÉSENT DOCUMENT COMPORTE UNE EXIGENCE EN MATIÈRE DE SÉCURITÉ

Title – Sujet: Architectural and Engineering Services – New Detachment and Storage Garage, Weyburn		Date : 24 November 2020
Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation M5000-20-3300/A – PW-20-00930706		Amendment No. – N° de la modification 001
Client Reference No. - No. De Référence du Client 202003300		
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin		
At /à :	2 :00 PM	CST (Central Standard Time) HNC (Heure Normale du Centre)
On / le :	08 December 2020	
Incoterms 2010 "DDP Delivered Duty Paid" See herein — Voir aux présentes	GST – TPS See herein — Voir aux présentes	Duty – Droits See herein — Voir aux présentes
Destination of Goods and Services – Destinations des biens et services See herein — Voir aux présentes		
Instructions See herein — Voir aux présentes		
Address Inquiries to – Adresser toute demande de renseignements à Teresa Hengen, Procurement Officer		
Telephone No. – No. de téléphone 639-625-3449	Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur 306-780-5232	
Delivery Required – Livraison exigée N/A	Delivery Offered – Livraison proposée N/A	
Vendor/Firm Name, Address and Representative – Raison sociale, adresse et représentant du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur:		
Telephone No. – No. de téléphone	Facsimile No. – No. de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
Signature	Date	



This amendment is raised to address the following:

Q1) Request to have this solicitation amended and be done as a voluntary Aboriginal Set-Aside.

R1) Given the fact there is sufficient known competition as well as current project timelines, the procurement strategy will not be revised for this requirement.

Replace the original table in the Request for Proposal with the following:

4.2. Generic Evaluation - Table 2

The RCMP Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with a rating scale of 0 to 10 points using the generic evaluation table below:

NON RESPONSIVE 0%	INADEQUATE 10%	POOR 20 - 30 %	WEAK 40%	JUST ACCEPTABLE 50%	ACCEPTABLE 60 - 70%	GOOD 80%	VERY GOOD 90%	EXCELLENT 100%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Did not submit information which could be evaluated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Absolutely inadequate ▪ Weaknesses can't be corrected ▪ Proponent lacks qualifications and experience ▪ Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements ▪ Sample projects not related to this project's needs ▪ Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Slightly or substantially below the desirable minimum ▪ Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected ▪ Proponent generally lacks qualifications and experience ▪ Team is weak - either missing components or overall experience is weak ▪ Sample projects generally not related to this project's needs ▪ Little capability to meet performance requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Just fails to meet the desirable minimum ▪ Weaknesses can be corrected ▪ Proponent just below minimum qualifications and experience ▪ Team not quite capable of fulfilling requirements as presented ▪ Sample projects only marginally related to this project's needs ▪ Just below acceptable capability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Just meets the desirable minimum ▪ Weaknesses can easily be corrected ▪ Proponent has minimum qualifications and experience ▪ Team capable of just fulfilling requirements ▪ Sample projects somewhat related to this project's needs ▪ Minimum acceptable capability, should meet minimum performance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meets the desirable minimum ▪ No significant weaknesses: ▪ Proponent is qualified and experienced ▪ Team covers all components and will likely meet requirements ▪ Sample projects generally related to this project's needs ▪ Average capability, should be adequate for effective results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Slightly exceeds the desirable minimum ▪ No significant weaknesses ▪ Proponent is well qualified and experienced ▪ Team covers all components and more than likely will meet requirements ▪ Sample projects are related to this project's needs ▪ Above average capability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ More than satisfies desirable minimum ▪ No apparent weaknesses ▪ Proponent is highly qualified and experienced ▪ Strong team - some members have previously worked together ▪ Sample projects directly related to this project's needs ▪ Superior capability, should ensure effective results 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Exceptionally strong proposal ▪ No apparent weaknesses ▪ Proponent is exceptionally qualified and experienced ▪ Exceptional team - has worked well together before on comparable work ▪ Took the lead in projects directly related to this project's needs ▪ Exceptional capability, should ensure extremely effective results